

✓ Ben Ack
sent 2/25/08

✓ To AV

✓ To Commis
7 H

Jean Jewell

From: jasyuva@northrim.net
Sent: Monday, February 25, 2008 1:04 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Jim Sylva follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-07-18
Name: Jim Sylva
Address: P.O. Box 115
City: Hansen
State: Idaho
Zip: 83334
Home Telephone:
Contact E-Mail: jasyuva@northrim.net
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPROPRIATE
DISPOSITION OF PROCEEDS FOR THE SAKE
OF IDAHO POWER COMPANY'S SO2
EMISSION ALLOWANCES IN CY 2007

I strongly urge you to support the Idaho Energy Education Project. It is my firm belief our first energy lines of defense must be conservation and efficiency. IEEP has the knowledge and experience to develop a meaningful and successful energy education program. Please fund the program as it requests.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipucl/ipuc.html>
IP address is 208.100.251.206

✓ New Ack
sent 2/25/08

✓ To Comments
: H

Jean Jewell

From: ktinsv@cox.net
Sent: Saturday, February 23, 2008 12:19 PM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Kiki Tidwell follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-07-18
Name: Kiki Tidwell
Address: P.O. Box 2919
City: Ketchum
State: Idaho
Zip: 83340
Home Telephone: 208-578-7769
Contact E-Mail: ktinsv@cox.net
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List:

Please describe your question or comment briefly:
On March 14, 2007, the "IPUC approved a yearly rate adjustment designed to remove financial disincentives for Idaho Power Company to implement energy efficiency programs." This decoupling of energy sales and efficiency programs by Idaho was designed to help Idaho Power to significantly increase the size and availability of its energy efficiency programs. The Idaho Legislative Council Interim Committee on Energy, Environment, and Technology notes in the 2007 Idaho Energy Plan that Idaho Power's conservation and energy efficiency investments are significantly behind its Power Council target. Moreover, Idaho's utilities are planning to acquire less conservation than many utilities in the West. A graph shows Idaho Power at 4.5% conservation investment to total electricity demand, while Puget Sound Energy is at 11.6% and San Diego Gas and Electric at 11.3%.

What does this have to do with the 2007 SO2 sales of \$10.1 million? I respectfully request that the Idaho PUC consider investing these funds in smart grid/demand response/smart metering rather than the options proposed. Demand response can be the answer to the intermittency of renewable resource power generation, allowing a larger integration of this type of energy.

At the January 15th workshop, it was mentioned that power cost adjustments are forecasted to run \$33 million in the next year and possibly for many years to come. Idaho is at an energy vulnerable point. Idaho Power customers will be subjected to market supply and demand pricing because Idaho has not built enough of our own power generation plants.

None of the alternatives presented at the workshop actually builds more power production capacity. \$10 million is a drop in the bucket for what a 100 megawatt windfarm will cost to build. Idaho Power could purchase the wind project development rights and do nothing with them for the next 25 or 100 years. It is also ironic that small PURPA wind farms have been stymied by Idaho Power since 2004, and now Idaho Power is requesting that the public give them money to build their own wind farm that would be in competition with the small wind farmers.

Idaho currently imports 80% of its energy, including fuels. We have to start taking measures now to reduce this vulnerability. The smart grid/demand response/smart metering will reduce load at peak times, reducing the need for peaker plant construction, and allowing for renewables integration. It would be a much wiser investment than the proposed alternatives.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 68.105.213.236

✓ Men Ack
sent 2/25/08

✓ To Commes
; H

Jean Jewell

From: rachel.hall@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2008 9:36 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Rachel Hall follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-07-18
Name: Rachel Hall
Address: 6988 W Tamarindo Ct
City: Boise
State: Idaho
Zip: 83714
Home Telephone: 208-921-3390
Contact E-Mail: rachel.hall@hotmail.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: no

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

I would like to voice my opinion on how the proceeds from the sale of the SO2 allowances should be used. I think an excellent use of the revenue should be to educate the public about energy efficiency and renewable energies. This information empowers the public to take a more active role in reducing energy usage in the state.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 207.14.148.51

✓ Gen. Ask
sent 2/25/08

✓ To A.V.

✓ To Comm. 5/11

Jean Jewell

From: kbaker1@mindspring.com
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2008 9:21 AM
To: Tonya Clark; Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment/Inquiry Form

A Comment from Ken follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-07-18
Name: Ken
Address: Baker
City: Boise
State: Idaho
Zip: 83714
Home Telephone: 208-861-5736
Contact E-Mail: kbaker1@mindspring.com
Name of Utility Company: K energy
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your question or comment briefly:

I would think it wise to split the investment between wind acquisition, residential solar, energy efficiency education and energy conservation programs for buildings. Thank you.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 71.209.44.165
