

✓ Ken Acke
sent 2/7/08

✓ To AV.

✓ To Commis.
3/11

Jean Jewell

From: js_weber@hotmail.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2008 1:29 PM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from John Weber follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-07-18
Name: John Weber
Address: 9535 W. Cory Ln
City: Boise
State: ID
Zip: 83704
Home Telephone: 208-321-4998
Contact E-Mail: js_weber@hotmail.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

Comments to address the four alternative uses of the SO2 proceeds and other possible uses of the proceeds:

The first alternative is does not conform to the 2007 Energy Plan and therefore I do not support this alternative. It does not encourage conservation or renewable generation. The second alternative does encourage renewable generation as stated in the 2007 Energy Plan. I would support this if the "green tags" were from Idaho only sources of generation. The third alternative looks like it would be using "capital contributed by customers" to build Idaho Power's own renewable generation. The 2007 Energy Plan encourages "customer-owned" renewable generation and therefore I can not support this alternative. The forth alternative for education could possibly lead to conservation which is a big part of the 2007 Energy Plan but lacks details. With more work I could possibly support this alternative.

Another possible alternative: Alternative number five would conform to the 2007 Energy Plan. It would use the proceeds or some of the proceeds for rebates for installed grid-tied solar PV installations on homes and businesses. Idaho Power's deficiency in generation comes during the long hot summer months when solar PV naturally peaks. My statement of reasoning is supported by quotes from the 2007 Energy Plan: "Conservation and in-state renewables also contribute to Idaho's economic development by creating local jobs and tax revenues", "this Energy Plan recommends increasing investments in energy conservation and in-state renewable resources", E-16 "The Idaho PUC should administer its responsibilities under the Public Utility Regulatory Policy Act in a way that encourages the development of customer-owned renewable generation and combined heat and power facilities.", "The PUC has historically been among the leaders in encouraging customer-owned and local renewable generation"
Thank you for the invitation to comment.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipucl/ipuc.html>
IP address is 75.174.47.136

✓ Gen Ack
sent 2/7/08

✓ To Commis
iH

Jean Jewell

From: jessica.ruehrwein@sierraclub.org
Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2008 2:42 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Jessica Ruehrwein follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-07-18
Name: Jessica Ruehrwein
Address: 503 W, Franklin
City: Boise
State: ID
Zip: 83702
Home Telephone: 208-384-1023
Contact E-Mail: jessica.ruehrwein@sierraclub.org
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company
Add to Mailing List: no

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

1. NO SUPPORT: The first alternative does not adhere to the 2007 Energy Plan and does not encourage conservation and renewable generation.
2. QUALIFIED SUPPORT: The second alternative seems to encourage renewable generation as stated in the Energy Plan. 'Green Tags' need to be from Idaho sources of generation only for my full support.
3. NO SUPPORT: The third alternative does not address the Energy Plan which calls for 'customer owned' renewable generation. Instead it would be using 'capital contributed by customers'.
4. QUALIFIED SUPPORT: Education is key to behavior change and conservation, however there are no details about how this would program would work. It is hard to comment on something that is unclear.
5. SUPPORT: Alternative five does seem to meet the 2007 Energy Plan by using proceeds for rebates for installed grid-tied solar PV installations. The Energy Plan specifically states 'increasing investments in energy conservation and in-state renewable resources' and 'encourages the development of customer-owned and local renewable generation'.

The focus needs to be on conservation, efficiencies and renewables that will lead us to a clean energy future.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 71.209.15.25

*✓ Jean Beck
sent 2/7/08*

✓ To A.V.

*✓ To Comms.
:H*

Jean Jewell

From: leros61@yahoo.com
Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2008 2:25 PM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Larry Hyatt follows:

Case Number: 30495
Name: Larry Hyatt
Address: 1701 Gekeler Ln
City: Boise
State: ID
Zip: 83706
Home Telephone: 208-331-0771
Contact E-Mail: leros61@yahoo.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

It is proven that even simple reviews of building energy inefficiencies yield 30% or more in reduced energy consumption if the recommendations are implimented. The funds would be best put to use and yield results for years to come by field implementation of a combined educational and products/service call to all customers. This would be a good expansion of the existing programs at Idaho Power to become more active and implementation oriented.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipucl/ipuc.html>
IP address is 69.71.169.32

✓ Gen Ack
sent 2/7/08

✓ To Comments
is H

Jean Jewell

From: joeweatherby@frontiernet.net
Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2008 3:53 PM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Joe Weatherby follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-07-18
Name: Joe Weatherby
Address: P.O. Box 547
City: Marsing
State: ID
Zip: 83639
Home Telephone: (208) 896-5220
Contact E-Mail: joeweatherby@frontiernet.net
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List:

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

CASE NO. IPC-E-07-18

Comments to address the four alternative uses of the SO2 proceeds and other possible uses of the proceeds:

Alternative #1

I would not support the first alternative. It does not seem to conform to the 2007 Idaho Energy Plan and does not encourage conservation or renewable power generation.

