

✓ Gen. Adv.
sent 5/14/10

✓ To Adv.

✓ To Commus.
:H

Jean Jewell

From: dgans@yahoo.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 2:40 AM
To: Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness
Subject: PUC Comment Form

A Comment from Dean Gans follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-10-12
Name: Dean Gans
Address: 7611 E. Lewis Lane
City: Nampa
State: ID
Zip: 83686
Daytime Telephone: 208-484-2404
Contact E-Mail: dgans@yahoo.com
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Add to Mailing List: yes

Please describe your comment briefly:

Why do residential rates only decrease 3.22% vs. the overall decrease of 6.47%? It seems residential customers are being used to subsidize larger customers. It's the same with the completely unfair rate increase for over 2000kwh/month - other classes of customers get a rate decrease (volume discount) for more consumption, while residential gets the shaft! I am tired of being punished because my home is all electric - of course I don't have a choice in my area.

Trying to use the rate schedule to force conservation is unfair and un-American.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 137.201.242.130
