

✓ Gen Ack.  
sent 10/13/06

✓ To AV

✓ To Commis  
; H

NO. 4989

P. 2

RECEIVED

October 12, 2006

2006 OCT 13 AM 9: 18

Ms. Jean Jewell, Secretary  
Idaho Public Utilities Commission  
472 W. Washington  
Boise, Idaho 83720

IDAHO PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION

**RE: Case No. IPC-E-06-17 Schedule 84 Net Metering Tariff-Protest of Dr. Robert Friedman and Gretchen Hecht**

Dear Commissioners:

We recently installed a rooftop solar panel array at our home in Boise and became a Net Metering Customer. Under Idaho Power's Schedule 84. Because of the size of our array we are in the Residential Customer Access Net Generation grouping.

We have reviewed Idaho Power's Application dated August 17, 2006 to modify the net metering requirements and wish to protest the Application in the following respects:

First, we are opposed to proposal to change the rate for generation in excess of consumption from the retail rate to 85% of the monthly kWh Avoided Energy Cost.

Although we installed our net metering systems primarily for social reasons we cannot ignore the economic impact change in the current Schedule 84 would cause.

We have a very small financial margin to identify, and have identified Idaho Power's purchase of our power at full residential prices as a positive impact for our installation. The relatively small amounts we have received for generation in excess of consumption improve the buy back economics of our system and they provide us an incentive to invest in the system in the first place. We were, obviously, required to purchase equipment at retail cost. This clearly increases the cost of the power that we generate, and we do not have access to the large scale production and wholesale pricing that large energy producers do.

We also believe that Idaho Power has made a convincing case for the need to change the program. The application cites only one customer who appears to possibly be abusing the intent of the program. Policies for net metering customers who are participating as the program intended should not be based of the conduct of one exceptional character.

Finally, and in our opinion most importantly, we believe it is in the State of Idaho's best interest to encourage and reward small producers to add to the power grid with sustainable, nonpolluting power sources. We cannot imagine that the current system for payment for generation in excess of consumption materially burdens other customers who in fact are receiving the benefit of the small amounts of clean, renewable power we generate. It is our opinion that the Public Utilities Commission should encourage


Idahoans to move in this direction and lowering reimbursement for excess power would not be supportive of this concept.

Second, if the Commission believes a change in the rate for excess generation should be changed, we recommend that change only apply to those who become net metering customers after the date of the Commission's Order. Current net metering customers should be "grandfathered" at the current rate. We, and all other net metering customers, made our investments based on assumptions about the rate for excess generation and it would be unfair to change the rules in the middle of the game.

Finally, if the Commission believes some change is required we urge you to investigate a system that would have an annual balancing-out of generation and consumption. This would have the effect of allowing net metering customers to "bank" excess generation on one month and, in effect, use it another month of the year. I understand that Avista Corporation, and many other utilities across the country, employ such a system.

We hope our input has in some way been helpful to you. If we can be of any further assistance please feel free to contact us.

Sincerely,



Dr. Robert Friedman and Gretchen Hecht  
1298 Candleridge Dr.  
Boise, ID 83712

✓ To Mr. ✓ To Commission  
: H

✓ John Hark  
sent 10/13/06

IPC-E-06-17

Dear Commissioner  
I oppose Idaho Power's  
proposed change to the  
net metering tariff. My  
soon to be online (within 45 days)  
hydro, wind + PV grid tie sys-  
tem was designed with the  
way the tariff is now, this  
change will impact my poc-  
ketbook. What reasons has  
I P given to support this  
change? This change

would also have a nega-  
tive impact on my sales  
of solar + wind systems.

Sincerely,

Tom Simko  
Skyline Solar  
45 W. Bonneville  
Sho-kon, Ltd.  
83245

RECEIVED  
2008 OCT 13 10:07 AM  
UTAH PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

208-716-0400

(My printer is out of ink!)