

✓ Gen. Hefz
Sent 6/25/07

✓ To H.V.

✓ To Commms
: 14

Jean Jewell

From: lkralstin@juno.com
Sent: Thursday, June 21, 2007 1:57 PM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Kathryn Ralstin follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-07-08
Name: Kathryn Ralstin
Address: 20691 Gem ct.
City: Greenleaf
State: Id
Zip: 83626
Home Telephone: 455-2523
Contact E-Mail: lkralstin@juno.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:
To whom it may concern: Please do not increase my power bill again. One of the reasons for an increase was continued growth. How about an impact fee (example of 50.00) for every NEW hook up. These people are also paying their power bills so there is more money coming in. Was it really needed to buy new trucks and computers at this time? Please don't do this increase. Thankyou. Kathryn Ralstin

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 4.227.200.5

✓ Gen. Acct sent 6/25/07

✓ To Commis
3 H

Jean Jewell

From: hopsonj@msn.com
Sent: Friday, June 22, 2007 1:27 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from John Hopson follows:

Case Number: *IPC-E-07-08*
Name: John Hopson
Address: 305 NNE Mshburn Rd
City: Mountain Home
State: Idaho
Zip: 83647
Home Telephone: 208 587 7445
Contact E-Mail: hopsonj@msn.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: no

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

It is still amazes me how your commission continues to support every wish of Idaho Power. They fight any type of renewable power source, they install GAS powered plants and claim they are supporting renewable power, then you all allow 90% of every request they make for increase pricing. I'm not a farmer, but to allow them to increase the cost by 20% is beyond any ones imagination. But like several people say, when you contribue to the commissions life style you get what ever you want. Which I hope is not the case, but the appearance is sure there.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 69.76.14.13

✓ Gen. Ack
sent 6/25/07

✓ To A.V.

✓ To Commms
: H

Jean Jewell

From: waya@safelink.net
Sent: Friday, June 22, 2007 2:39 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Ronda Andersen follows:

Case Number: Idaho power request for a rate hike
Name: Ronda Andersen
Address: 911 south 700 west
City: Burley
State: Idaho
Zip: 83318
Home Telephone: 208-678-03041
Contact E-Mail: waya@safelink.net
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:
I hope that you will deny Idaho power request for a basic hike rate. In looking at the proposal the irrigation is taking the biggest hit of all. In the beginning Idaho power was glad to have the irrigation; they have helped Idaho powers become who they are. Now they want them to pay for it all. I think there is a better powers solution to it. Hit everyone the same or something.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 216.57.162.157

✓ Gen. Acct
sent 6/25/07

✓ To Comments
? H

Jean Jewell

From: kentr@co.bannock.id.us
Sent: Friday, June 22, 2007 2:47 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from kent reynolds follows:

Case Number: idaho power rate increase request
Name: kent reynolds
Address: 149 palomino
City: pocatello
State: idaho
Zip: 83201
Home Telephone: 208-237-1724
Contact E-Mail: kentr@co.bannock.id.us
Name of Utility Company: Idaho power
Add to Mailing List: ?

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

I express my opposition to IP request for a 10.34 % rate increase. I find no justification for the rate increase. I just received a letter from IP explaining why the rate increase is needed. This is the same justification for the rate increase requested and granted in 2006. Fuel and other costs are having a major impact on my disposable income. I have property taxes which have increased and other costs of living increases most notable gasoline increase. This affects all other areas, for example food costs. I have not and will not receive any increase in my wages to cover the increased living costs that i am forced to pay. I find no justification for the additional rate increase requested by IP and request that you deny the application.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 204.134.195.25

✓ Gen Ack
sent 6/25/07

✓ To HV.

✓ To Comm
s H

Jean Jewell

From: js_weber@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, June 23, 2007 4:42 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from John Weber follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-07-08
Name: John Weber
Address: 9535 W Cory Ln
City: Boise
State: ID
Zip: 83704
Home Telephone: 208-321-4998
Contact E-Mail: js_weber@hotmail.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:
Dear PUC staff and commissioners,

First off I would like to say I own no stock in any publicly traded corporation. I am not an employee of Idaho Power and work in management at a local body repair facility.

During the hearing for the 2005 Idaho Power base rate increase I testified the rate should be increased 7-10 %. My reasoning was that steady increases are much easier to plan for than spike increases. They were granted a 3.2 % increase at that time. Now we have seen a PCA rate increase, loss of the BPA credit, and now a base rate increase all in a short period of time. This is what I was hoping would not happen if a 7-10 % base rate increase were to have been granted in 2005.

Increases in the rate of electricity should be handled in the base rates not the PCA. The PCA should only be used for unforeseeable events and normally for credits. Any foreseeable events should be considered in the base rate. For example: In Idaho Power's IRP it states "Based on recent history, Snake River streamflows are expected to continue to decline by approximately 53 cfs per year which results in a loss of hydroelectric generation of 25-30 aMW annually." This is a foreseeable event and addressed in much detail in the IRP. Growth in the population and inflation are other foreseeable events.

Once again, I recommend a 7-10 % increase in the base rate, so I am not opposing this rate increase. If the PUC grants this increase in full I believe it can also request concessions from Idaho Power.

I would suggest Idaho Power should be required to allow time based metering to any customers that would like this service. My reasoning is that this will reduce the peak generation needed, helping keep down the cost of electricity in the future. Peak deficiency is a huge problem according to the IRP.

