

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** shantara@cableone.net  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2014 3:17 PM  
**To:** Beverly Barker; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness  
**Cc:** shantara@cableone.net  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Shantara Sandberg

Name: Shantara Sandberg  
Case Number: IPC-E-14-09  
Email: [shantara@cableone.net](mailto:shantara@cableone.net)  
Telephone:  
Address: 918 Haines St  
Boise Idaho, 83712

Name of Utility Company: I am an Idaho Power customer.  
Acknowledge public record: True

Comment: I hereby OPPOSE the request by Idaho Power to suspend their PURPA obligation.

I OPPOSE Idaho Power's request to add solar integration costs to contracts, now or later.

In addition I believe that a public hearing should be PUBLIC and allow enough notice to the public so that the public to respond. A day or two is not a reasonable amount of time to allow for a prepared response from the public. There is no emergency here - there is no excuse to hold this meeting as if an emergency exists.

Thank you for taking my comments. As this is such a last minute meeting, I am not available tonight to attend in person.

Unique Identifier: 67.60.248.206

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** lelelewa@msn.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2014 3:30 PM  
**To:** Beverly Barker; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness  
**Cc:** lelelewa@msn.com  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Lelelewa TanoRikiho

Name: Lelelewa TanoRikiho  
Case Number: IPC-E-14-09  
Email: [lelelewa@msn.com](mailto:lelelewa@msn.com)  
Telephone: 2083924435  
Address: 5 Apache Way  
Boise Idaho (ID), 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power  
Acknowledge public record: True

Comment: I understand you will be having a hearing on Idaho Power tonight.

I oppose both requests. Idaho Power should not be suspended from their PURPA obligation, and They should not be allowed to add a solar integration charge to contracts, now or later.

Thank you for hearing my views on this subject.

Lelelewa Tano Rikiho

Unique Identifier: 71.209.35.127

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** kerrinmac@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2014 3:59 PM  
**To:** Beverly Barker; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness  
**Cc:** kerrinmac@gmail.com  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Kerrin McCall

**Name:** Kerrin McCall  
**Case Number:** IPC-E-14-09  
**Email:** [kerrinmac@gmail.com](mailto:kerrinmac@gmail.com)  
**Telephone:** 2087265859  
**Address:** 105 sunnyside Blvd  
Ketchum IDaho, 83340

**Name of Utility Company:** Idaho Power  
**Acknowledge public record:** True

**Comment:** Idaho Power has had significant time to determine solar integration costs. The past two IRP reports should have included this determination just as IPCO should have seen the obvious and rapid movement towards solar applications in other states and countries. Resistance to the inevitable as a result of irresponsible future planning is no excuse for suspending PURPA mandates and stalling solar energy contracts. Other states have already defined these integration costs providing an abundance of available information from which to plan for integration. Idahoans want clean energy. From what I've been told by IPCO staff "IPCO likes solar energy". We know the benefits and believe that progress toward renewables is in the best interest of the company and the residents of our state.

**Unique Identifier:** 70.171.139.168

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** kristinab@cableone.net  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2014 4:06 PM  
**To:** Beverly Barker; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness  
**Cc:** kristinab@cableone.net  
**Subject:** Case Comment Form: Kristina Priest

Name: Kristina Priest  
Case Number: IPC-E-14-09  
Email: [kristinab@cableone.net](mailto:kristinab@cableone.net)  
Telephone: 208-345-4019  
Address: 1123 E. Victory Rd  
Boise ID, 83706

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power  
Acknowledge public record: True

Comment: As a native Idahoan I am always concerned about our power production. I do not believe that Idaho Power should be suspended now or in the future from their PURPA obligation to buy renewable energy when it is available. We must be mindful of our environment and the impact of non-renewable energy resources at all times. There is no argument that alternative energy sources are more environmental friendly than non-renewable sources. In Idaho we must be pro-active in our efforts to protect the environment and this is a step in that direction. Further, I believe that Idaho Power should not be allowed to add a solar integration charge to contracts, now or in the future. Idaho Power should be supporting and expanding alternative sources of energy when and wherever possible now and in the future. This would be a small step in the right direction for Idaho to be ever mindful of our environment. Idaho Power should be working in conjunction with any available renewable resources, not against them. Idaho has many precious resources and we need to protect them for generations to come.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide my input.

Sincerely,  
Kristina Priest

Unique Identifier: 67.61.167.64