

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Wednesday, November 12, 2003 2:49 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Wednesday, November 12, 2003
2:48:38 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13
Name: Jaron Adkins
Street Address: 377 Warm Springs Ave.
City: Boise
State: Idaho
ZIP: 83712

Home Telephone: 208-353-2194

E-Mail: offigo@munich.com

Company: Idaho Power

mailing_list_yes_no: yes

Comment_description: I think that Idaho Power's proposed rate increase would be a blatant affront the private citizens and small businesses of this state, as this is the group that would pay for the brunt of this ridiculous rate hike. In an economy that has only recently begun to recover from a recession, this is not what the citizens of this state need.
Jaron Adkins

Transaction ID: 11121448.38

Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>

User Address: 67.41.34.116

User Hostname: 67.41.34.116

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Wednesday, November 12, 2003 1:26 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Wednesday, November 12, 2003
1:26:02 PM

Case:

Name: Richelle Young
Street Address: 3866 Pershing Dr.
City: Boise
State: Idaho
ZIP: 83705
Home Telephone: 2008-424-0350
E-Mail: Devils_dghtr@yahoo.com
Company: Idaho Power
mailing_list_yes_no: no
Comment_description: Please do not increase the power rates. I cannot afford to eat and pay a higher power bill. How do you expect people who cannot currently live without assistance to pay a higher power bill and get off of welfare?

Transaction ID: 11121326.2
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 132.178.223.171
User Hostname: 132.178.223.171

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Wednesday, November 12, 2003 9:43 AM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Wednesday, November 12, 2003
9:43:20 AM

Case:

Name: Charlotte Chaney
Street Address: 1378 N. Trail Creek Way
City: Eagle
State: ID
ZIP: 83616
Home Telephone: (208) 939-1648
E-Mail: cvchaney@aol.com
Company: Idaho Power Co.
mailing_list_yes_no: no

Comment_description: Please deny Idaho Power's request for an increase in its monthly service charge from \$2.51 to \$10.00. What could possibly justify such a drastic increase? That amount alone will cause a huge jump in the average ratepayer's bill, especially when combined with the rate increase IP is requesting.

The average citizen is in no position to critically examine the justification for the requested increase in rates. That is the job of the Public Utilities Commission. In making your decision, please protect the interests of the public when considering these rate increases.

Thank you,
Charlotte Chaney

Transaction ID: 1112943.20
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 207.170.251.90
User Hostname: 207.170.251.90

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2003 6:25 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Tuesday, November 11, 2003
6:25:27 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13
Name: Charles Federmann
Street_Address: 2511 Idaho
City: Boise
State: ID
ZIP: 83702
Home_Telephone:
E-Mail:

Company: Idaho Power

mailing_list_yes_no: no

Comment_description: I do not believe Idaho power has presented a case as to why they need to increase their fees. I mean come on 19% increase. When it comes to this increase, I have not sat back, I have read through the information and I am not convinced that we need a 19% increase.

Transaction ID: 11111825.27

Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>

User Address: 66.193.128.100

User Hostname: 66.193.128.100

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2003 12:59 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Tuesday, November 11, 2003
12:58:48 PM

Case:

Name: James S Cox
Street_Address: 1348 Hankins Rd N
City: Twin Falls
State: Id
ZIP: 83301
Home_Telephone: 208 733 5227
E-Mail: jamescox@lightcom.net
Company: Id power
mailing_list_yes_no: no

Comment_description: A while back the PUC requested that ICorp pay back about 90mil for over charging IP for power its marketing group sold it. IC said it only owed 1/2 because the PUC had allowed that typ of billing. What was the resolve of that? IP basically works on a cost plus basis. They add customers totheir power grids at vertually no added cost to the dam or power lines. How many dams have they built in the last 10 years? If you request a service from IP they bill you for the new poles and lines..and quit significantly. So where is there added cost? Id Corp (IC) owns the new power plants(West One, etc.) so they can sell power back to the highest bidder ie IP. They were involved in marketing power that screwed millions of power users... Ca. sued and appearently IC is making a pay back. Did the PUC request a pay back? IC made 100s of millions as middle men in NONPRDUCTIVE profits at the cost to the rate payers. IC is using IP to inhance profits & bilk the public. I realize you don't regulate IC but you do IP. Why don't you speak out about their scull duggery and try to stop it? Thank you James S Cox

Transaction ID: 11111258.48
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 198.60.100.25
User Hostname: 198.60.100.25

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2003 8:45 AM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Tuesday, November 11, 2003
8:45:24 AM

