

RECEIVED

2010 MAR 23 AM 11:05

DAVID J. MEYER  
VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF COUNSEL OF  
REGULATORY & GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS  
AVISTA CORPORATION  
P.O. BOX 3727  
1411 EAST MISSION AVENUE  
SPOKANE, WASHINGTON 99220-3727  
TELEPHONE: (509) 495-4316  
FACSIMILE: (509) 495-8851

IDAHO PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION

**BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION )  
OF AVISTA CORPORATION FOR THE )  
AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS RATES )  
AND CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC AND )  
NATURAL GAS SERVICE TO ELECTRIC )  
AND NATURAL GAS CUSTOMERS IN THE )  
STATE OF IDAHO )

CASE NO. AVU-E-10-01

DIRECT TESTIMONY  
OF  
SCOTT J. KINNEY

FOR AVISTA CORPORATION

(ELECTRIC ONLY)

1 I. INTRODUCTION

2 Q. Please state your name, employer and business  
3 address.

4 A. My name is Scott J. Kinney. I am employed by  
5 Avista Corporation as Director, Transmission Operations.  
6 My business address is 1411 East Mission, Spokane,  
7 Washington.

8 Q. Please briefly describe your education background  
9 and professional experience.

10 A. I graduated from Gonzaga University in 1991 with  
11 a B.S. in Electrical Engineering. I am a licensed  
12 Professional Engineer in the State of Washington. I joined  
13 the Company in 1999 after spending eight years with the  
14 Bonneville Power Administration. I have held several  
15 different positions in the Transmission Department. I  
16 started at Avista as a Senior Transmission Planning  
17 Engineer. In 2002, I moved to the System Operations  
18 Department as a supervisor and support engineer. In 2004,  
19 I was appointed as the Chief Engineer, System Operations.  
20 In June of 2008 I was selected to my current position as  
21 Director, Transmission Operations.

22 Q. What is the scope of your testimony?

23 A. My testimony describes Avista's pro forma period  
24 transmission revenues and expenses. I also discuss the  
25 Transmission and Distribution expenditures that are part of

1 the capital additions testimony provided by Company witness  
2 Mr. Dave DeFelice. Company witness Ms. Andrews  
3 incorporates the Idaho share of the net transmission  
4 expenses and the transmission and distribution capital  
5 additions.

6 Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits?

7 A. Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit 8, Schedule 1.  
8 Schedule 1, provides the transmission pro forma  
9 adjustments.

10 **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Section</u></b>	<b><u>Page</u></b>
11		
12	I Introduction	1
13	II Pro Forma Transmission Expenses	2
14	III Pro Forma Transmission Revenues	10
15	IV Transmission and Distribution Capital Projects	20

16

17 **II. PRO FORMA TRANSMISSION EXPENSES**

18 Q. Please describe the pro forma transmission  
19 expense revisions included in this filing.

20 A. Adjustments were made in this filing to  
21 incorporate updated information for any changes in  
22 transmission expenses from the 2009 test year to the  
23 October 2010 to September 2011 Pro forma period. Each  
24 expense item described below is at a system level, with the  
25 exception of the \$71,000 Grid West adjustment which is

1 Idaho only, and is included in Exhibit 8, Schedule 1.  
2 Supporting workpapers for each expense item described below  
3 have been provided with the Company's filing.

4 Northwest Power Pool (NWPP) - Avista pays its share of  
5 the NWPP operating costs. The NWPP serves the electric  
6 utilities in the Northwest by supporting regional  
7 transmission planning coordination and providing  
8 coordinated transmission operations, generation reserve  
9 sharing and Columbia River water coordination. Actual 2009  
10 transmission-related NWPP expenses were \$36,000 and a  
11 \$4,000 adjustment was made to the pro forma period to  
12 reflect planned NWPP expenses allocated to the Company.

13 Colstrip Transmission - Avista is required to pay its  
14 portion of the O&M costs associated with its share of the  
15 Colstrip transmission system pursuant to the joint Colstrip  
16 contract. In accordance with NorthWestern Energy's (NWE)  
17 proposed Colstrip transmission plan provided to the  
18 Company, NWE will bill Avista \$589,000 for Avista's share  
19 of the Colstrip O&M expense during the pro forma period.  
20 This is an increase of \$98,000 from the actual expense of  
21 \$491,000 incurred during the 2009 test year.

22 ColumbiaGrid RTO - Avista became a member of the  
23 ColumbiaGrid regional transmission organization (RTO) in  
24 2006. ColumbiaGrid's purpose is to enhance transmission

1 system reliability and efficiency, provide cost-effective  
2 coordinated regional transmission planning, develop and  
3 facilitate the implementation of solutions relating to  
4 improved use and expansion of the interconnected Northwest  
5 transmission system, reduce transmission system congestion,  
6 and support effective market monitoring within the  
7 Northwest and the entire Western interconnection. Avista  
8 supports ColumbiaGrid's general developmental and regional  
9 coordination activities under a General Funding Agreement  
10 and supports specific functional activities under the  
11 Planning and Expansion Functional Agreement and the OASIS  
12 Functional Agreement. The current General Funding  
13 Agreement for ColumbiaGrid expires September 30, 2010. The  
14 Company expects to execute a successor General Funding  
15 Agreement in the spring of 2010 to provide for ongoing  
16 funding of ColumbiaGrid general development activities  
17 while shifting a portion of ColumbiaGrid's administrative  
18 costs to its other functional agreements. Accordingly,  
19 while ColumbiaGrid is engaging in significant new  
20 developmental activities in coordination with other  
21 regional organizations (e.g. the review of consolidated  
22 balancing area operations and the development of revised  
23 scheduling practices to accommodate the impacts of  
24 intermittent generation), the Company's expected  
25 ColumbiaGrid general funding expenses will decrease.

1 Avista's ColumbiaGrid general funding expenses for the 2009  
2 test year were \$202,000 while pro forma period general  
3 funding expenses are expected to be \$192,000. This amount  
4 is the Company's best estimate at this time until the  
5 successor General Funding Agreement is approved in the  
6 Spring of 2010.

