

Jean Jewell

From: js_weber@hotmail.com
Sent: Thursday, June 27, 2013 9:47 PM
To: Erik Jorgensen; Beverly Barker; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness
Cc: js_weber@hotmail.com
Subject: Case Comment Form: John Weber

Name: John Weber
Case Number: IPC-E-12-29
Email: js_weber@hotmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 6508 W Everett St
Boise ID, 83704

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power
Acknowledge public record: True

Comment: IPC-E-12-29

Dear Commissioners and Staff,

A quick comment and question. After seeing this on the news it would appear the PUC may have been foolish on its ruling regarding suspending some of IPC's DSM programs per their request. It appears that IPC is now asking its customers to reduce demand during peak hours (which is what the programs they want to suspend do). See news- <http://www.ktvb.com/news/High-temperatures-mixed-with-low-water-levels-causing-concern-213280961.html>

This from the ruling of the DSM case:

"In its Application, the Company reported that its 2013 Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) shows that the peak-hour projected loads for the summer months do not exceed the Company's generation resources. More specifically, the Company maintained that its new IRP does not show "a peak-hour deficit until July 2016 and therefore [there is] no need [to employ] peak-hour[load reduction] resources like" the A/C Cool and Peak Rewards programs in 2013."

My question is: What is the truth? Do we need DSM or not?

Thank you,
John Weber

Unique Identifier: 174.27.10.1