Alternative #2

It does encourage renewable generation however, I would only support this alternative, if the "green tags" were from Idaho only renewable generation projects.

Alternative #3

I would not support alternative #3 as it appears to use customer's capital to build Idaho Power's own renewable generation. Idaho's 2007 Energy Plan encourages "customer-owned" renewable generation in contrast to this alternative.

Alternative #4

It is unclear that this alternative is viable and without more specific information I would NOT support this alternative. With more specific information this could be a positive use of the funds.

Additional Alternative #5

I believe the best alternative would be to implement a program to provide a portion of the cost of either grid tied solar PV projects on homes and small business and a portion of the cost of installed ground source heat pump systems. I believe this option would help reduce some of the largest loads as well as help with Idaho Power's peak load deficiency in generation which comes summer months. This is when solar PV peaks. The ground source heat pumps are the best way to reduce the heating and cooling load for residential and small businesses. Both these uses are supported by the 2007 Energy Plan: "Conservation and in-state renewables also contribute to Idaho's economic development by creating local jobs and tax revenues", "this Energy Plan recommends increasing investments in energy conservation and in-state renewable resources", E-16 "The Idaho PUC should administer its responsibilities under the Public Utility Regulatory Policy Act in a way that encourages the development of customer-owned renewable generation and combined heat and power facilities.", "The PUC has historically been among the leaders in encouraging customer-owned and local renewable generation"

Thank you for allowing my comment

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 74.37.16.11

✓ Gen Ack
sent 2/7/08

✓ To Comm.
H

Jean Jewell

From: slifer@filertel.com
Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2008 5:29 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Elizabeth Slifer follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-07-18
Name: Elizabeth Slifer
Address: 3779N 2250E
City: Filer
State: ID
Zip: 83328
Home Telephone: 326-5030
Contact E-Mail: slifer@filertel.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: no

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

Money from S02 allowances:
one-time rate reduction: NO
green tags: yes
wind generation: yes
energy education/grants: YES

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 208.98.150.150

✓ Gen. Ack
sent 2/7/08

✓ To A.V.

✓ To Commes
i H

Jean Jewell

From: chisholm3@mindspring.com
Sent: Thursday, February 07, 2008 4:05 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Bill Chishoml follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-07-18
Name: Bill Chishoml
Address: 19073E Hwy 30
City: Buhl
State: Idaho
Zip: 83316
Home Telephone: 208-543-4418
Contact E-Mail: chisholm3@mindspring.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Co.
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:
February 6, 2008

Idaho Energy Education Project
Bill Chisholm, Coordinator
19073E Hwy 30
Buhl, Idaho 83316
chishom3@mindspring.com

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPROPRIATE
DISPOSITION OF PROCEEDS FOR THE SAKE
OF IDAHO POWER COMPANY'S SO2
EMISSION ALLOWANCES IN CY 2007

Case No. IPC-E-07-18

The Idaho Energy Education Project (IEEP) lauds Idaho Power for its willingness to think outside the box and for recognizing that investing the monies from the sale of the SO2 credits would reap longer term economic benefits for its customers than merely a one time rate reduction.

IEEP believes one of the best investments of a portion of that money is in energy conservation and efficiency education and net metered renewable projects. "Give a man a fish and you feed him for one day, teach him to fish and you feed him for a lifetime." Learning how to use energy wisely and having the means to use it more efficiently or producing some of for one's self is a benefit to ratepayers, the environment and to Idaho Power by reducing production and transmission requirements and is in sync with Idaho's Energy Plan..

IEEP has proposed from the start that some of the SO2 monies goes to energy education. At the workshop held in this matter, IEEP proposed that \$500,000 of those monies goes to energy education, with half for in class instruction on energy conservation and efficiency and the other half be available as grants to schools for student involved energy efficiency and renewable educational projects. That proposal should be tied to the PUC approving Idaho Power's third option of investing the SO2 money in an Idaho Power wind project.

If the PUC opts for option one or two, then the energy education monies should be expanded beyond the original \$500,000 for energy education in the schools and should include \$500,000 for energy efficiency/renewable/educational project grants for residential, small business and agricultural ratepayers. The additional grants would be 75-25% cost sharing, the grant 75%, the applicant 25%, except for low income which would be 100% grant. The applicant would agree to track energy savings and be open to some form of ongoing

publicity about the project and the energy and economic savings.

Respectfully submitted,
Bill Chisholm,
IEEP coordinator

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 4.253.102.56