Case:

Name: R&M Coburn

Street_Address: 2440 E Challis St

City: Meridian

State: ID

ZIP: 83642

Home_Telephone: 208-884-5564

E-Mail: cobrm2@cableone.net

Company: Idaho Power

mailing_list_yes_no: yes

Comment_description: A meter rate increase doesn't seem appropriate, when I pay an average of \$100 per month. I find it hard to believe that \$2.50 doesn't cover reading our meter every month - times how many meters actually are read in a day? And you want to raise each meter charge to \$10.00? Are you trying to tell us that you don't make a dime on power a customer has used? We are of average income and don't have an issue paying for power that we use, but I can't imagine how others that don't have jobs or just barely getting by can afford this increase. Consumers pay for water, natural gas, almost \$2.00 per gallon of gasoline to get to work every day so we can pay our utilities besides putting food on the table. Those are just the very basics required. Please consider this and keep the current rate stable or please consider a much smaller increase. Thank you.

Transaction ID: 1111845.24

Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>

User Address: 24.117.176.252

User Hostname: 24.117.176.252

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2003 8:27 AM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Tuesday, November 11, 2003
8:27:09 AM

Case: IPC-E-03-13
Name: Daniel Hawley
Street Address: Box 49
City: Ketchum
State: Idaho
ZIP: 83340
Home Telephone: 208-726-4962
E-Mail: skier@sunvalley.net
Company: Idaho Power Company
mailing_list_yes_no: yes
Comment_description: I wish to comment on Idaho Power Company's proposed rate increase. I believe that the residential customer service charge increase, from \$2.51 to \$10 per month, should not be allowed. This increase disproportionately effects those who conserve energy and thusly have smaller monthly charges. Using the year 2002's power bills I would have a 23% rate increase if the service charge rate increase were to be added to my bill. This does not factor in the base rate increase.

I feel that encouraging conservation by financial reward is important. If it is necessary for Idaho Power Company to increase it's power rates then increase the base rate appropriately. Encourage energy conservation with a direct correlation with energy consumed and the monthly bill. Do not penalize those who use only small amounts of electrical energy with the highest rate increase. Reward conservation, do not allow the proposed service charge rate increase.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Daniel Hawley

Transaction ID: 1111827.9
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 208.14.167.84
User Hostname: 208.14.167.84

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2003 2:29 AM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Tuesday, November 11, 2003
2:28:52 AM

Case:

Name: Dennis Walker
Street Address: 2035 W 200 S
City: Pingree
State: Id
ZIP: 83262
Home Telephone: 208-684-4600
E-Mail: dwalker@srv.net
Company: Idaho Power
mailing_list_yes_no: yes

Comment description: Idaho Power propose to get an increase for the lines they have added and my question is: When my Son and I want to get a line relocated due to age and Location we had to pay up front for the 6 poles and wire that it took to do the job at a cost of \$30,000.00 before they would do the job. lines and pole are being added and repaired all over and it is being paid for by the customer before Idaho Power will do the job.

Also I don't beleive those so called exectives of Idaho Power should be getting the BONUSSES that they are getting, especially during our hurtinging economy and bad times for our agri businesses in the region.

Transaction ID: 1111228.52
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 65.19.202.160
User Hostname: 65.19.202.160

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 10:36 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
10:35:34 PM

Case: Idaho Power Rate Increase

Name:

Street Address:

City: Nampa

State: Idaho

ZIP:

Home Telephone:

E-Mail: tcowboys@msn.com

Company: Idaho Power

mailing_list_yes_no: yes

Comment description: Is Idaho Power freaking nuts??? They are proposing a \$10 Customer Charge now instead of the already ridiculous \$2.51 charge on my bill. That's just their way of collecting more per customer without showing so on the rate increase. You know, I'd like an increase in my paycheck too, but economic times are tough right now and most people are just happy to have a job. Nobody is seeing pay increases at work, but the cost of living keeps going up anyway. Please put a stop to this nonsense Idaho Power is proposing. When economic times are good again, then maybe we could take this a little better. But right now is NOT a good time to be suggesting rate increases or Customer Charges when we all are struggling just to put food on the table for our families!