7 ColumbiaGrid Transmission Planning - The ColumbiaGrid  
8 Planning and Expansion Functional Agreement (PEFA) was  
9 accepted by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC)  
10 on April 3, 2007 and Avista entered into the PEFA on April  
11 4, 2007. Coordinated transmission planning activities  
12 under the PEFA allow the Company to meet the coordinated  
13 regional transmission planning requirements set forth in  
14 FERC's Order 890 issued in February 2007, and outlined in  
15 the Company's Open Access Transmission Tariff, Attachment  
16 K. Funding under the PEFA is on a two-year cycle with  
17 provisions to adjust for inflation. Actual PEFA expenses  
18 for the 2009 test year were \$142,000. The Company's PEFA  
19 expenses for the pro forma period are expected to reach the  
20 maximum total payment obligation of \$215,000, reflecting  
21 ColumbiaGrid's final staffing levels to support the PEFA  
22 and the allocation of a portion of ColumbiaGrid's  
23 administrative expenses to this functional agreement. This  
24 amount is the Company's best estimate at this time until

1 the successor General Funding Agreement is approved in the  
2 Spring of 2010.

3 ColumbiaGrid Open Access Same-Time Information System  
4 (OASIS) - Avista entered into the ColumbiaGrid OASIS  
5 Functional Agreement in February of 2008. This agreement  
6 provides for the development of a common Open Access Same-  
7 time Information System (OASIS) which would give  
8 transmission customers the ability to purchase transmission  
9 capacity from all ColumbiaGrid members via a single common  
10 OASIS site instead of having to submit multiple  
11 transmission service requests to each member individually  
12 on each member's respective OASIS sites. Avista's 2009  
13 test year expenses of \$35,000 reflected initial  
14 developmental activities under this functional agreement.  
15 Avista's ColumbiaGrid OASIS expenses for the pro forma  
16 period are expected to be \$80,000, reflecting operational  
17 capability of the ColumbiaGrid OASIS and the allocation of  
18 a portion of ColumbiaGrid's administrative expenses to this  
19 functional agreement. This amount is the Company's best  
20 estimate at this time until the successor General Funding  
21 Agreement is approved in the Spring of 2010.

22 Grid West (ID Direct) - Included in transmission  
23 expense is an annual amount of \$71,000 to recover costs  
24 associated with Grid West (and its forerunner, RTO West).

1 Avista signed an initial funding agreement in 2000, as did  
2 all other Pacific Northwest investor-owned electric  
3 utilities, to provide funding for the start-up phase of  
4 Grid West (then named "RTO West"). Grid West had planned  
5 to repay the loans to Avista and other funding utilities  
6 through surcharges to customers once it became operational.  
7 With the dissolution of Grid West, this repayment did not  
8 occur. As a result, Avista filed an application with the  
9 Commission to defer these costs. The Commission approved,  
10 on October 24, 2006, in Order No. 30151, the Company's  
11 request for an order authorizing deferred accounting  
12 treatment for loan amounts made to Grid West. In its Order  
13 the IPUC found these costs to be "prudent and in the public  
14 interest" and required the Company to begin amortization of  
15 the Idaho share of the loan principal (\$422,000) beginning  
16 January 2007, for five years. During the pro forma period  
17 Avista will amortize a total of \$71,000 associated with  
18 Grid West development costs.

19 Electric Scheduling and Accounting Services - The  
20 \$12,000 decrease in the pro forma period compared to test  
21 year expense for electric scheduling and accounting  
22 services is a result of continued reductions in services  
23 provided by third party vendors. These services are no  
24 longer required because of the development of an internal  
25 accounting program and the development of a regional

1 transmission interchange tool by the Western Electricity  
2 Coordinating Council (WECC). These new applications replace  
3 the services provided by third parties.

4 NERC Critical Infrastructure Protection - The Company  
5 has purchased two software products to assist in protecting  
6 critical transmission system data from intrusion and to  
7 meet applicable North American Electric Reliability  
8 Corporation (NERC) standards. The Company expects no  
9 change from the actual 2009 test year expense of \$25,000.

10 OASIS Expenses - These OASIS expenses are associated  
11 with travel and training costs for transmission pre-  
12 scheduling and OASIS personnel. This travel is required to  
13 monitor and adhere to NERC reliability standards and FERC  
14 OASIS requirements. The costs associated with OASIS  
15 expenses in the pro forma period are \$5,000 more than in  
16 the 2009 test year. This increase is a result of training  
17 required for two new replacement transmission scheduling  
18 employees and the implementation of new OASIS functions  
19 required by FERC associated with network and native load  
20 transmission service.

21 Power Factor Penalty - Power factor penalty costs are  
22 associated with the Bonneville Power Administration's  
23 (Bonneville) General Transmission Rate Schedule Provisions.  
24 Bonneville charges a power factor penalty at all  
25 interconnections with Avista that exceed a given threshold

1 for reactive power flow during each month. If the reactive  
2 flow from Bonneville's transmission system into Avista's  
3 system or from Avista's system to Bonneville's system  
4 exceeds a given threshold, then Bonneville bills Avista  
5 according to its rate schedule. The charge includes a 12-  
6 month rolling ratchet provision. Avista currently pays  
7 Bonneville a power factor penalty at several points of  
8 interconnection. Avista incurred \$167,000 of power factory  
9 penalty charges in 2008 and \$124,000 during the 2009 test  
10 year. The Company's pro forma expenses are set at \$146,000  
11 representing an average of the power factor penalty charges  
12 incurred in 2008 and 2009.

13 WECC - System Security Monitor and WECC Administration  
14 & Net Operating Committee Fees - The Company's total WECC  
15 fees have increased, and are expected to continue to  
16 increase, from year to year. The increase is driven  
17 primarily by compliance with mandatory national reliability  
18 standards. WECC is responsible for monitoring and  
19 measuring Avista's compliance with the standards and  
20 therefore has substantially increased its staff and other  
21 resources to meet this FERC requirement. The Company's  
22 2009 test year WECC assessments were \$159,000 for system  
23 security monitoring and \$329,000 for dues and net Operating  
24 Committee fees, for a total 2009 WECC assessment of

1 \$488,000. The Company paid its 2010 WECC assessments in  
2 January 2010: \$168,000 for system security monitoring and  
3 \$370,000 for dues and net Operating Committee fees, for a  
4 total WECC assessment of \$538,000. The Company's pro forma  
5 expenses have been set equal to these amounts paid in  
6 January 2010.

