

✓ Men. Ack
sent 9/3/09

✓ To AV

✓ To Commes.
; H

Jean Jewell

From: js_weber@hotmail.com
Sent: Sunday, August 30, 2009 6:34 AM
To: Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment Form

A Comment from John Weber follows:

Case Number: GNR-E-09-03
Name: John Weber
Address: 3025 N Five Mile Rd Apt 203
City: Boise
State: ID
Zip: 83713
Daytime Telephone: 208-378-9887
Contact E-Mail: js weber@hotmail.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your comment briefly:
Does the present surrogate avoided rate methodology for published avoided-cost rates need to be modified or augmented? Yes

If the answer is yes, please provide a basis and describe in broad, general terms how the methodology should be augmented or modified.

Avoided costs rates should match the type of generation. Base load generation or purchased power is less expensive than peak load generation or purchased power. I would suggest that a combined cycle gas plant (base load) be used for wind and small hydro (and a single cycle gas plant (peaker) be used for solar.

Wind and small hydro are base load resources. Solar is a peak load resource. It generates the peak time of day and also the peak time of year.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 207.69.139.154