Transaction ID: 11102235.34

Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>

User Address: 67.1.23.38

User Hostname: 67.1.23.38

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 10:35 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
10:34:37 PM

Case: Idaho Power Rate Increase

Name: Anonymous

Street Address:

City: Nampa

State: Idaho

ZIP:

Home Telephone:

E-Mail:

Company: Idaho Power

mailing_list_yes_no: no

Comment_description: Is Idaho Power freaking nuts??? They are proposing a \$10 Customer Charge now instead of the already ridiculous \$2.51 charge on my bill. That's just their way of collecting more per customer without showing so on the rate increase. You know, I'd like an increase in my paycheck too, but economic times are tough right now and most people are just happy to have a job. Nobody is seeing pay increases at work, but the cost of living keeps going up anyway. Please put a stop to this nonsense Idaho Power is proposing. When economic times are good again, then maybe we could take this a little better. But right now is NOT a good time to be suggesting rate increases or Customer Charges when we all are struggling just to put food on the table for our families!

Transaction ID: 11102234.37

Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>

User Address: 67.1.23.38

User Hostname: 67.1.23.38

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 9:25 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
9:24:47 PM

Case:

Name: Rick Robertson
Street Address: 117 Sunnyside Dr.
City: Ketchum
State: Idaho
ZIP: 83340

Home Telephone: 726-0131

E-Mail: rickenruth@cs.com

Company: Idaho Power

mailing_list_yes_no: no

Comment_description: In regards to the pending Id power proposed rate increases...wouldn't it be grand if all of us could be assured a 9-11% return on investment year after year after year? Look, the utilities deserve a decent return on their investments BUT...how do you justify these increases after the profits they realized during the recent power/ Enron scam inflicted upon the very customers they seek these new rate increases? Look, Idaho power is no angel. They were involved in the California mess as much as the next utility. didn't the parent company report a significant increase in profits during that whole debacle? And who provided them those profits? Now they're back again asking for yet another "legitimate" increase because demand requires it. I am sorry but I think you, the commission, should seriously evaluate whether or not Idaho Power owes US a rebate from their last unauthorized rate increase. at the very least, the California/Enron/Duke Energy/Idaho Power etc power profit grab of 2000-2001 should be seriously considered before ANY rate increase is allowed. For the most part, not to be sidlined (or honest) most everyone jumped on to the profit wagontrain back in 2000-01. Some did very well. Calif. is still battling to get some of those ill gotten gains back to the consumers without much success. It's not like we have a big choice here on who we'd like to provide us with power. It's pretty much a monopoly. My vote is to factor into your decision regarding this rate increase whether or not it's warranted in face of what occurred in 2000-2001. How many times are we going to be asked to pony up for their greed/mistakes? I'd be very wary of how they present their case(s) justification for these increases. And, by the way, after this whole promotional blitz Idaho Power has pushed into the media to "educate" us as to why our rates gotta increase I called them today to really asking them "what's driving our rates"...you know what? In all of Idaho Power's corp. offices only one person was available to answer any questions I might of had. One. And he was in a meeting. Never got a reply. Gee, they're really concerned about what we must think about what's driving our power rates...

Transaction ID: 11102124.47

Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>

User Address: 198.81.26.142

User Hostname: 198.81.26.142

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 4:02 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
4:01:47 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13
Name: Joanne Bradford
Street Address: 11984 Jerry Lee Lane
City: Nampa
State: ID
ZIP: 83651
Home Telephone: 208-466-8110
E-Mail: joanne.bradford@usbank.com
Company: Idaho Power Company
mailing_list_yes_no: yes

Comment_description: I am strong opposed to the proposed fee increased. All businesses are having to tighten their budgets in this economy and Idaho Power should be no different. A minimal increase may be warranted but over 5% is un-called for. I certainly have no way of increasing my income by asking for a 19%+ raise and I could not afford my home if all of my vendors increased their rates the same. We just went through a natural gas increase.

Please vote against this increase.

Transaction ID: 11101601.47
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 170.135.241.46
User Hostname: 170.135.241.46

Jean Jewell

From: Front
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 3:27 PM
To: Jean Jewell
Subject: FW: Complaint acknowledgement

-----Original Message-----

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 3:08 PM
To: Front; Beverly Barker; Ed Howell; Tonya Clark
Subject: Complaint acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
3:07:39 PM

Name: Dee L. Jorgensen
Street_Address: 149 West 400 North
City: Blackfoot,
State: ID
ZIP: 83221
Home_Telephone: 208-785-2613
Work_Telephone:
E-Mail: barjbar@juno.com
Home_Business: Home
Business_Name:
Business_Street_Address:
Business_Phone:
Complaint_Company: Idaho Power
Local_Provider:
Contacted_utility: No
Complaint_description: Why does Idaho Power need to raise their rates when they just gave us a rate reduction? I just received a letter that explains they plan to raise our rates by 19%. If this is so, why did they give us a small reduction in the first place? It seems to me there has been some pretty poor planing on their part.