7 WECC - Loop Flow - Loop Flow charges are spread across  
8 all transmission owners in the West to compensate utilities  
9 that make system adjustments to eliminate transmission  
10 system congestion throughout the operating year. WECC Loop  
11 Flow charges can vary from year to year since the costs  
12 incurred are dependent on transmission system usage and  
13 congestion. Therefore a five-year average is used to  
14 determine future Loop Flow costs. Based upon the WECC Loop  
15 Flow charges incurred by the Company during the five-year  
16 period from 2005 through 2009, pro forma Loop Flow expenses  
17 are expected to be \$34,000. This is \$6,000 less than  
18 actual 2009 test year charges of \$40,000.

19

20 **III. PRO FORMA TRANSMISSION REVENUES**

21 **Q. Please describe the pro forma transmission**  
22 **revenue revisions included in this filing.**

23 A. Adjustments have been made in this filing to  
24 incorporate updated information associated with known  
25 changes in transmission revenue for the 2010/2011 pro forma

1 period as compared to the 2009 test year. Each revenue  
2 item described below is at a system level and is included  
3 in Exhibit 8, Schedule 1. In particular, in December 2009  
4 the Company successfully attained FERC acceptance for an  
5 increase in generally applicable transmission rates under  
6 Avista's Open Access Transmission Tariff, effective January  
7 1, 2010. The Company was able to increase its point-to-  
8 point transmission service rates by 43% (long-term firm  
9 point-to-point rates increased from \$16.79/kW-year to  
10 \$24.00/kW-year) and was able to increase its annual FERC  
11 transmission revenue requirement applicable to network  
12 transmission service (e.g. borderline wheeling service  
13 provided to Bonneville) by 73%. Accordingly, adjustments  
14 have been made in the pro forma period to reflect these  
15 increases in transmission rates. Supporting workpapers for  
16 each revenue item described below have been provided with  
17 the Company's filing.

18 Borderline Wheeling - Total borderline wheeling  
19 revenues for the 2009 test year were \$5,552,000. Total  
20 borderline wheeling revenue in the pro forma period has  
21 been set at \$7,838,000, which reflects a four-year average  
22 (2006 through 2009) of revenues from borderline wheeling  
23 service provided to Bonneville and adjustments to reflect  
24 the impact of new transmission rates on the Company's

1 borderline wheeling contracts with Bonneville and Avista's  
2 other borderline wheeling customers, which include Grant  
3 County PUD, East Greenacres Irrigation District, the  
4 Spokane Tribe of Indians and Consolidated Irrigation  
5 District. Each of these contracts are described further  
6 below.

7 a) Borderline Wheeling - Bonneville Power Administration

8 Actual test year revenue from borderline wheeling  
9 service provided to Bonneville was \$5,334,000. Avista  
10 typically uses a five-year average of actual annual  
11 revenue to estimate future borderline wheeling revenue  
12 from Bonneville. This helps levelize the revenue  
13 requirement since it is based on a rolling twelve-  
14 month average of Bonneville's load ratio share usage  
15 of the Company's transmission system. For this case  
16 Avista is only using a four-year average since 2006  
17 through 2009 are the only years operating under new  
18 contracts signed with Bonneville that became effective  
19 January 1, 2006. This four-year average of borderline  
20 wheeling service provided to Bonneville is \$5,113,000.  
21 This revenue covers borderline wheeling service to  
22 Bonneville over both transmission and low-voltage  
23 facilities. As a result of the Company's recent FERC  
24 transmission rate case, the FERC transmission revenue

1 requirement, to which Bonneville's load ratio share  
2 usage of the Company's transmission system is applied,  
3 was increased by 73%. Accordingly, the low-voltage  
4 revenue component of the four-year average remains the  
5 same while the transmission revenue component of the  
6 four-year average has been increased by 73% for the  
7 2011 pro forma period, resulting in a revenue figure  
8 of \$7,597,000 for borderline wheeling service to  
9 Bonneville.

10 b) Borderline Wheeling - Grant County PUD - The Company  
11 provides borderline wheeling service to two Grant  
12 County PUD substations under a Power Transfer  
13 Agreement executed in 1980. Charges under this  
14 agreement are not impacted by the Company's  
15 transmission service rates under Avista's Open Access  
16 Transmission Tariff so the Company is not proposing  
17 any adjustment from the 2009 test year revenue of  
18 \$27,000.

19 c) Borderline Wheeling - East Greenacres Irrigation  
20 District - The Company restructured its contract to  
21 provide borderline wheeling service to the East  
22 Greenacres Irrigation District in April, 2009,  
23 resulting in monthly wheeling revenue of \$5,000.  
24 Revenue under this agreement for the 2009 test year

1 was \$51,000. Revenue for the pro forma period has  
2 been increased to \$60,000 to reflect the terms of the  
3 restructured contract over the entire pro forma rate  
4 period.

5 d) Borderline Wheeling - Spokane Tribe of Indians and  
6 Consolidated Irrigation District - The Company  
7 provides borderline wheeling service over both  
8 transmission and low-voltage facilities to the Spokane  
9 Tribe of Indians and Consolidated Irrigation District.  
10 Total transmission and low-voltage wheeling revenue  
11 under these contracts for the 2009 test year was  
12 \$140,000. Revenues associated with the transmission  
13 components of these contracts have been adjusted for  
14 the pro forma period to reflect the 43% increase in  
15 the Company's long-term firm point-to-point  
16 transmission service rate. Accordingly, pro forma  
17 period revenue under these two contracts is set at  
18 \$154,000.

19 OASIS Non-Firm and Short-Term Firm Transmission  
20 Service - OASIS is an acronym for Open Access Same-time  
21 Information System. This is the system used by electric  
22 transmission providers for selling and scheduling available  
23 transmission capacity to eligible customers. The terms and  
24 conditions under which the Company sells its transmission  
25 capacity via its OASIS are pursuant to FERC regulations and

1 Avista's FERC Open Access Transmission Tariff. OASIS  
2 revenues vary from year to year depending upon a variety of  
3 factors, including electric energy market conditions, load  
4 and resource conditions of regional electric utilities, and  
5 available transmission capacity (ATC) on adjacent  
6 transmission provider systems. Due to these uncertainties,  
7 Avista has, in previous rate cases, used the most recent  
8 five-year average as being representative of future  
9 expectations for OASIS revenue unless there are known  
10 events or factors for which adjustments are appropriate.  
11 In this filing, the Company is using the most recent five-  
12 year average and is proposing an adjustment to reflect the  
13 results of the Company's recent FERC transmission rate  
14 case.

