Avista Corp.
1411 East Mission P.O. Box 3727
Spokane. Washington 99220-0500
Telephone 509-489-0500
Toll Free 800-727-9170



AVISTA Corp.

2012 OCT 25 AM 10: 17

UTILITIES COMMISSION

October 24, 2012

Ms. Jean Jewell Commission Secretary Idaho Public Utilities Commission 472 W. Washington Boise, ID 83702

RE: GNR-E-11-03 - Comments of Avista Corporation in Support of Partial Settlement Stipulation

Dear Ms. Jewell:

Enclosed please find the Comments of Avista Corporation in Support of Partial Settlement Stipulation, submitted for filing in the above-referenced docket on behalf of Avista Corporation. Per the Commission's Rules of Procedure, we have enclosed and original (unbound and unstapled) and seven (7) copies.

If you have any questions regarding this filing, please contact Michael Andrea at 509.495.2564 or me at 509.495.4584.

Sincerely,

Paul Kimball Regulatory Analyst

2/1/1

Enclosures

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have served the Comments of Avista Corporation in Support of Partial Settlement Stipulation in GNR-E-11-03, by electronic mail to the following:

Daniel E. Solander PacifiCorp/ dba Rocky Mountain Power 201 S., Main St., Suite 2300 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 daniel.solander@pacificorp.com

Donovan E. Walker
Jason B. Williams
Idaho Power Company
PO Box 70
Boise, ID 83707-0070
dwalker@idahopower.com
jwilliams@idahopoer.com

Robert D. Kahn
Executive Director
Northwest and Intermountain Power
Producers Coalition
117 Minor Ave., Suite 300
Seattle, WA 98101
rkahn@nippc.org

Robert A. Paul Grand View Solar II 15690 Vista Circle Desert Hot Springs, CA 92241 Robertapaul08@gmail.com

Ronald L. Williams Williams Bradbury, P.C. 1015 W. Hays Street Boise, ID 83702 ron@williamsbradbury.com

C. Thomas Arkoosh
Capital Law Group, PLLC
205 N. 10th St., 4th Floor
Boise, ID 83701
tarkoosh@capitallawgroup.com

Donald I. Howell, II
Kristine A. Sasser
Deputy Attorneys General
Idaho Public Utilities Commission
472 W. Washington
Boise, ID 83702-0074
don.howell@puc.idaho.gov
kris.sasser@puc.idaho.gov

Peter J. Richardson Gregory M. Adams Richardson & O'Leary, PLLC PO Box 7218 Boise, ID 83702 peter@richardsonandoleary.com greg@richardsonandoleary.com

Don Sturtevant
J.R. Simplot Company
PO Box 27
Boise, ID 83707-0027
don.sturtevant@simplot.com

James Carkulis
Exergy Development Group of Idaho, LLC
802 W. Bannock St., Suite 1200
Boise, ID 83702
jcarkulis@exergydevelopment.com

Dr. Don Reading 6070 Hill Road Boise, ID 83703 dreading@mindspring.com

Don Schoenbeck RCS 900 Washington St., Suite 780 Vancouver, WA 98660 dws@r-c-s-inc.com John R. Lowe, Consultant Renewable Energy Coalition 12050 SW Tremont St. Portland, OR 97225 jravensanmarcos@yahoo.com

Bill Piske
Interconnect Solar Development, LLC
1303 E. Carter
Boise, ID 83706
billpiske@cableone.net

Lori Thomas Capital Law Group, PLLC PO Box 2598 Boise, ID 83701-2598 lthomas@capitallawgroup.com

Wade Thomas General Counsel Dynamis Energy. LLC 776 W. Riverside Dr., Suite 15 Eagle, ID 83616 wthomas@dynamisenergy.com

Brian Olmstead Twin Falls Canal Company PO Box 326 Twin Falls, ID 83303 olmstead@tfcanal.com