Transaction ID: 11101507.39
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/cons>
User Address: 67.250.112.130
User Hostname: 67.250.112.130

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 3:24 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
3:24:07 PM

Case:

Name: Laura Soper
Street Address: 140 Main St. Apt. #4
City: Boise
State: ID
ZIP: 83702
Home Telephone: 724-3453
E-Mail: soperlm@meridianschools.org

Company:

mailing_list_yes_no: no

Comment description: I think that the proposed rate increases are far too high. I can understand a slight increase, but the 20% on rates in addition to a 10 per user fee it a little overboard, isn't it? I cannot imagine such an increase is necessary, and will be shocked it actually goes through.

Transaction ID: 11101524.7

Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>

User Address: 204.228.216.3

User Hostname: 204.228.216.3

Jean Jewell

From: Front
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 2:26 PM
To: Jean Jewell
Subject: FW: Complaint acknowledgement

-----Original Message-----

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 2:25 PM
To: Front; Beverly Barker; Ed Howell; Tonya Clark
Subject: Complaint acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
2:25:16 PM

Name: Jeff Rice
Street_Address: 2135 N.E. 16th St.
City: Fruitland
State: Id.
ZIP: 83619
Home_Telephone: 2084526618
Work_Telephone: 208 739 0733
E-Mail: fm_rice@fmtc.com
Home_Business: Both
Business_Name: Fruitland Mini Storage
Business_Street_Address: P.O. Box 991 Fruitland Id. 83619
Business_Phone: 208 739 0733
Complaint_Company: Idaho Power
Local_Provider:
Contacted_utility: No
Complaint_description: Under the power rate increase they are proposing the service charge per service is to increase from 2.51 per service to 10.00 per service this is a 400% increase I find it hard to need a increase of 400% to cover cost increase.As I have 3 Residential Services and 8 Comercial Services. 3 of these services average a mothly billing around \$10.00 per month on these Services this is a total increase of 175 %

Transaction ID: 11101425.16
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/cons>
User Address: 208.187.168.165
User Hostname: 208.187.168.165

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 2:15 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
2:14:39 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13
Name: T. Reneau
Street_Address: 1800 N. Cole Rd. Apt. F-204
City: Boise
State: ID
ZIP: 83704
Home_Telephone: 208-323-2224
E-Mail:
Company: Idaho Power
mailing_list_yes_no: no
Comment_description: How many more rate increases is Idaho Power going to be allowed to take? The Economy is struggling, this is an obvious fact. However consumers are struggling along with it. Between fuel prices, insurance prices and many other increases we are dealing with to INCLUDE Idaho Power's price increases in the last year, we have seen enough. Please do not allow this increase.

Transaction ID: 11101414.39
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 156.153.255.134
User Hostname: 156.153.255.134

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 1:57 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
1:56:35 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13

Name: Tad Blank

Street_Address: Blank

City: Boise

State: ID

ZIP: 83706

Home_Telephone: 208-384-0786

E-Mail: tadblank@mac.com

Company: Idaho Power

mailing_list_yes_no: yes

Comment_description: I object to the portion of Idaho Power's increase rate proposal that increases the

service charge from \$2.50 a month to \$10.00. As proposed this fixed charge is inequitable and regressive. It represents, roughly, a proposed +300% increase in the service charge. Just as important, those conserving electric use and using the least electricity would be subject to the most burdensome increase and the highest effective over-all cost per kwh. My monthly electrical bill, for instance, would increase by 30% due to the increased service charge. The goals articulated by Idaho Power in justification for the increase service charge can be structured otherwise so as be fair and equitable to low kilowatt users.

Transaction ID: 11101356.35

Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>

User Address: 24.116.19.151

User Hostname: 24.116.19.151

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 1:55 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
1:55:00 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13

Name:

Street_Address:

City: Nampa

State: Idaho

ZIP: 83686

Home_Telephone:

E-Mail:

Company: Idaho Power Company

mailing_list_yes_no: no

Comment_description: I understand that the state is continuing to grow, but I also understand that during the past year or so, the cost of living in our state has continued to increase, especially costs that are out of the control of most consumers. While during this same period of time, salaries are stagnant, at best; unemployment has been high, and the jobs that are being created are usually temporary or at pay rates much lower than the jobs that have been lost. How Idaho Power can justify to ask Residential customers to help "pad their pockets" during a time like this is beyond me. As a residential consumer, I am appalled, and feel as if Idaho Power is taking advantage of the fact that they are the only supplier of electricity in the Treasure Valley, and that they are fully aware that we, the consumers, are forced to pay whatever they charge. I ask that you REJECT their request for a price increase.