My second suggestion is Idaho Power offer rebates for residential grid tied solar systems. My reasoning is according to the IRP (page 40) peak deficiency is a huge problem. Solar power naturally produces power during the peak hours of the day and during the peak months of the year. When solar is most available it is during a time of relative drought with respect to hydro. Another added benefit is the shading of roofs reduces the ac load of the buildings during the hot summer months. This is a zero pollution, zero CO2 (the IRP states "The evaluation of CO2 emission costs is the most significant risk addressed in the 2006 IRP."), zero fuel cost, local source of electricity generation that needs no new transmission lines. Many other utilities nationwide offer very generous rebates. I would suggest a very conservative rate of \$1.00 per installed watt. On page 13 of the IRP it states "Based on the capital cost estimates, each new residential customer requires about \$3,000 of capital investment for 1.5 KW of baseload generation, plus \$2,500 for an additional 5 KW of peak-hour generation for a total generation capital cost of \$5,500.

Other capital cost such as transmission costs, distribution costs, and customer systems costs are not included in the \$5,500 capital generation requirement." This seems like a very cost effective way to use some of the money needed for peak generation.

Lastly I suggest some sort of tiered rate throughout the year. My reasoning it would help to promote conservation and also keep rates affordable for the lowest users (poorer people). An example only, tiers to be determined by the PUC staff and commissioners: 0-300 KWHs per month 5 cent per KWH, 300-900 KWHs per month 7 cents per KWH, and 900 plus KWHs per month 9 cents per KWH. This has worked well in CA for example.

Thank you for your time and consideration!

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 207.69.139.133

✓ Jim Hark
sent 4/25/07

✓ To AV

✓ To Commis
; H

Jean Jewell

From: eightaxles@peoplepc.com
Sent: Saturday, June 23, 2007 12:24 PM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Jim Rector follows:

Case Number:
Name: Jim Rector
Address: 2565 snowgoose way
City: Meridian
State: Idaho
Zip: 83646
Home Telephone: 208-350-3413
Contact E-Mail: eightaxles@peoplepc.com
Name of Utility Company: qwest, idaho power, intermountain gas, meridian water
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

Dear friends, I am just writing this with a little anxiety of the rising inflation of everything, except of wages at work. I am a single father of two girls and we don't have any money to make ends meet let alone to spare. I have received in the mail this week a letter from Idaho Power and from Meridian Water that they are going to request an increase. As I am sure that you are aware of, fuel and mortgages have skyrocketed at an astronomical amount this last year, not to mention groceries or clothes or other living expenses. And I do stress, living expenses, not extra finances for entertainment. Please don't allow another increase there must be other alternatives.

Thank you for taking the time to read my email. I hope this could be a helpful letter.
Sincerely, Jim Rector

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 71.221.138.48

✓ Gen. Ack
Sent 4/25/07

✓ To A.V.

✓ To Commis.
: It

Jean Jewell

From: maamba@cox.net
Sent: Sunday, June 24, 2007 6:55 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Patricia A. Mattias follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-07-08
Name: Patricia A. Mattias
Address: 109 S. 4th Street
City: Bellevue
State: Idaho
Zip: 83313
Home Telephone: 208.788.3575
Contact E-Mail: maamba@cox.net
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

I am in receipt of a notice from Idaho Power that they have petitioned the PUC in the case of IPC-E-07-08. In the written notice I received Idaho Power states that if the increase is approved by PUC then it will go into effect January 08. However, at the PUC site in the Petition statement, the increase is set, if approved, to go into effect July, 2007.

Firstly, I am against any rate increase, especially one that will further burden Idaho residents and garner \$69 Million for Idaho Power et al.

Secondly, Idaho Power has not demonstrated any justification of the need for a rate increase.

Sincerely,

Patricia A. Mattias

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 68.105.212.20

✓ Gen. Hefe
sent 4/25/07

✓ To A.V.

✓ To Commis.
S. H

Jean Jewell

From: omieonly@yahoo.com
Sent: Monday, June 25, 2007 5:43 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Bonniedee Hansen follows:

Case Number:
Name: Bonniedee Hansen
Address: 3246 E Medgemaple Ct
City: Boise
State: Idaho
Zip: 83716
Home Telephone: 208-859-3774
Contact E-Mail: omieonly@yahoo.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:
To whom it may concern: I am appauled at Idaho Power and their incessant request for continual increases in rates. I recognize it costs to run a business and I recognize it costs to use the services supplied by a business but when the CEO at Idaho Power obtained an income of over 12 Million. He doesn't have to worry about his bill or conservation of power. Those that are on fixed income, and the middle class are again being targeted by greed. Enough is enough. Leave the rates alone for 5 years and let us catch our breath from the inflation we feel so dramatically.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 24.116.142.255

✓ Ben Hoke
sent 6/25/07

✓ To A.V.

✓ To Commis.
S. H

Jean Jewell

From: Jean Jewell
Sent: Friday, June 22, 2007 4:12 PM
To: Jean Jewell
Subject: FW: Consumer Comment/Complaint Form

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: Friday, June 22, 2007 8:16 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Front; Beverly Barker
Subject: Consumer Comment/Complaint Form

A Comment/Inquiry from Kathleen Englund follows:

Name: Kathleen Englund
Contact E-Mail:
Home Telephone: 288-1736
Work/Contact Telephone:
Home/Office or Both: Home
Home Address: 424 west cherry lane #21
City: Meridian
State: Idaho
Zipcode: 83642
Business Name:
Business Address:
Business Phone:
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
If Telephone/Local Provider:
Have you contacted the utility regarding your concern?: No

Please describe your question or complaint briefly:

I am AGAINST the 10% rate INCREASE AGAIN Idaho Power is asking for
Did they receive an Increase last year?????
Do they get a rate increase EVERY YEAR????????????????????

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/cons/cons.html>
IP address is 207.200.116.6
