

✓ Men. Ack  
sent 12/28/09

✓ To AV

✓ To Commes.  
H

**Jean Jewell**

---

**From:** js\_weber@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, December 20, 2009 1:14 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness; Ed Howell  
**Subject:** PUC Comment Form

A Comment from John Weber follows:

-----  
Case Number: IPC-E-09-30  
Name: John Weber  
Address: 6508 W Everett St  
City: Boise  
State: ID  
Zip: 83704  
Daytime Telephone: 208-378-9887  
Contact E-Mail: js.weber@hotmail.com  
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power  
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your comment briefly:  
The two objections to this case I have are as follows:

I don't believe the PCA was set up to do what this case as asking for. It is my understanding that the PCA is set up as a surcharge or credit to the base price of power depending on the hydro output. I believe this is what it should be used for.

I believe it is in the best interest of Idaho Power and it's customers to have small (7-10%) annual base rate increases. By not allowing the base rate to be changed for a couple of years sets up customers for a large base rate increase in the future. According to the Draft 2009 IRP there is 470 mw of new generation to come on-line in 2012. Those new capital costs should be reflected in the base rate.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>  
IP address is 207.69.140.36

-----