Transaction ID: 11101355.0

Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>

User Address: 171.161.96.10

User Hostname: 171.161.96.10

Jean Jewell

From: Front
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 1:46 PM
To: Jean Jewell
Subject: FW: Complaint acknowledgement

-----Original Message-----

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 1:45 PM
To: Front; Beverly Barker; Ed Howell; Tonya Clark
Subject: Complaint acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
1:45:07 PM

Name: Tammy Chavez
Street_Address: 7008 Petrie St
City: Boise
State: ID
ZIP: 83704
Home_Telephone: 208-321-8656
Work_Telephone: 208-386-9902
E-Mail: tchavez@fmsb.com
Home_Business: Home
Business_Name:
Business_Street_Address:
Business_Phone:
Complaint_Company: Idaho Power
Local_Provider:
Contacted_utility: No

Complaint_description: Please do not approve an increase in our monthly power charges. I know they are trying to increase our electricity by 19%, and they also want to increase our monthly service charge to \$10. That is just ridiculous! Our economy is down, and there are a lot of people who already have a hard time paying their electric bill, winter is here and that is going to hike our bill up anyway, please don't allow them to make it any worse!

Transaction ID: 11101345.7
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/cons>
User Address: 63.230.125.185
User Hostname: 63.230.125.185

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 1:15 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
1:14:32 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13
Name: Julie J. Hall
Street_Address: 2014 Stone Ridge Way
City: Boise
State: ID
ZIP: 83712-8561
Home_Telephone: (208) 345-8516
E-Mail: julie_hall@mindspring.com
Company: Idaho Power
mailing_list_yes_no: no

Comment_description: I was concerned to learn that Idaho Power is proposing increasing their Customer Service Charge from \$2.51/month to \$10 per month. This flat rate increase will disproportionately impact the elderly, the poor, and those who who have reduced their use of electricity through energy conservation. I believe this proposed increase is contrary to good energy policy.

Transaction ID: 11101314.32
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 63.187.105.193
User Hostname: 63.187.105.193

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 1:06 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
1:05:41 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13
Name: Thomas
Street_Address: Nampa
City: Nampa
State: ID
ZIP: 83686

Home_Telephone: NA
E-Mail: tom@hotmail.com
Company: Idaho Power Company
mailing_list_yes_no: no

Comment_description: I do not believe Idaho Power Should Increase its rates. I feel they need to

become more efficient. When there meter readers can actually read a meter correctly from one billing to another then maybe they should consider an increase. I believe that Idaho Power needs to install electronic meter reading equipment before they request an increase in rates. I also feel that there Fees they charge you when attempting to pay electronically are crazy and should be investigated. How many people working at IDACORP are getting a large Holiday Bonus ????

Transaction ID: 11101305.41
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 206.207.110.65
User Hostname: 206.207.110.65

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 12:58 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
12:57:43 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13
Name: Melissa Berry
Street_Address: 977 S. Pelican Way
City: Meridian
State: ID
ZIP: 83642
Home_Telephone: 2088874963
E-Mail: mberry6873@juno.com
Company: Idaho Power
mailing_list_yes_no: yes
Comment_description: DO NOT RAISE RATES ANYMORE!!!

Transaction ID: 11101257.43
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 206.17.88.100
User Hostname: 206.17.88.100

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 12:46 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
12:45:49 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13
Name: Leigh Greenwood
Street_Address: 1706 N 11th
City: Boise
State: ID
ZIP: 83702
Home_Telephone: 208 863 6968
E-Mail:

Company: Idaho Power

mailing_list_yes_no: no

Comment_description: I feel it is excessive and unwarranted to raise the residential power fee from \$2.51 to \$10.00. In the case of a small house or apartment, this can amount to a doubling of the electrical bill for the month! This kind of increase, along with the proposed rate increases, warrant a more through and critical investigation.

-Leigh Greenwood

Transaction ID: 11101245.49

Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>

User Address: 132.178.214.71

User Hostname: 132.178.214.71

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 12:23 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
12:22:49 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13

Name: Matthew Radlowski

Street Address: 1706 N 11th St

City: Boise

State: ID

ZIP: 83702

Home Telephone: 208 863-3597

E-Mail: mradlowski@hotmail.com

Company: Idaho Power

mailing_list_yes_no: yes

Comment_description: I am very concerned about a rate increase. I do not want my monthly rates to go up at all. Please do not allow Idaho Power to initiate a rate increase.

