

Case Number: IPC-E-12-27
First Name: Keith
Last Name: Woodworth
Address: 16867 Map Rock Rd.
City: Caldwell
State: Idaho
Zip: 83607
Email: woodwor@gscwireless.net or woodwor@mosquitonet.com
Idaho Power Co.

RECEIVED
2013 JUL 24 PM 1:09
IDAHO PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

I acknowledge that this comment constitutes a public record under Idaho Code sec. 9-337(13)

Idaho Public Utilities Commission
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, Idaho 83720-0074

24 July 2013

Request for Reconsideration of IPUC Order No. 32846

In the Commissions decision the words “fair, just and reasonable” are used to summarize decision elements based on the commissions’ review of information presented by the various Interveners, Idaho Power, and the public through meetings and comments submitted to case 12-27. “stable and not to be soon revisited” would be welcomed – but not likely- additional verbiage!

As a Net Metered Residence affected by this decision, I have the following concerns.

Net Metering Pricing Structure and Excess Net Energy. The Commissions decision roughly agrees with the “Rebuttal Testimony of Gregory W.Said dated 31 May 2013.

In summery this amounts to:

Pg 6. Idaho Power Co. does not recover its fixed costs of distribution etc.

because “PV” (DG) producers don’t purchase kWhs from IPCo

Pg 7. The intent of Net Metering is to only offset all or part of the customers individual energy needs.

Pg. 12. IPCo has evaluated Solar DG under its “IRP” process.

Pg. 13. We (some Net Metering Customers?) are receiving “free use of equipment and services”.

Pg. 14. “FERC” and “PURPA”!

As I understand the rate process, IPCo. proposes rates based in part on their power source mixes, seasonal power use patterns, time of day usage, and customer monthly usage – from power source down to user. This approach does not look at kWh production/values *from* the Net Metering generation source upward. For example, because I am not