15 OASIS revenues for the 2009 test year were \$2,962,000  
16 and the five-year average of OASIS revenues from 2005  
17 through 2009 is \$3,067,000. For the pro forma period the  
18 Company proposes a 22% increase over the five-year average  
19 to reflect the potential for recovering additional OASIS  
20 revenue under the Company's new transmission rates accepted  
21 by FERC which became effective January 1, 2010.

22 While the Company is able to increase its non-firm and  
23 short-term firm transmission service rates by 43% as a  
24 result if its FERC rate case, the Company expects to be  
25 limited in its ability to successfully sell capacity at its

1 maximum rates. Bonneville, the predominant transmission  
2 provider in the region, operates its transmission system in  
3 parallel with the Company's transmission system.  
4 Bonneville's current hourly point-to-point transmission  
5 service rate is \$4.33/MWh with a loss factor of 1.9%.  
6 Avista's new maximum hourly point-to-point transmission  
7 service rate is \$5.77/MWh with a loss factor of 3%. Where  
8 Bonneville's system has available parallel capacity, the  
9 Company would expect to have limited opportunity to sell  
10 transmission capacity above an hourly rate of \$4.33/MWh.  
11 Increasing the Company's transmission rate to match  
12 Bonneville's current rate (notwithstanding the fact that  
13 the Company's loss factor is 58% higher than Bonneville's  
14 which would further limit the Company's ability to compete  
15 with parallel capacity on Bonneville's system) would add  
16 only about one-fifth ( $0.33 / 1.77 = 19\%$ ) of the Company's  
17 potential rate increase, resulting in an estimated increase  
18 in OASIS revenue of 8%. Nevertheless, the Company is  
19 estimating an increase in short-term firm and non-firm  
20 OASIS revenue comparable to implementing half of the  
21 potential rate increase. Accordingly, the Company proposes  
22 an OASIS revenue amount of \$3,741,000 for the pro forma  
23 period, an amount \$779,000, or 22%, greater than the most  
24 recent five-year average of \$2,962,000.

1           Seattle and Tacoma Revenues Associated with the Main  
2 Canal Project - Effective March 1, 2008, the Company  
3 entered into long-term point-to-point transmission service  
4 arrangements with the City of Seattle and the City of  
5 Tacoma to transfer output from the Main Canal hydroelectric  
6 project, net of local Grant County PUD load service, to the  
7 Company's transmission interconnections with Grant County  
8 PUD. Service is provided during the eight months of the  
9 year (March through October) in which the Main Canal  
10 project operates and the agreements include a three-year  
11 ratchet demand provision. Revenues under these agreements  
12 totaled \$193,000 during the 2009 test year. Adjusting for  
13 the increase in the Company's transmission rate as a result  
14 of its FERC rate case, revenues under these agreements are  
15 expected to be \$276,000 during the pro forma period.

16           Seattle and Tacoma Revenues Associated with the Summer  
17 Falls Project - Effective March 1, 2008, the Company  
18 entered into long-term use-of-facilities arrangements with  
19 the City of Seattle and the City of Tacoma to transfer  
20 output from the Summer Falls hydroelectric project across  
21 the Company's Stratford Switching Station facilities to the  
22 Company's Stratford interconnection with Grant County PUD.  
23 Charges under this use-of-facilities arrangement are based  
24 upon the Company's investment in its Stratford Switching

1 Station and are not impacted by the Company's transmission  
2 service rates under its Open Access Transmission Tariff.  
3 Revenues under these two contracts totaled \$74,000 in the  
4 2009 test year and are expected to remain the same for the  
5 pro forma period.

6 PacifiCorp Dry Gulch - Revenue under the Dry Gulch  
7 use-of-facilities agreement has been adjusted to \$249,000  
8 for the pro forma period, which is a \$43,000 increase from  
9 the 2009 test year actual revenue of \$206,000. The current  
10 methodology used to forecast Dry Gulch revenue is a five-  
11 year average of actual revenue. A five-year average is  
12 used since the revenue can vary from year to year depending  
13 upon PacifiCorp's monthly peak demands. The contract  
14 includes a twelve-month rolling ratchet demand provision  
15 and charges under this agreement are not impacted by the  
16 Company's open access transmission service tariff rates.  
17 The five-year average of revenue was calculated using years  
18 2005 through 2009.

19 Spokane Waste to Energy Plant - No adjustments to  
20 Spokane Waste to Energy Plant revenue of \$160,000 were made  
21 for the pro forma period compared to the 2009 test year.  
22 This revenue is the result of a long-term transmission  
23 service agreement with the City of Spokane that expires  
24 December 31, 2011. Charges under this agreement are not

1 impacted by the Company's open access transmission service  
2 tariff rates.

3 Vaagen Wheeling - The Vaagen generation plant was  
4 permanently damaged by fire in November, 2009. Pursuant to  
5 its terms and conditions, the Vaagen wheeling contract was  
6 terminated effective December 1, 2009. Revenues under this  
7 contract were \$97,000 during the 2009 test year but have  
8 been adjusted to zero for the pro forma period.

9 Grant County PUD - Revenues from a long-term firm  
10 point-to-point transmission service agreement with Grant  
11 County PUD during the 2009 test year were \$56,000. This  
12 agreement expires December 31, 2010. Accordingly,  
13 associated revenue for the pro forma period has been  
14 reduced to \$42,000.

15 Grand Coulee Project Hydroelectric Authority - The  
16 Company provides operations and maintenance services on the  
17 Stratford - Summer Falls 115kV Transmission Line to the  
18 Grand Coulee Project Hydroelectric authority under a  
19 contract signed in March 2006. These services are provided  
20 for a fixed annual fee. Annual charges under this contract  
21 totaled \$8,100 in the 2009 test year and will remain the  
22 same for the pro forma period.