Transaction ID: 11101222.49

Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>

User Address: 204.228.240.54

User Hostname: 204.228.240.54

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 10:05 AM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 10, 2003
10:05:16 AM

Case: Idaho Power Rate Request
Name: John Hamilton
Street Address: 2105 N. 17th Street
City: Boise
State: Idaho
ZIP: 83702

Home Telephone: 345-3622
E-Mail: hamilj@mindspring.com
Company: Idaho Power

mailing_list_yes no: yes

Comment description: Idaho's Powers request for a 17% increase to homeowners, with an additional request for a summer time surcharge is outrageous. It seems unreasonable that the company can send profits to Idaho Corp. and Stock Holders, yet expect the public to pay for new expenditures.

Transaction ID: 11101005.16

Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>

User Address: 63.187.128.103

User Hostname: 63.187.128.103

Jean Jewell

From: Front
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 8:13 AM
To: Jean Jewell
Subject: FW: Complaint acknowledgement

-----Original Message-----

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Friday, November 07, 2003 6:29 PM
To: Front; Beverly Barker; Ed Howell; Tonya Clark
Subject: Complaint acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Friday, November 07, 2003
6:28:57 PM

Name: Anthony Rebel
Street_Address: 6477 W. Kangaroo Ct.
City: Boise
State: ID
ZIP: 83703
Home_Telephone: 208-794-1800
Work_Telephone:
E-Mail: asrebel@cableone.net
Home_Business: Home
Business_Name:
Business_Street_Address:
Business_Phone:
Complaint_Company: Idaho Power
Local_Provider:
Contacted_utility: No

Complaint_description: I would like to say I oppose the rate increase proposed by Idaho Power and IdaCorp. IdaCorp posted net income of 46.8 million last quarter which was an increase of 4 million over last quarter. Raising residential energy rates almost 20% in a recession does not make sense. In addition, Idaho Power's financial actions, such as, the selling of power to it's parent company for a low cost and buying it back at a premium does not justify an increase to the consumer. Idaho Power and IdaCorp continue to show an increase in profits year after year when many businesses are struggling to show a profit. Idaho Power and IdaCorp's habit of trying to increase expenses to justify an increase in revenue should not be rewarded.

Transaction ID: 1171828.57
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/cons>
User Address: 24.119.22.159
User Hostname: 24.119.22.159

Jean Jewell

From: Front
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 8:12 AM
To: Jean Jewell
Subject: FW: Complaint acknowledgement

-----Original Message-----

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Sunday, November 09, 2003 4:47 PM
To: Front; Beverly Barker; Ed Howell; Tonya Clark
Subject: Complaint acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Sunday, November 09, 2003
4:46:52 PM

Name: Audra Green
Street_Address: 1315 N 13th St
City: Boise
State: ID
ZIP: 83702
Home_Telephone: 208 343 2988
Work_Telephone:
E-Mail: dottra@excite.com
Home_Business: Home
Business_Name:
Business_Street_Address:
Business_Phone:
Complaint_Company: Idaho Power
Local_Provider:
Contacted_utility: No
Complaint_description: November 9, 2003

Idaho Public Utilities Commission
PO Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0074

To Whom It May Concern;

Idaho Power's proposed 19% rate increase and increase in the monthly service charge from \$2.51 to \$10.00 is simply too much, too fast, and too damaging to working families who are left with no other alternatives. Where is the justification for this?

Idaho Power has not offered ratepayers a sufficiently intelligent explanation for this rate increase and it sounds highly suspect.

Please stand up for the working members of the public who cannot be there on Thursday the 13th due to work or other obligations and vote to proceed with the public review process.

This type of increase is absolutely too much and comes at the wrong time of the year. It targets small businesses and residential customers disproportionately. Vote no on the rate increase.

Audra Green

Transaction ID: 1191646.52
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/cons>
User Address: 132.178.216.118
User Hostname: 132.178.216.118

Jean Jewell

From: Front
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2003 8:12 AM
To: Jean Jewell
Subject: FW: Complaint acknowledgement

-----Original Message-----

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Sunday, November 09, 2003 4:48 PM
To: Front; Beverly Barker; Ed Howell; Tonya Clark
Subject: Complaint acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Sunday, November 09, 2003
4:48:10 PM

