

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 06, 2013 5:05 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** Fwd: Another comment

Sent from my iPhone


Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Britt Ide <[britt@idelawstrategy.com](mailto:britt@idelawstrategy.com)>  
**Date:** March 6, 2013 9:39:49 AM PST  
**To:** Gene Fadness <[gene.fadness@puc.idaho.gov](mailto:gene.fadness@puc.idaho.gov)>  
**Subject:** Another comment

Gene,  
I still can't get the PUC website comment feature to work. Please log my comment below on docket IPC E-12-27.

I'd ask the PUC to please evaluate this docket carefully. I represent clients that are in the business of installing solar systems. Please find a reasonable solution to meet Idaho Power's concerns that doesn't kill an important industry in Idaho. I'd recommend encouraging a quick negotiated settlement (delay will kill the industry). Thank you.

I acknowledge that this is public record.



**Britt E Ide**  
Energy | Creativity | Expertise

208 407 6220  
[britt@idelawstrategy.com](mailto:britt@idelawstrategy.com)  
[idelawstrategy.com](http://idelawstrategy.com)

**Jean Jewell**

---

**From:** Darring2@hotmail.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 07, 2013 2:40 AM  
**To:** Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** PUC Comment Form

A Comment from Darrin Hendricks follows:

-----  
Case Number: IPC-E-12-27  
Name: Darrin Hendricks  
Address: 9951 N lariat st  
City: Boise  
State: Idaho  
Zip: 83714  
Daytime Telephone:  
Contact E-Mail: [Darring2@hotmail.com](mailto:Darring2@hotmail.com)  
Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power  
Acknowledge: acknowledge

Please describe your comment briefly:

I believe in solar power. As citizen concerned about harnessing energy in a responsible way I have modified my home to facilitate a solar installation, so I'm quite disappointed with attempts to squash the efforts of many, like myself who could provide clean power at reasonable rates to the grid at a time when its needed most.

Solar power should be part of a balanced energy portfolio, and the result of this proposal is a slap in the face to all who have exercised their right to utilize another source of power.

I have supported IPCO for a lifetime, and without support from others like me there would be no IPCO, grid or net metering issue to argue about. That said, it's not right to allow IPCO a monopoly on power in the future because of the past.

We have all paid for this infrastructure with dollars and other intangible results over the years, and I subscribe that we should continue to be able to push the envelope for better power solutions.

Locking up the tool shed isn't going to accomplish this.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>  
IP address is 70.58.58.158

-----