23 PP&L Series Capacitors - PP&L Series Capacitor revenue  
24 under this 1978 agreement was reduced from \$5,000 in the

1 test year to zero in the pro forma period since the 20-year  
2 amortization of the original contract expired in June 2009.

3 NaturEnergy Dynamic Signal - The Company was  
4 reimbursed during the 2009 test year for expected one-time  
5 expenses related to connecting a NaturEnergy dynamic signal  
6 via the WECC ICCP system to Avista's SCADA-EMS system.  
7 Accordingly, the 2009 test year revenue of \$10,000 has been  
8 adjusted to zero for the pro forma period.

9 FERC Settlement - The Company received a settlement  
10 benefit from the FERC in 2009 relating to the Western  
11 energy crisis of 2000-2001. This 2009 test year revenue of  
12 \$115,000 has been adjusted to zero for the pro forma  
13 period.

14

15 **IV. TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION CAPITAL PROJECTS**

16 **Q. Please describe the Company's capital**  
17 **transmission projects that will be completed in 2010.**

18 A. Avista continuously needs to invest in its  
19 transmission system to maintain reliable customer service  
20 and meet mandatory reliability standards. The 2010 capital  
21 transmission projects are being constructed to meet either  
22 compliance requirements, improve system reliability, fix  
23 broken equipment, or replace aging equipment that is  
24 anticipated to fail.

1           Included in the compliance requirements are the North  
2 American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) standards,  
3 which are national standards that utilities must meet to  
4 ensure interconnected system reliability. Beginning June  
5 2007 compliance with these standards was made mandatory and  
6 failure to meet the requirements could result in monetary  
7 penalties of up to \$1 million per day per infraction. The  
8 majority of the reliability standards pertain to  
9 transmission planning, operation, and equipment  
10 maintenance. The standards require utilities to plan and  
11 operate their transmission systems in such a way as to  
12 avoid the loss of customers or impact to neighboring  
13 utility systems due to the loss of transmission facilities.  
14 The transmission system must be designed and operated so  
15 that the loss of up to two facilities simultaneously will  
16 not impact to the interconnected transmission system.  
17 These requirements drive the need for Avista to continually  
18 invest in its transmission system. Avista is required to  
19 perform system studies in both the near term (1-5 years)  
20 and long term (5-10 years). If a potential violation is  
21 observed in the future years, then Avista must develop a  
22 project plan to ensure that the violation is fixed prior to  
23 it becoming a reality. Avista budgets for the future  
24 projects and ensures that the design and construction of  
25 the required projects are completed prior to the time they

1 are needed. Avista will always have a need to develop  
2 these compliance related projects as system load grows, new  
3 generation is interconnected and the system functionality  
4 and usage changes.

5 Avista capital Transmission project requirements are  
6 developed through system planning studies, engineering  
7 analysis, or scheduled upgrades or replacements. The  
8 larger specific projects that are developed through the  
9 system planning study process typically go through a  
10 thorough internal review process that includes multiple  
11 stakeholder review to ensure all system needs are  
12 adequately addressed. Smaller projects are selected to  
13 meet specific system needs or equipment replacement.  
14 However, both project cost and system benefits are  
15 considered in the selection of the final projects.

16 The major capital transmission costs (system) for  
17 projects to be completed in 2010 are approximately \$18.888  
18 million as described below.

19 The specific projects scheduled for 2010 completion  
20 related to reliability compliance projects will cost  
21 \$13.372 million (again, on a system basis) and include:

22 Reliability Compliance Projects:

- 23 • Lolo 230 kV Substation (\$1.450 million): This project  
24 involves the rebuild of the existing Lolo substation  
25 to increase the capacity of the substation bus,  
26 breakers, and supporting equipment to match the  
27 upgraded capacity of the transmission lines that  
28 connect to the substation. The new Lolo substation  
29 design significantly improves reliability and

1 operating flexibility. The Lolo Substation project  
2 was constructed in phases to allow operational  
3 flexibility due to system reliability concerns  
4 associated with other scheduled construction in the  
5 area. Phase 1 was completed in 2007 and the remainder  
6 of the project (\$1.45 million) was completed in  
7 February 2010. The Lolo Substation project costs were  
8 developed by the Engineering Department and approved  
9 through the capital budget process. This project is  
10 required to meet Reliability Compliance under NERC  
11 Standards: TOP-004-2 R1-R4, TPL-002-0a R1-R3, and TPL-  
12 003-0a R1-R3.  
13

- 14 • Spokane/Coeur d'Alene area relay upgrade (\$1.250  
15 million): This project involves the replacement of  
16 older protective 115 kV system relays with new micro-  
17 processor relays to increase system reliability by  
18 reducing the amount of time it takes to sense a system  
19 disturbance and isolate it from the system. This is a  
20 five year project and is required to maintain  
21 compliance with mandatory reliability standards. This  
22 project is required to meet Reliability Compliance  
23 under NERC Standards: TOP-004-2 R1-R4, TPL-002-0a R1-  
24 R3, TPL-003-0a R1-R3.  
25
- 26 • Nez Perce 115 kV Substation Rebuild and Capacitor Bank  
27 (\$3.575 million): This project involves the complete  
28 rebuild of the Nez Perce substation based upon its  
29 degraded condition. The project also includes the  
30 addition of a shunt capacitor bank to provide voltage  
31 support to the area for critical contingencies to  
32 ensure compliance with NERC Standards: TOP-004-2 R1-  
33 R4, TPL-002-0a R1-R3, TPL-003-0a R1-R3.  
34
- 35 • SCADA Replacement (\$0.800 million): The System Control  
36 and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system is used by the  
37 system operators to monitor and control the Avista  
38 transmission system. The SCADA system will be  
39 upgraded in 2010 to a new version provided by our  
40 SCADA vendor. The current application version is no  
41 longer supported by the vendor. The upgrade will  
42 ensure Avista has adequate control and monitoring of  
43 its Transmission facilities. This portion of the  
44 project is required to meet Reliability Compliance  
45 under NERC Standards: TOP-001-1, TOP-002-2a R5-R10,  
46 R16, TOP-005-2 R2, TOP-006-2 R1-R7. Several Remote  
47 Terminal Units (RTUs) located at substations  
48 throughout Avista's service territory will also be