Bill Brown, Chair
Board of Commissioners of
Adams County, ID
PO Box 48
Council, ID 83612
bdbrown@frontiernet.net

R. Greg Ferney Mimura Law Offices, PLLC 2176 E. Franklin Rd., Suite 120 Meridan, ID 83642 greg@mimuralaw.com

Dean J. Miller Chas F. McDevitt McDevitt & Miller, LLP PO Box 2564 Boise, WA 83701 joe@mcdevitt-miller.com chas@mcdevitt-miller.com

M.J. Humphries
Blue Ribbon Energy LLC
4515 S. Ammon Road
Ammon, ID 83406
blueribbonenergy@gmail.com

Arron F. Jepson Blue Ribbon Energy LLC 10660 South 540 East Sandy, UT 84070 arronesq@aol.com

Ted Diehl
North Side Canal Company
921 N. Lincoln St.
Jerome, ID 83338
nscanal@cableone.net

Ted S. Sorenson, P.E. Birch Power Company 5203 South 11th East Idaho Falls, ID 83404 ted@tsorenson.net

Megan Walseth Decker Senior Staff Counsel Renewable Northwest Project 421 SW 6th Avenue, Suite 1125 Portland, OR 97204 megan@rnp.org

Benjamin J. Otto Idaho Conservation League 710 N. Sixth Street (83702) PO Box 844 Boise, ID 83701 botto@idahoconservation.org

Marv Lewallen
Clearwater Paper Corporation
601 W. Riverside Ave., Suite 1100
Spokane, WA 99201
Marv.lewallen@clearwaterpaper.com

Deborah E. Nelson Kelsey J. Nunez Givens Pursley LLP 601 W. Bannock Street (83702) PO Box 2720 Boise, ID 83701-2720 den@givenspursley.com kjn@givenspursley.com

J.Kahle Becker, Idaho The Alaska Center 1020 W. Main St. Suite 400 Boise, ID 83702 kahle@kahlebeckerlaw.com

By: Paul Kimball

Regulatory Analyst

Glenn Ikemoto
Margaret Rueger
Idaho Windfarms LLC
672 Blair Ave.
Piedmont, CA 94611
glenni@envisionwind.com
Margaret@envisionwind.com

Liz Woodruff
Ken Miller
Snake River Alliance
PO Box 1731
Boise, ID 83701
lwoodruff@snakeriveralliance.org
kmiller@snakeriveralliance.org

Tauna Christensen
Energy Integrity Project
769 N 1100 E
Shelley, ID 83274
tauna@energyintegrityproject.org

Michael J. Uda Uda Law Firm, P.C. 7 W. 6th Avenue, Suite 4E Helena, MT 59601 muda@mthelena.com

October 24, 2012

RECEIVED

MICHAEL G. ANDREA (ISB No. 8308) Avista Corporation 1411 E. Mission Ave., MSC-23 Spokane, WA 99202 Telephone: (509) 495-2564 michael.andrea@avistacorp.com

2012 OCT 25 AM 10: 17

IDAHO PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

Attorney for Avista Corporation

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

REVIEW OF PURPA QF CONTRACT)	
PROVISIONS INCLUDING THE) CASE NO. GNR-E-11-0)3
SURROGATE AVOIDED RESOURCES)	
(SAR) AND INTEGRATED RESOURCE) COMMENTS OF AVIS	TA
PLANNING (IRP) METHODOLOGIES FOR) CORPORATION IN SU	JPPORT OF
CALCULATING AVOIDED COST RATES) PARTIAL SETTLEMEN	NT
) STIPULATION	
)	

On October 16, 2012, the Idaho Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") issued a Notice of Partial Settlement Stipulation; Request for Comment ("Notice")¹ in which the Commission invited comments on a partial settlement ("Partial Settlement Stipulation") addressing the issue of delay security and liquidated damages in PURPA power purchase agreements ("PPAs"). The Partial Settlement Stipulation was signed by 13 of the 25 parties in the above-captioned proceeding. Pursuant to the Notice, Avista respectfully submits these comments in support of the Partial Settlement Stipulation.

