

✓ Gen. Ack sent 3/18/10  
✓ To A.V.  
✓ To Comments  
H

**Jean Jewell**

---

**From:** jetski333@msn.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 17, 2010 7:44 AM  
**To:** Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** PUC Comment Form

A Comment from Dominick Testa follows:

-----  
Case Number: IPC-E-10-07  
Name: Dominick Testa  
Address: 6969 Ustick Rd  
City: Boise  
State: ID  
Zip: 83704  
Daytime Telephone: 208-577-7326  
Contact E-Mail: [jetski333@msn.com](mailto:jetski333@msn.com)  
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power  
Add to Mailing List:  yes

Please describe your comment briefly:

Why, when asked to voluntarily enact energy saving and efficiency procedures, such as reduced wattage light bulbs, we are then to be penalized because the utility company is now selling us less electricity? They are asking for a rate increase to recover not 'costs' to them, but a decrease in profit to themselves. The actual cost of these measures has already been paid by us. These devices are not inexpensive. I estimate at least \$3.00 a light bulb times 20 sockets. Where is my incentive? Do we as rate payers have any other incentive for going along with this other than saving money? And now they desire to take our savings away from us.

And so? The more we save, the less they have to produce; followed by subsequent rate increase requests to keep the money flowing in at the same level. Should I/we reduce our consumption by fifty percent, rest assured they would be back to ask for substantial rate increases based upon loss of income.

I have tried to be very cordial in this comment, and I hope that I have succeeded. But this is criminal, in my estimation. There is no incentive for the ratepayer other than increased savings. Take these away and we are left with nothing but anger. Most sincerely, Dominick Testa

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>  
IP address is 71.220.152.162

-----