1 replaced. The RTUs are part of the transmission  
2 control system.  
3

- 4 • System Replace/Install Capacitor Bank (\$0.750  
5 million): This project includes the construction of a  
6 115 kV capacitor bank at Airway Heights to support  
7 local area voltages during system outages. The  
8 project is required to meet reliability compliance  
9 with NERC Standards: TOP-004-2 R1-R4, TPL-002-0a R1-  
10 R3, TPL-003-0a R1-R3, and provide improved service to  
11 customers. The project is scheduled to be completed  
12 by July of 2010.  
13
- 14 • Airway Heights-Silver Lake (North Fairchild Tap) 115kV  
15 Transmission Line (\$0.975 million): This work is  
16 necessary to upgrade the final 2.5 miles of the ten  
17 mile long transmission line from #2/0 ACSR to 556 kcm  
18 Aluminum (100 MVA-Summer) conductor. The line upgrade  
19 will meet compliance requirements associated with NERC  
20 Standards: TOP-004-2 R1-R4, TPL-002-0a R1-R3, TPL-003-  
21 0a R1-R3. Additionally, this work will increase  
22 service reliability to an essential military facility  
23 (North Fairchild Air Force Base). Using 2009 actual  
24 loads, the new conductor will reduce line losses by 71  
25 MWh on an annual basis, establishing a yearly offset  
26 savings of \$7,100 (based on a \$100/MWh avoided energy  
27 cost); these savings have been reflected in the  
28 proposed revenue requirement.  
29
- 30 • Mos230-Pullman 115 Reconductor (\$1.300 million): Year  
31 two of this multi-year project continues to upgrade  
32 the transmission line from 1/0 copper to 556 kcm  
33 Aluminum (100 MVA-Summer) conductor in order to  
34 mitigate thermal overloads experienced during heavy  
35 summer load conditions. The line upgrade will meet  
36 compliance requirements associated with NERC  
37 Standards: TOP-004-2 R1-R4, TPL-002-0a R1-R3, TPL-003-  
38 0a R1-R3. Using 2009 actual loads, the new conductor  
39 will reduce line losses by 151 MWh on an annual basis,  
40 establishing a yearly offset savings of \$15,100 (based  
41 on a \$100/MWh avoided energy cost); these savings have  
42 been reflected in the proposed revenue requirement.  
43

#### 44 Environmental Regulation Projects:

- 45 • Beacon Storage Yard (\$0.750 million): The Beacon  
46 Storage Yard is a location where circuit breakers and  
47 power transformers are stored and staged for rotation  
48 into existing substations as replacements or for new  
49 construction. This site is near the Spokane River and

1 this project work will provide an oil containment  
2 system to protect the local environment. In 2009, the  
3 Company constructed the bulk of the Beacon Substation  
4 Equipment Storage Yard for a total spend and transfer  
5 to plant of \$948k. In 2010, the remainder of the yard  
6 and a building to securely house the mobile  
7 substations and battery trailer will be completed and  
8 transferred to plant.  
9

10 Contractual Required Projects:

- 11 • Colstrip Transmission (\$0.503 million): As a joint  
12 owner of the Colstrip Transmission projects, Avista  
13 pays its ownership share of all capital improvements.  
14 Northwestern Energy either performs or contracts out  
15 the capital work associated with the joint owned  
16 facilities.  
17
- 18 • Tribal Permits (\$0.519 million): The Company has  
19 approximately 300 right-of-way permits on tribal  
20 reservations that need to be renewed. The costs  
21 include labor, appraisals, field work, legal review,  
22 GIS information, negotiations, survey (as needed), and  
23 the actual fee for the permit.  
24

25 Reliability Improvement Projects:

- 26 • Boulder-Rathdrum 115kV Transmission Line (\$1.500  
27 million): Year two of this multi-year project to  
28 integrate the local load service of Idaho Road  
29 Substation will upgrade transmission connectivity from  
30 a "tap" configuration to considerably more reliable  
31 "loop" feed by installing approximately four miles of  
32 transmission line with 795 kcm Aluminum (125 MVA-  
33 Summer) conductor. Using 2009 actual loads, the new  
34 conductor will reduce line losses by 100 MWh on an  
35 annual basis, establishing a yearly offset savings of  
36 \$10,000 (based on a \$100/MWh avoided energy cost),  
37 which has been incorporated into the Company's revenue  
38 requirement.  
39

40 The Company will also spend approximately \$5.516  
41 million in transmission system equipment replacements  
42 associated with storm damage or aging/obsolete equipment.  
43 A brief description of the larger projects included in  
44 these replacement efforts are given below.

1 Replacement Projects:

- 2 • Transmission Minor Rebuilds (\$1.250 million): These  
3 projects include minor transmission rebuilds as a  
4 result of age or damage caused by storms, wind, fire,  
5 and the public. These smaller projects are required to  
6 operate the transmission system safely and reliably.  
7 Facilities will need to be replaced when damaged in  
8 order to maintain customer load service. In 2009 the  
9 Company spent \$2.206 million on these minor rebuild  
10 projects as a result of damage caused by weather or  
11 the public.  
12
- 13 • Power Circuit Breakers (\$0.485 million): The Company  
14 transfers all circuit breakers to plant upon receiving  
15 them. Breakers purchased in 2010 will be installed at  
16 Otis Orchards (WA) Switching Station. Planned  
17 replacements in 2010 include a 115 kV breaker at  
18 Stratford (WA) Switching Station and a 230 kV breaker  
19 at Noxon Rapids Switchyard.  
20
- 21 • Pine Creek - Replace 115 kV Circuit Switcher & Cap  
22 Bank (\$0.570 million): The project scope and  
23 preliminary engineering design work for this project  
24 was started in 2008 and included replacing the circuit  
25 switcher and one 13 kV recloser due to equipment age.  
26 After further investigation the project was expanded  
27 to replace the other two 13 kV reclosers, the cap  
28 bank, deteriorated station control wiring, and removal  
29 of the small panel house including the obsolete Remote  
30 Terminal Unit (RTU). A total of \$0.57 million  
31 directly related to Transmission (115 kV circuit  
32 switcher, Capacitor Bank, control wiring, Remote  
33 Terminal Unit) will be transferred to plant in 2010.  
34
- 35 • Otis Orchards - 115 kV Breaker and Line Relay  
36 Replacements (\$0.650 million): This project will  
37 replace the 115 kV breakers and associated 115 kV line  
38 relays at the existing Otis Orchards substation. Four  
39 of the breakers are over 50 years old and have reached  
40 the end of their useful lives. The line relaying must  
41 be replaced with new microprocessor relays to provide  
42 the high speed tripping required for mandatory  
43 reliability standards. The relay replacements are part  
44 of the Spokane/Coeur d'Alene area relay upgrade  
45 project previously discussed.  
46
- 47 • Replacement Programs (\$2.044 million): Avista has  
48 several different equipment replacement programs to  
49 improve reliability by replacing aged equipment that

