

**Jean Jewell**

---

**From:** js\_weber@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2010 2:56 AM  
**To:** Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** PUC Comment Form

A Comment from John Weber follows:

-----  
Case Number: IPC-E-10-27  
Name: John Weber  
Address: 6508 W Everett St  
City: Boise  
State: ID  
Zip: 83704  
Daytime Telephone: 208-321-4998  
Contact E-Mail: [js\\_weber@hotmail.com](mailto:js_weber@hotmail.com)  
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power  
Acknowledge: acknowledge

Please describe your comment briefly:

After reading the case and all testimony available at this time I believe it will be in the best interest of Idaho Power customers for the PUC to approve moving the the cost of demand response to the PCA from the efficiency rider. This change would be in keeping with the Idaho Energy Plan from 2007. Energy efficiency and demand response both save the ratepayers money by reducing the number of new power plants that need to be built. New power plants come at a big cost to ratepayers in terms of increased cost of power as well as increase demand for water (in the case of a termal plant). Until a time that the PUC requires Idaho Power to put in place a very robust time of use schedule Idaho Power will need to continue to fund a robust demand response program or build new power plants. Idaho Power must be given an acceptable rate of return for the program and incentives their demand response provides. The efficiency rider is currently too low to properly fund both efficiency and demand response. Thank you.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>  
IP address is 209.86.226.51

-----