

✓ Ben Bell  
sent 4/29/10

✓ To A.V.

✓ To Commis.  
; H

**Jean Jewell**

---

**From:** 27jkh@camasnet.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 27, 2010 3:13 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** PUC Comment Form

A Comment from John K Harmon follows:

-----  
Case Number: IPC-E-10-06  
Name: John K Harmon  
Address: 1699 Woodside Road  
City: Winchester  
State: Idaho  
Zip: 83555  
Daytime Telephone: 208 -924- 6645  
Contact E-Mail: [27jkh@camasnet.com](mailto:27jkh@camasnet.com)  
Name of Utility Company: Avista  
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your comment briefly:

Believe we already have what they call an automated meter. This meter is read from a remote location and was installed a couple of years ago.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>  
IP address is 72.55.208.114

-----

*✓ Gen. Ask sent 4/29/10*  
*✓ To Commis. i H*

---

**Jean Jewell**

---

**From:** ml.talley1@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 27, 2010 11:19 AM  
**To:** Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** PUC Comment Form

A Comment from Micheal Talley follows:

-----  
Case Number: IPC-E-10-06, IPC-E-10-07, IPC-E-10-08  
Name: Micheal Talley  
Address: 1351 7th Ave East  
City: Twin Falls  
State: Idaho  
Zip: 83301  
Daytime Telephone: 208-734-6367  
Contact E-Mail: [ml.talley1@gmail.com](mailto:ml.talley1@gmail.com)  
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power  
Add to Mailing List:

Please describe your comment briefly:

I have a couple of problems with the proposed Idaho Power rates. I am against the utility getting a rate increase for energy efficiency. We try and conserve energy doing all we can to cut our bill and then we get charged on our bill for energy efficiency programs and then because we reduce the use we get charged more per kw so they can recieve more money. That is just a circle with us geting it from both sides.

Now I am against smart meetering so someone can turn off my meter when they want to. I also think that a service charge for meter reading should be eliminated when the smart meters are installed. No one comes around to read the meter anymore so the fee should be eliminated. Now as to the Pension funding. No one pays my pension fund I have to do that by myself. That should come out of their paychecks just like mine does and being self employed I only get retirement funds after all the other bills are paid and there is some left over. We each need to be responsible for our own welfare.

Our power bill seems to be going up all of the time with no end in sight I think it is time to put a halt on this. Thank You.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>  
IP address is 174.27.14.56

-----

✓ Gen Ask  
sent 4/29/10

✓ To AV

✓ To Comments  
i H

**Jean Jewell**

---

**From:** Imthetaxlady@aol.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 29, 2010 8:49 AM  
**To:** Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** PUC Comment Form

A Comment from Catherine Leavitt follows:

-----  
Case Number: IPC-E-10-06  
Name: Catherine Leavitt  
Address: 3745 N. Tumbleweed Pl.  
City: Boise  
State: Idaho  
Zip: 83713  
Daytime Telephone: 208-375-3612  
Contact E-Mail: [Imthetaxlady@aol.com](mailto:Imthetaxlady@aol.com)  
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Co.  
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your comment briefly:

My understanding of the IPC new meter installation was to cut costs and increase efficiency and by doing so, decrease the company's meter expense. The cost savings should pay for the costs of the new meters and not be borne by the rate-payers. This is simply another way of IPC getting a rate increase regardless of the verbage.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>  
IP address is 207.200.116.138

-----