1 is beyond its useful life. These programs include  
2 transmission and substation air switch upgrades,  
3 arrester upgrades, restoration of substation rock and  
4 fencing, recloser replacements, replacement of  
5 obsolete circuit switchers, substation battery  
6 replacement, interchange meter replacements, high  
7 voltage fuse upgrades, replacement of fuses with  
8 circuit switchers, and voltage regulator replacements.  
9 All of these individual projects improve system  
10 reliability and customer service.  
11

- 12 • Other Small Transmission Projects (\$.517 million):  
13 These projects include various other smaller  
14 transmission system equipment replacement projects.  
15

16  
17 **Q. Please describe the Company's distribution**  
18 **projects in the State of Idaho that will be completed in**  
19 **2010.**

20 A. Distribution Projects in Idaho (including  
21 transformation) for 2010 total \$8.255 million. These  
22 projects are necessary to meet capacity needs of the  
23 system, improve reliability, and rebuild aging distribution  
24 substations and feeders. The following projects make up  
25 the \$8.255 million.

- 26 • Appleway Substation (\$1.980 million) - Appleway 115-13  
27 kV Substation is a wood substation serving most of the  
28 City of Coeur d'Alene. The station has reached the  
29 end of its useful life and additional capacity is  
30 required. The new station will include 2-30 MVA  
31 transformers and six 13 kV feeders. Approximately  
32 \$416k was spent in 2009 for grading, fencing, and the  
33 start of foundation work. It is estimated that \$1.75  
34 million will be staged into plant in 2010 with the  
35 remainder of the project completed in 2011.  
36
- 37 • Deary Substation (\$1.405 million) - Deary 115-24 kV  
38 Substation is a wood substation scheduled to be  
39 rebuilt as a steel substation in 2010. Engineering,  
40 site grading, and fencing were completed in 2009.

1 Approximately \$490k has been spent on this project as  
2 of the end of 2009. The foundations, structures,  
3 equipment, and electrical work will be completed by  
4 the end of Q3 2010.  
5

- 6 • Power Transformer Distribution (\$4.740 million system  
7 / \$1.815 million Idaho) – Transformers are transferred  
8 to plant upon receiving them. These transformers are  
9 being purchased to replace existing spares that will  
10 be installed as either replacements or new  
11 installations.  
12
- 13 • System - Dist Reliability - Improve Worst Feeders  
14 (\$0.700 million): Based on a combination of  
15 reliability statistics, including CAIDI, SAIFI, and  
16 CEMI (Customers Experiencing Multiple Interruptions),  
17 feeders have been selected for reliability improvement  
18 work. This work is expected to improve the  
19 reliability of these feeders. The improvements at  
20 Clark Fork (ID) will include \$250k for a new  
21 substation feeder bay and associated equipment.  
22
- 23 • Distribution - CdA East & North (\$0.905 million) -  
24 These are all Idaho distribution projects. These  
25 represent four discrete feeder reconductor projects as  
26 determined by SynerGee modeling as thermally  
27 constrained.  
28
- 29 • Rathdrum Transformer and 233 Feeder Addition (\$0.900  
30 million) – This project added a second 115-13 kV, 20  
31 MVA Distribution Power Transformer and a new 13 kV  
32 feeder serving the greater Rathdrum-Post Falls area.  
33 The project was required for system reliability and to  
34 allow for better system operational flexibility and  
35 maintenance scheduling. The work started in 2009 and  
36 was completed in February 2010.  
37
- 38 • Pine Creek - Replace 115 kV Circuit Switcher & Cap  
39 Bank (\$0.300 million): The project scope and  
40 preliminary engineering design work for this project  
41 was started in 2008 and included replacing the circuit  
42 switcher and one 13 kV recloser due to equipment age.  
43 After further investigation the project was expanded  
44 to replace the other two 13 kV reclosers, the cap  
45 bank, deteriorated station control wiring, and removal  
46 of the small panel house including the obsolete RTU.  
47 Distribution costs associated with the recloser  
48 replacement will be \$0.30 million.  
49

1 • Potlatch (ID) Transformer Replacement (\$0.250  
2 million): Transformer 1 at Potlatch Substation in  
3 Potlatch, ID, must be replaced due to environmental  
4 concerns. The transformer was received in 2009 and  
5 transferred to plant. These costs are the associated  
6 labor costs to install the new transformer.  
7

8 The Company also will spend approximately \$22.872  
9 million (system) in equipment replacements and minor  
10 rebuilds associated with aging distribution equipment  
11 discovered through inspections, feeders with poor  
12 reliability performance, replacements from storm damage, or  
13 relocation of feeder sections resulting from road moves. A  
14 brief description of the projects included in these  
15 replacement efforts is given below.