Page - 1 COMMENTS OF AVISTA CORPORATION IN SUPPORT OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

¹ Order No. 32665.

Avista Corporation ("Avista") has consistently asserted that it is imperative that PURPA PPAs contain meaningful security and liquidated damages provisions.² In direct testimony submitted by Avista in this proceeding, Mr. Kalich stated:

Liquidated damages provisions, including adequate security to ensure payment of liquidated damages if necessary, are one of two key protections a utility must have with any developer who might otherwise not exercise their "put option" in the absence of an obligation on the part of the QF to perform. Once a PURPA contract is executed by the parties it becomes a firm contract in the utility's resource stack and, as such, the proposed QF resource postpones the development of other resource alternatives; in other words, the contract then allows and obligates the Company to avoid the costs associated with investing in its system to ensure reliable electricity service. To the extent the PURPA developer does not honor its contract commitments the utility ends up at the last moment having to procure other resources, potentially at higher cost. In the absence of meaningful liquidated damages, the QF developer has a free option to either honor its contractual commitment where the avoided cost rate under the contract (agreed to years before commercial operation) is greater than its alternatives at the time of commercial operation, break its commitment and sell to a 3rd party if it can secure a higher price, or simply cease development where market conditions have changed.³

In the event that a QF fails to honor its contractual obligation, actual damages to the utility and its ratepayers may include, among other things, additional costs associated with procuring replacement energy and the lost opportunity costs associated with projects or other opportunities that were not pursued because the QF was in the utility's resource stack. To be sure, accurate determination of actual damages would be difficult or impossible. Thus, in order to ensure that a utility has some recourse in the event that a QF fails to honor its contractual obligations, Avista recommended that PURPA PPAs require, at a minimum, that QFs post security equal to \$45 per kilowatt based on installed capacity. In the event that the QF failed to achieve commercial operation by the scheduled commercial operation date, damages would be calculated based on the difference between the market price of replacement power and the PPA

Page - 2 COMMENTS OF AVISTA CORPORATION IN SUPPORT OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENT STIPULATION

² E.g., Direct Testimony of Clint Kalich on Behalf of Avista Corporation, GNR-E-11-03, at 31-33 (Jan. 31, 2012) ("Kalich Direct").

³ Kalich Direct at 31-32.

⁴ Kalich Direct at 32.

price during a reasonable cure period (90-180 days after the scheduled commercial operation date). If the QF failed to achieve commercial operation prior to the expiration of the cure period, the QF would forfeit its security as liquidated damages and the utility could terminate the PPA.

Although there are some differences between the Partial Settlement Stipulation and Avista's recommendations, the Partial Settlement Stipulation includes many of the elements recommended by Avista, including a requirement that QF developers post security equal to \$45 per kilowatt. The Partial Settlement Stipulation in the above-captioned proceeding, provides for standardization of certain terms in PURPA PPAs, including (i) standard terms with regard to the amount of security that QF developers are required to post, (ii) a standard cure period for QFs that fail to achieve commercial operation by the scheduled operation date, and (iii) a standard for calculating damages incurred by the utility during the cure period. Such standard terms should enhance the process of entering into PURPA PPAs by resolving issues between the utilities and QF developer. Also the requirement that QF developers post security equal to \$45 per kilowatt based on nameplate capacity provides assurance that utilities will have at least some recourse if a QF developer fails to satisfy its contractual obligations. Accordingly, Avista supports the terms of the Partial Settlement Stipulation.

Respectfully submitted this 24th day of October 2012.

AVISTA CORPORATION

Michael G. Andrea Senior Counsel

Schiol Counsel

Attorney for Avista Corporation

Page - 3 COMMENTS OF AVISTA CORPORATION IN SUPPORT OF PARTIAL SETTLEMENT STIPULATION