

1 Ken Bek sent  
3/15/04

1 To Commis : H

Mar. 10 2004

RECEIVED  
FILED



2004 MAR 12 PM 3:27

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Comm.

IDAHO PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION

I am writing to object to the Idaho Power proposed increase in rates. We are farmers and our income is down, but our expenses keep going up. We have no place to go to ask for an increase for our crops.

We run six (6) irrigation pumps. The rate increase for irrigation is the largest (25 per cent) than any other group. It is absolutely unfair that Simplot and other large industries get a better rate. Twenty five percent is way out of reason.

If you give a rate increase it should be straight across the board. Even for everything.

As I said in the beginning of this letter we object to any rate increase. Idaho Power should cut unnecessary expenses.

Case No. IPC-E-03-13

Order No. 29436

Sincerely,

Mrs. Cyril Roland  
4001 Little Willow Rd  
Payette, Id. 83461

✓ Gen Ack sent 3/15/04 ✓ To Commis  
F H

Dear Commissioners

I don't think Idaho Power should be allowed such a large increase in charges! And in no way should they be allowed \$0.50 per mo. to read each meter. In fact the 2<sup>00</sup> they now get <sup>should</sup> be removed. Just multiply either amount by the number of meters they have and the Co. could buy the factory and the truck factory also (they maintain that pick-ups have to be replaced).

When did your own meter need servicing? And most of the meter readers walk in the towns.

Why should the average users have to pay for greedy attempt by Idalorp. to capitalize on Calif. troubles?

Perhaps better administrative management could help the Co's aledged woes.

Sincerely  
Bernice Westendorf

RECEIVED  
MARCH 15 9:34 AM '04

MAR 15 AM 9:34

IDAHO PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION

✓ Ken Ack sent 3/15/04 ✓ To Commis: H

March 10 - 2004

RECEIVED  
FILED

Idaho public utilities commission  
412 west Washington street  
Boise, Idaho 83702

2004 MAR 15 AM 9:32

IDAHO PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION

Dear public utilities commission

AS FAR AS THE PROPOSED 14% RATE  
LIKE REQUESTED BY IDAHO POWER CO.  
IN THE ~~NEAR~~ FUTURE IS UNNECESSARY.

IF I TRIED TO RECOUP MY EXPENSES  
BACK FROM A YEAR A GO I COULDN'T  
DO IT. I WOULD JUST HAVE TO TIGHTEN  
MY BELT AND SACRIFICE MORE. BESIDES  
THAT THE ECONOMY IS STILL UNSTABLE  
MONEY IS TIGHT. FOR IDAHO POWER  
TO RECOUP 89.4% OF THE MONEY THEY  
SPENT OF THEIR CUSTOMERS BACKS  
IS NOT NECESSARY AND WRONG. I BELIEVE  
IDAHO POWER CO. NEEDS TO LOOK AT THEIR  
PARENT COMPANY IDA CORP IF THEY WANT  
OR NEED FINANCIAL BACKUP. TO RECOUP  
FUNDS, YOU UNFORTUNATELY APPROVED IT FOR  
ENTER MOUNTAIN CAT CO. AND I HAVEN'T LIKED IT  
EVER SINCE AND ADAPTATION TO MY POCKET BOOK  
AS WELL, THERE'S BEEN AT LEAST 6 PLACES  
HAVE GONE UP IN THE PAST. IDAHO POWER DOESN'T  
NEED TO BE ANOTHER. BESIDES YOU HAVE TO THINK ABOUT  
SENIOR CITIZENS AND BUSINESS; MEDICAL PHARMACY  
GAS AND GAS CABLE PAPERS, OIL THAT'S 6.

ISSUED, SHIPPING & HANDLING, SIGNED BOB V. RUDOLPH

*✓ Run Ack sent 3/15/04*      *✓ To Comms ? H*

**Jean Jewell**

---

**From:** Ed Howell  
**Sent:** Monday, March 15, 2004 11:26 AM  
**To:** Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark  
**Subject:** Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, March 15, 2004  
11:26:01 AM

Case:  
Name: Jan Nissl  
Street Address: 1115 E. State  
City: Boise  
State: Id  
ZIP: 83712  
Home Telephone:  
E-Mail:  
Company: Idaho Power  
mailing\_list\_yes\_no: no  
Comment\_description: Dear PUC,

Please consider the following about rate increases.

The increased customer charge would take away individual control over the electric bill. Because more of our bills would be fixed, we would lose some ability to save money through efficiency and conservation measures at home or at a business.

Please support the proposal of NW Energy Coalition to remove barriers to improving energy efficiency in Idaho. Under current policies, Idaho Power makes more money if it sells more electricity. With that incentive, why would the Company meaningfully engage in conservation programs?

We can do it differently. Simple changes in rate design, tried and tested in other states, can remove this backwards incentive while also protecting both customers and Idaho Power shareholders.

Customers should have an incentive to save. Please support block rates, where the first 800 kilowatt hours (a little less than an average customer uses in a month) cost a lower rate, but the remaining energy is more expensive.

Transaction ID: 3151126.1  
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>  
User Address: 66.162.131.195  
User Hostname: 66.162.131.195

*✓ Gen. Ack sent 3/15/04*      *✓ To Comments*

**Jean Jewell**

---

**From:** Ed Howell  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 14, 2004 9:08 AM  
**To:** Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark  
**Subject:** Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Sunday, March 14, 2004  
9:08:05 AM

Case: IPC-E-03-13  
Name: James Pennington  
Street Address: 3815 North 2481 East  
City: Filer  
State: Idaho  
ZIP: 83328

Home Telephone: 208-734-3271  
E-Mail: jpennin217@yahoo.com  
Company: Idaho Power

mailing\_list\_yes\_no: no

Comment\_description: Idaho Power wants a rate increase and you are going to give them one I am sure. But think of this almost every working man or woman in the State of Idaho probably would like to have a pay raise, including all State workers who have got nothing for 2 years. Sadly enough a very small amount did get a pay raise and I would say that no one received a 3 percent raise so why should you give Idaho Power a rate increase so there employees can get a raise. I know several of there employees and they got bonuses. Nice we get a rate increase, they get a bonus and we got nothing but higher electricity bills.

Transaction ID: 314908.5  
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>  
User Address: 198.60.216.4  
User Hostname: 198.60.216.4