

Jean Jewell

From: dlpkmb@spro.net
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2010 5:56 AM
To: Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness
Subject: PUC Comment Form

A Comment from Kevin Bayhouse follows:

Case Number: IPC-E-10-27
Name: Kevin Bayhouse
Address: 706 Opal St
City: Boise
State: ID
Zip: 83705
Daytime Telephone:
Contact E-Mail: dlpkmb@spro.net
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Acknowledge: acknowledge

Please describe your comment briefly:

In regards to this case I strongly believe that it will be in the best interest of Idaho Power customers for the PUC to approve moving the the cost of demand response to the PCA from the efficiency rider. Energy efficiency and demand response are paramount in both saving the ratepayers money by reducing the need for new power plants to be built. New power plants are massive expenditures of resources and energy. In addition, the increased demand for water is required for plants of the thermal design. Unless the PUC requires Idaho Power to put in place an aggressive time of use schedule, Idaho Power will need to continue with a strong demand response program or build new power generators.

Acceptable rates of return as incentives will need to be calculated. The current efficiency rider is too low to support both efficiency and demand response. Thank you.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>
IP address is 206.207.105.136
