

Jean Jewell

From: Jean Jewell
Sent: Monday, July 22, 2013 10:03 AM
To: Jean Jewell
Subject: FW: Comment from Don Campbell IPC-E-12-27

IPC-E-12-27

From: Don Campbell [<mailto:don@1stascent.biz>]
Sent: Fri 7/19/2013 1:56 PM
To: Gene Fadness
Subject: RE: Your question to the Idaho Public Utilities Commission

Good Afternoon Mr. Fadness,

I would like to offer the following regarding a comment made by Idaho Power and referenced by the PUC. It is an assertion net metering folks are not adequately paying for the fixed costs of Idaho Power and therefore are placing an undo burden on normal ratepayers. While this is true, there is another side not mentioned.

After our project was approved by Idaho Power, we then incurred significant capital construction and installation costs. As I understand the definition of "fixed costs," these include, but are not limited to, expenditures such as turbines, generators, compressors, pipelines, cable, etc. Our fixed costs include all wiring up to the power pole connections. And now, I need to build a "capital reserve account" since we will soon exceed the expected service life of our turbine/generator/other components.

I believe I have fixed costs, and I know no one has paid, nor pays for those except me.

My agreement with Idaho Power included a recognition by all parties I would incur significant costs. I agreed to incur those costs based on our financial agreement. As you may be aware, we are engaged in a business whereby the machinery used can last a long time but it is expensive to buy and maintain, I believe it appropriate to expect our agreements to last as long.

One of the purposes of the net metering system, was to allow a power producer the opportunity of off-set power needs with power production. In my case, there was general recognition our power production would exceed consumption for a period of time. Over the years, our power requirements have grown and, as mentioned earlier, we are now generally using all of the power our little station can produce. When our power requirements exceed production, we purchase from Idaho Power and are grateful for their capability and willingness to provide that power.

I would like to ask Idaho Power and the PUC to consider allowing those of us with separate meters to combine these meters in the "final bill." For example, as a farmer who has one meter for his house/other uses (as in irrigation pumps) and a separate meter for his power plant. It might just be there is no physical or economic means to unite all power measuring devices at a single location.

Mr. Fadness, once again thank-you for your time.

Have a great weekend,

Don Campbell

Buhl, ID

Jean Jewell

From: js_weber@hotmail.com
Sent: Sunday, July 21, 2013 4:56 PM
To: Beverly Barker; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness
Cc: js_weber@hotmail.com
Subject: Case Comment Form: John Weber

Name: John Weber
Case Number: IPC-E-12-27
Email: js_weber@hotmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 6508 W Everett St
Boise ID, 83704

Name of Utility Company: IPC
Acknowledge public record: True

Comment: Dear Commissioners,

With the current ruling, what happens to any dollar credits from before the date of the ruling? Will they be paid out to net-metering customers?

Thank you!

Unique Identifier: 65.129.47.240