- 16
- 17 • Electric Distribution Minor Blanket Projects (\$7.000  
18 million): This effort includes the replacement of  
19 poles and cross-arms on distribution lines in 2010 as  
20 required, due to storm damage, wind, fires, or  
21 obsolescence. The company spent \$9.22 million in 2009  
22 for these projects.  
23
  - 24 • Wood Pole Replacement Program and Capital Distribution  
25 Feeder Repair (\$6.884 million): The distribution wood-  
26 pole management program is a strength evaluation of a  
27 certain percentage of the pole population each year.  
28 We have over 240,000 distribution poles and 34,500  
29 transmission poles in our electric system. Depending  
30 on the test results for a given pole, that pole is  
31 either considered satisfactory, reinforced with a  
32 steel stub, or replaced. As feeders are inspected as  
33 part of the wood-pole management program, issues are  
34 identified unrelated to the condition of the pole.  
35 This project also funds the work required to resolve  
36 those issues (i.e. leaking transformers, transformers  
37 older than 1964, failed arrestors, missing grounds,  
38 damaged cutouts). Since the pre-World War II buildup  
39 wood poles have reached the end of their useful life,  
40 Avista's Wood Pole Management program was put into

1 place to prevent the Pole-Rotten events and Crossarm -  
2 Rotten events from increasing. So far, the Wood Pole  
3 Management Program has helped keep Pole-Rotten and  
4 Crossarm-Rotten events in check. The Company spent  
5 \$8.276 million on these efforts in 2009.  
6

- 7 • Electric Underground Replacement (\$4.000 million):  
8 This effort involves replacing the first generation of  
9 Underground Residential District (URD) cable, which  
10 has been ongoing for the past several years. This  
11 program focuses on replacing a vintage and type of  
12 cable that has reached its end of life and contributes  
13 significantly to URD cable failures. The Company  
14 spent \$3.69 million in 2009. The incremental savings  
15 in Operation and Maintenance expenses seen in 2009  
16 compared to 2008 was \$120,000 due to reduced number of  
17 URD Primary Cable fault reductions. For the pro forma  
18 period, we anticipate that we will see the same  
19 incremental savings as 2009, which has been included  
20 as an offset for the Electric Underground Replacement  
21 project.  
22
- 23 • T&D Line Relocation (\$2.348 million): The relocation  
24 of transmission and distribution lines as required due  
25 to road moves requested by State, County or City  
26 governments. The Company spent \$2.2 million in 2009 on  
27 line relocations associated with road moves.  
28
- 29 • Failed Electric Plant (\$2.000 million): Replacement  
30 of distribution equipment throughout the year as  
31 required due to equipment failure. The Company spent  
32 \$3.44 million in 2009.  
33
- 34 • Other Small Distribution projects (\$0.640 million):  
35 These projects include various smaller distribution  
36 project equipment replacements and minor rebuilds,  
37 such as the distribution feeder reconductor project  
38 identified as "thermally constrained" portions of the  
39 feeder trunk lines located in Pullman and Lewis Clark  
40 valley.  
41

42  
43 **Q. Does this complete your pre-filed direct**  
44 **testimony?**

45 **A. Yes, it does.**

DAVID J. MEYER  
VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF COUNSEL OF  
REGULATORY & GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS  
AVISTA CORPORATION  
P.O. BOX 3727  
1411 EAST MISSION AVENUE  
SPOKANE, WASHINGTON 99220-3727  
TELEPHONE: (509) 495-4316  
FACSIMILE: (509) 495-8851  
DAVID.MEYER@AVISTACORP.COM

**BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ) CASE NO. AVU-E-10-01  
OF AVISTA CORPORATION FOR THE )  
AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS RATES )  
AND CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC AND )  
NATURAL GAS SERVICE TO ELECTRIC ) EXHIBIT NO. 8  
AND NATURAL GAS CUSTOMERS IN THE )  
STATE OF IDAHO ) SCOTT J. KINNEY  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

FOR AVISTA CORPORATION

(ELECTRIC ONLY)

**Avista Corporation**  
**- Energy Delivery -**  
**Pro Forma Transmission Revenue/Expenses**  
**(\$000s)**

<u>Line No.</u>		<u>2009 Actual</u>	<u>Adjusted</u>	<u>Oct 2010 - Sep 2011 Pro Forma Period</u>
	<b><u>556 OTHER POWER SUPPLY EXPENSES</u></b>			
1	NWPP	36	4	40
	<b><u>560-71.4, 935.3-4 TRANSMISSION O&amp;M EXPENSE</u></b>			
2	Colstrip O&M - 500kV Line	491	98	589
3	ColumbiaGrid Development	202	-10	192
4	ColumbiaGrid Planning	142	73	215
5	ColumbiaGrid OASIS	35	45	80
6	ColumbiaGrid DSRFA	2	-2	0
7	Grid West (ID)	71	0	71
8	Total Account 560-71.4, 935.3-4	<u>943</u>	<u>204</u>	<u>1,147</u>
	<b><u>561 TRANSMISSION EXP-LOAD DISPATCHING</u></b>			
9	Elect Sched & Acctg Srv (CASSO/OATI)	172	-12	160
	<b><u>566 TRANSMISSION EXP-OPRN-MISCELLANEOUS</u></b>			
10	NERC CIP	25	0	25
11	OASIS Expenses	4	5	9
12	BPA Power Factor Penalty	124	22	146
13	WECC - Sys. Security Monitor	159	9	168
14	WECC Admin & Net Oper Comm Sys	329	41	370
15	WECC - Loop Flow	40	-6	34
16	Total Account 556	<u>681</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>752</u>
17	<b>TOTAL EXPENSE</b>	<u>1,832</u>	<u>267</u>	<u>2,099</u>
	<b><u>456 OTHER ELECTRIC REVENUE</u></b>			
18	Borderline Wheeling	5,552	2,286	7,838
19	Seattle/Tacoma Main Canal	193	83	276
20	Seattle/ Tacoma Summer Falls	74	0	74
21	OASIS nf & stf Whl (Other Whl)	2,962	779	3,741
22	PP&L - Dry Gulch	206	43	249
23	Spokane Waste to Energy Plant	160	0	160
24	* Vaagen Wheeling	97	-97	0
25	* Grant County PUD	56	-14	42
26	Grand Coulee Project	8	0	8
27	* PP&L Series Cap -1978	5	-5	0
28	** NaturEner Pwr Watch	10	-10	0
29	** FERC Settlement	115	-115	0
30	Total Account 456	<u>9,438</u>	<u>2,950</u>	<u>12,388</u>
31	<b>TOTAL REVENUE</b>	<u>9,438</u>	<u>2,950</u>	<u>12,388</u>
32	<b>TOTAL NET EXPENSE</b>	<u>-7,606</u>	<u>-2,683</u>	<u>-10,289</u>

\* Contracts ended in either 2009 or 2010.

\*\* One time events.