

✓ Gen Ack
sent 12/4/06

✓ To Commis.
S H

Jean Jewell

From: dlister@precisedraftinginc.com
Sent: Sunday, December 03, 2006 2:32 PM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from David Lister follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-06-24
Name: David Lister
Address: 721 Hailey Drive
City: Hailey
State: ID
Zip: 83333
Home Telephone: 208-788-1889
Contact E-Mail: dlister@precisedraftinginc.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: no

Please describe your question or comment briefly:
I am writing to comment on the 2006 Integrated Resource Plan. I am extremely disappointed to see coal and nuclear listed as future options. I would like to see a heavier reliance on wind and conservation and solar. It is extremely short sighted of us to consider coal, at a time when we are losing the stability of our climate due to man made changes in the atmosphere.

Thank you for considering these comments, our very survival depends on not further destabilizing our weather. Carbon emissions must be reversed, not added to.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc/ipuc.html>
IP address is 68.105.219.18

*1/Gen. Ack
sent 12/4/06*

Jean Jewell

From: neilandleslie@mac.com
Sent: Sunday, December 03, 2006 12:44 PM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Leslie Bradshaw follows:

Case Number: not sure - nuclear energy
Name: Leslie Bradshaw
Address: Box 7217
City: Ketchum
State: Idaho
Zip: 83340
Home Telephone:
Contact E-Mail: neilandleslie@mac.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List:

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

Hi, I read that Idaho Power is considering including nuclear generation as a source of energy in the coming years and I would just like to say that I strongly oppose any such plans. The federal government has just embarked on a \$2 billion clean up at INL the result of nuclear spills and mismanagement over the years. If there is any take away from this it is that nuclear is not safe, the risk of problems is too great and human beings are fallible which means that no facility will ever be failsafe. It is too great a risk. Nuclear should not be a source of energy when we have plentiful wind, solar and importantly geothermal sources untapped.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc/ipuc.html>
IP address is 71.215.25.245

✓ Gen. Ack
sent 12/4/06

✓ To W. ✓ To Commes.
H

Jean Jewell

From: bruce_braunstein@yahoo.com
Sent: Sunday, December 03, 2006 4:38 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Bruce Braunstein follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-06-24, Order No. 30185
Name: Bruce Braunstein
Address: 3091 S Hudspeth Ave.
City: Meridian
State: ID
Zip: 83642
Home Telephone:
Contact E-Mail: bruce_braunstein@yahoo.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:
I am STRONGLY OPPOSED to Coal fired power and Atomic Power being implemented in my Beautiful State of Idaho. We have enough contamination problems already!

Thanks,

Bruce Braunstein

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc/ipuc.html>
IP address is 207.195.254.46

1 Gen. Ack
sent 12/4/06

✓ To AV.

✓ To Commis
: H

Jean Jewell

From: tanakaldrich@onewest.net
Sent: Saturday, December 02, 2006 7:15 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Kathleen A. & WilliamTanaka follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-06-24
Name: Kathleen A. & WilliamTanaka
Address: P. O. Box 648
City: Shoshone
State: ID
Zip: 83352
Home Telephone: 2088867936
Contact E-Mail: tanakaldrich@onewest.net
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

The Idaho Power Interim Resource Plan still relies too heavily on 'dirty' and unsafe sources (coal-fired, IGCC, nuclear) for producing and acquiring energy for consumers in Idaho. We know the mercury levels from coal-fired energy are extremely unhealthy; we know there is no safe way to store spent nuclear fuel; we know the unknowns regarding CO2 sequestration imperil our aquifer, and we know not to trust the experimenters in such a project. They have put us in harm's way before and still haven't cleaned up the mess they left.

There are no plans to include solar power in the plan; there is no mention of possibilities for upgrading our hydroelectric power production; there is little mention of educating and providing incentives for consumers to conserve energy. Although we take advantage of the occasional utility sponsored special sales of florescent light bulbs, we all know those are token gestures that do not do the job of addressing the real conservation issues we face.

We know it is the job of those who work for Idaho Power to keep the 'bottom line' of profitability as their daily, clear, constant and perhaps, only focus. The disregard for what that focus might mean for the consumer does not inspire confidence or trust. If they're the only game in town, we know we have to pay them for our energy use. Consequently in this current plan, they have not listened to the consumers here in the Magic Valley who have made their wishes very clear; we have not gone away; we have not lowered our expectations for what we want. We do not want any kind of energy produced with coal or nuclear power; we do not want CO2 'sequestered' in our ground. Nor do we want to import energy produced with coal or nuclear power. We want more reliance on wind, solar, geothermal, hydroelectric, and plant based biodiesel.

The PUC should represent the best interests of the consumer, even the consumer who hides his head in the sand, and the environment which must be protected and represented by all of us. Please, send Idaho Power back to the drawing board to come up with a more balanced plan for providing the citizens of our state with clean energy. The sooner the better; Idaho could be a leader for a change.

Thank you, Kathleen and William Tanaka

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc/ipuc.html>
IP address is 65.19.215.214

✓ Ack. Ack
sent 12/4/06

✓ To A.W.

✓ To Commis.
; H

Jean Jewell

From: hensel@tetontel.com
Sent: Sunday, December 03, 2006 11:17 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from David follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-06-24
Name: David
Address: 313 S. 200 E.
City: Driggs
State: Id
Zip: 83422
Home Telephone: 208-354-8636
Contact E-Mail: hensel@tetontel.com
Name of Utility Company: Fall River
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

I am opposed to Idaho Powers Plan to rely on new coal and nuclear electrical power generation to meet their customers future needs. The nuclear proposal is betting on a plant that has no plan no funding and likely no future. The last proposal to burn coal in Idaho to generate power met a fitting end. Idahoans want to generate their power with renewables. Public poles support this. My wife and I have been taking part in Fall River Electric's 'green power' program for years and I know we aren't the only electrical consumers who do so. Lets move into the future with our new electrical power sources, not inot the past. Sincerely,
david hensel

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc/ipuc.html>
IP address is 207.183.173.171

*✓ Ann Ark
sent 12/5/06*

✓ To A.V.

*✓ To Commms
i H*

Jean Jewell

From: joanie4c@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, December 05, 2006 2:34 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Joanie Fauci follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-06-24
Name: Joanie Fauci
Address: 2944 Hillway Dr
City: Boise
State: ID
Zip: 83702
Home Telephone: 208-631-4748
Contact E-Mail: joanie4c@yahoo.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:
Please accept these comments in regards to sources of energy.

Coal is dirty, especailly for the air, and should not be used to produce power. Nuclear has no acceptable solution to dispose of spent fuel and it is very dangerous. We have vast supplies of solar and wind in this area. There is no reason to use these polluting, dirty, dangerous sources. Why would we even consider them? It makes no sense unless some businesses that would make money from coal and nuclear power generation are paying someone off. I do not understand why more effort is not going into solar and wind power generation. It's an easy, sensible solution.

Please keep Idaho clean and safe!

Regards,
Joanie Fauci

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc/ipuc.html>
IP address is 15.235.153.107
