

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2004 11:39 AM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Friday, February 27, 2004
11:39:02 AM

Case: IPC-E-03-13
Name: Adam T. Waldron
Street Address: 351 N Buchanan
City: Pocatello
State: ID
ZIP: 83204
Home Telephone: 208-233-3598
E-Mail: waldadam@cob.isu.edu
Company: Idaho Power

mailing_list_yes_no: yes

Comment_description: I don't have a problem with the per/kWh rate increase. But I strongly disagree with the Service Charge increase. I do everything I can to conserve power and keep my power consumption down, but an increase in service charge cannot be negated by any amount of conservation or energy efficiency measures.

Having an electrical connection is a base need for modern living. Increasing the fee for the privilege to just be connected to the grid is wrong. There are many people who have a hard time paying their bill as it is.

Charges should be primarily based on consumption. I believe it to be the most fair and responsible.

Transaction ID: 2271139.2
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 134.50.47.127
User Hostname: 134.50.47.127

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2004 1:24 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Friday, February 27, 2004
1:24:29 PM

Case:
Name:
Street_Address:
City:
State:
ZIP:
Home_Telephone:
E-Mail:
Company:
Comment_description: #29436
My Comment:

I do not agree with the increase nor do I agree with the schedules as outlined. Following you will find what I feel the schedules should be:

Residential #1 base rate 4.00
Residential #2 base rate .10 ----This rate should be for those
retired individuals on fixed income below poverty rate.
Small Commercial #7 base rate 4.00
Large Commercial #9 base rate 5.00
Industrial #19 base rate 4.50
Irrigation #24 base rate 4.00

Idaho Power claims to have increased service area thus they should be making more because of more hookup and customers. It looks to me that they were able to pay for increasing size of company without any negative affect on income. Most companies would be pleased with 5% return on their money. Why do I have to insure they have 11% or more return. Again I see no negative effect from growth but rather they have done quite well increasing capital investments on the dime of the rate payer.

Do not let them increase the rates as they have requested.

Thank you for your consideration.

Paul Scherer, Idaho Resident
10855 Ashburton DR
Boise, ID 83709
208-376-1635
archer831@aol.com

Transaction ID: 2271324.29
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 164.165.18.4
User Hostname: 164.165.18.4