Name: Jacob Nelson
Street_Address: 1315 N 13th St
City: Boise
State: ID
ZIP: 83702
Home_Telephone: 208 343 2988
Work_Telephone:
E-Mail: lazylooney@hotmail.com
Home_Business: Home
Business_Name:
Business_Street_Address:
Business_Phone:
Complaint_Company: Idaho Power
Local_Provider:
Contacted_utility: No
Complaint_description: November 9, 2003

Idaho Public Utilities Commission
PO Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0074

To Whom It May Concern;

Idaho Power's proposed 19% rate increase and increase in the monthly service charge from \$2.51 to \$10.00 is simply too much, too fast, and too damaging to working families who are left with no other alternatives. Where is the justification for this? Is this just another Enron-style price gouging scheme that will line the pockets of a few CEOs? Idaho Power has not offered ratepayers a sufficiently intelligent explanation for this rate increase and it sounds highly suspect.

Please stand up for the working members of the public who cannot be there on Thursday the 13th due to work or other obligations and vote to proceed with the public review process. We cannot cave in to the demands of a monopoly corporation without a fight. This type of increase is absolutely too much and comes at the wrong time of the year. It targets small businesses and residential customers disproportionately. Vote no on the rate increase.

Jacob H Nelson

Transaction ID: 1191648.10
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/cons>
User Address: 132.178.216.118
User Hostname: 132.178.216.118

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Sunday, November 09, 2003 8:39 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Sunday, November 09, 2003
8:38:35 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13

Name: Margaret Caldwell Cooper

Street_Address: P.O. Box 1416

City: Sun Valley

State: ID

ZIP: 83353

Home_Telephone: (208)726-6016

E-Mail: margiecfitsunvalley.net

Company: Idaho Power

mailing_list_yes_no: yes

Comment_description: Rate increases of 20% seem like an outrageously high increase in a state where very few people's incomes have increased by anywhere near that amount for years! In fact, most people's incomes have dropped this past year, depending as we do on tourism which brings in out of state money. I seriously question not only the right but the reason behind such an increase and I oppose any such increase for the purpose of building a gas-fired power plant.

Transaction ID: 1192038.35

Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>

User Address: 68.105.219.25

User Hostname: 68.105.219.25

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Saturday, November 08, 2003 4:56 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Saturday, November 08, 2003
4:56:03 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13
Name: Kenneth R Marshall
Street_Address: 2322 E Aruba Ave
City: Nampa
State: ID
ZIP: 83686
Home_Telephone:
E-Mail: kenmarshall2002@aol.com
Company: Idaho Power
mailing_list_yes_no: yes
Comment_description: To whomever;

In regards to the proposed rate increase request by Idaho power I would recommend a vote against it. IDAcorp just posted a profit of 28 million. The economy, despite what the media would like us to believe, has still not recovered to a point where jobs are returning at a healthy rate. Many folks are pressed to the limits as it is and a rate increase at this time would be insult added to injury. Idaho Power isn't going away. The demand for thier product isn't going away. They can afford to wait until such time when economic growth warrants an increase. That time is not now.
Thank you.
Regards...Ken Marshall

Transaction ID: 1181656.3
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 198.81.26.142
User Hostname: 198.81.26.142

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Saturday, November 08, 2003 4:03 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Saturday, November 08, 2003
4:03:09 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13
Name: Jen Pape
Street_Address: 1141 Broadford
City: Hailey
State: Idaho
ZIP: 83333
Home_Telephone: 208-788-8669
E-Mail:

Company: Idaho Power

mailing_list_yes_no: no

Comment_description: I've received an email regarding an increase in electricity rates. If you could let me know the reason behind this increase, that would be great. I'm not fond of the proposal without knowing why they would go up. Thanks, Jen Pape

Transaction ID: 1181603.9

Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>

User Address: 68.105.217.29

User Hostname: 68.105.217.29

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Saturday, November 08, 2003 3:17 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Saturday, November 08, 2003
3:16:52 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13
Name: E.T. Evans
Street Address: 9990 Roan Meadows Drive
City: Boise
State: Idaho
ZIP: 83709-4024
Home Telephone: (208)362-9411
E-Mail: ETE9411@AOL..com
Company: Idaho Power Co
mailing_list_yes_no: no

Comment_description: Idaho is in trouble. In the upcoming request by Idaho Power for a rate increase I would beg you to consider the effect on the State of Idaho and our economy as one of your primary concerns. Idaho is currently in the unfortunate situation of having its economy based on highly competitive commodities and highly cyclical tourism. It is not possible for our producers to raise prices on our products to cover additional costs and still keep our products competitive, yet increasing population requires increasing electrical power.

