

V. Arnem sent 5/5/09
No Comments
JH
Jean Jewell

From: vanarnem@cableone.net
Sent: Sunday, May 03, 2009 1:50 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell
Subject: PUC Comment Form

A Comment from Bob Van Arnem follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-09-06 & IPC -E-09-07
Name: Bob Van Arnem
Address: 3049 South Whitepost Way
City: Eagle
State: Idaho
Zip: 83616
Daytime Telephone: 939-8747
Contact E-Mail: vanarnem@cableone.net
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List:

Please describe your comment briefly:

What is the logic of charging customers for energy conservation programs, then charging them if the objectives of the programs are achieved? And doubling the charge to us for the programs!!! In IP cases before the PUC, reduced costs to customers are given as a benefit; yet, to achieve the reduced costs, we will be charged more--- is this logical? Even with our energy conservation before conservation became fashionable, our bill has increased. If ever there was an example of parading 'The Emperor's New Clothes', this seems to be it. Our meter works just fine; has the proposed charge for new meters factored in the reduced meter reader labor and associated costs? I have not had, until recently, a negative attitude toward Idaho Power, but the seemingly regular and unending rate increases have created one. This all seems a bit disingenuous.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 72.24.119.234