A rate increase may be justified by Idaho Power in an attempt to recover from some business decisions and current weather patterns as well as future expansion expenses. This, in essence, transfers income from Idahoans to Idaho Power Company stockholders. If these stockholders are all Idaho citizens this would be a wash - but I do not believe this is true. By authorizing this rate increase the precedent would be established for the continuing outflow of Idaho dollars from our local economies.

Growth is the issue. Growth is desirable but growth at the expense of the base economic structure can be devastating to the overall well being of the state. Unchecked growth will, like an inverted pyramid, eventually topple. Why not structure rates to help cover the costs, control future growth, and also protect the existing economic base? There are many possibilities. One might be a progressive rate structure based on a 'first in time first in right' pattern.

As an example: (This example will be based on decades, but could well be based on many other scales with today's computer technology. The "water factor" could be set and publicized each year by the PUC and IP as conditions indicate.)

Power rates are currently assessed based upon different group "base rates" for industry, agriculture, and domestic (largely unproductive) use. I would propose adding an additional coefficient to the equation—one giving different weights based upon the date of the original point of use or diversion. Points of use established before

1950 would be charged at Base Rate + water factor.
after 1950 and before 1960 at Base + water factor + 3%.
after 1960 and before 1970 at Base + water factor + 6%.
1970 1980

9%.
1980 1990 2000 12%.
15%.

Obviously both the time intervals and the percent increases are picked with no real accuracy in this example, but the concept could be tailored to the actual needs of both the Power Company and those who used energy for productive purposes. This would be easy to explain and could shift costs of growth onto those causing growth while allowing for rate flexibility predicated on the water supply and other unknown factors. Idaho's economy was once based upon land, water, minerals, and timber. Mining and timber are no longer socially acceptable and have lost their place in the foundation of Idaho's economy. . Many outside entities are seeking our water and the government controls two

thirds of our land. Agriculture developed barren desert land into productive farms through irrigation and continues to hold its place as one of the cornerstones of Idaho's tax base. Since World War II much of the growth in agriculture has come through developing high rise pumping from deep aquifer wells for land above the earlier gravity ditches. This is an expensive process, requiring power, and is only competitive with low power rates. Now we have an additional growth industry in technology, which is highly cyclical, water and power intensive, responsible for much of our recent population growth and also encountering serious competition.

Some new companies are moving in to the state, however they also seem to bring in their own labor forces and thus do not help our employment problem and in fact add to growth. Some of the older firms are moving out and leaving much of their labor force behind. The change from farmland to real estate developments in the area is frightening, and of course the demand for power and water do not decrease. Yet in many cases this poses the only profitable alternative to irrigated farming on our lands.

Conservation efforts do not seem to be very effective. I don't know what type of power costs would be needed to change our home air conditioning levels from the current 70 to 72 degree ranges to the 80 degree range. I could believe that a summer temperature of 80° in homes and non-computerized offices would be survivable and for generations would have been considered ideal (although I might not be able to convince my wife of this). It might not be popular, but a method of charging for summer air conditioning, rather than forcing the abandonment of farms and industries, might be possible. This too could be solved by the domestic base rates.

I believe many people would prefer to work at a slightly higher temperature rather than spend their time looking for work as we are forced out of competition. The increasing costs of power, as we go beyond our water power resources, may not be survivable for Idaho's historical industries and agriculture in the future.

I don't envy you your task, but please do consider Idaho in the decision.

Thank You

E. T. Evans

Transaction ID: 1181516.52

Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>

User Address: 12.72.173.18

User Hostname: 12.72.173.18

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Friday, November 07, 2003 5:07 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Friday, November 07, 2003
5:07:05 PM

Case: IPC-E-03-13
Name: Dennis and Kathryn Burgess
Street Address: 10069 W. Silverking Ct
City: Boise
State: Idaho
ZIP: 83709

Home Telephone: 208/321-9305
E-Mail: boisebrats@earthlink.net
Company: Idaho Power

mailing_list_yes_no: yes

Comment_description: We are against a general rate increase. Idaho Power lowered their fluctuating rate last spring about 19 %. They spent lots of money on TV, Radio, and newspaper advertising to let everyone know. Now they want to raise the base rate about the same amount that they cut the fluctuating rate! Is this a coincidence? Why do they spend all that money on advertising? It's not like we have any choice which Company we use. Also senior citizens should be exempt from higher summer rates, because the heat is hard on their health. They need their air conditioning and on fixed incomes can't afford higher rates.
Sincerely Mr and Mrs Burgess

Transaction ID: 1171707.5
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 63.187.144.3
User Hostname: 63.187.144.3