

1 Q. Please state your name, business address, and
2 present position with Idaho Power Company ("Idaho Power" or
3 "Company").

4 A. My name is Scott Wright. My business address
5 is 1221 West Idaho Street, Boise, Idaho 83702. I am
6 employed by Idaho Power as a Regulatory Analyst II in the
7 Regulatory Affairs Department.

8 Q. Please describe your educational background.

9 A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in
10 Business Economics from Eastern Oregon University. I have
11 also attended the Center for Public Utilities "Practical
12 Skills for a Changing Electric Industry" a course offered
13 through New Mexico State University in Albuquerque, New
14 Mexico, the Edison Electric Institute's "Electric Rate
15 Advanced Course" in Madison, Wisconsin, the NERA "Marginal
16 Costing for Electric Utilities", in Los Angeles,
17 California, and the Financial Accounting Institute "Utility
18 Finance and Accounting Course" in Las Vegas, Nevada.

19 Q. Please describe your work experience with
20 Idaho Power.

21 A. In May 1998, I accepted a position as Research
22 Assistant with Idaho Power in the Regulatory Affairs
23 Department. In March 2007, I was promoted to a Regulatory
24 Analyst. In March 2010, I was promoted to a Regulatory
25 Analyst II. As a Regulatory Analyst II, I am responsible

1 for running the AURORA model to calculate Net Power Supply
2 Expenses ("NPSE") for ratemaking purposes, preparing the
3 Power Cost Adjustment filings in Idaho and Oregon, as well
4 as the marginal cost of energy used in the Company's
5 marginal cost analysis. I also provide analytical support
6 for other regulatory activities within the Regulatory
7 Affairs Department, as well as providing testimony in other
8 Company filings.

9 Q. What is the Company requesting in this case?

10 A. The Company is requesting approval of its
11 quantification of the 2015-2016 PCA rates to become
12 effective June 1, 2015. If approved, the 2015-2016 PCA
13 will result in a revenue decrease of approximately \$10.1
14 million, or a 0.91 percent.

15 Q. How is the Company's case organized?

16 A. The Company's case includes testimony and
17 exhibits from two witnesses. My testimony provides an
18 overview of the PCA, describes the determination of the
19 2015-2016 PCA amount, identifies and explains the main
20 factors contributing to this year's PCA amount, and
21 presents the quantification of the 2015-2016 PCA rates.
22 Kelley K. Noe provides testimony that describes the
23 quantification of the revenue sharing amount to be included
24 in this year's PCA.

25

1 NPSE as compared to the base level NPSE, whether positive
2 or negative. The PCA is also the rate mechanism used by
3 the Company to provide direct revenue sharing benefits
4 resulting from the revenue sharing mechanism approved by
5 Order No. 32424.

6 Q. What comprises the components of the PCA base
7 level NPSE?

8 A. The PCA base level NPSE include the following
9 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") accounts:
10 FERC Account 501, fuel (coal); FERC Account 536, water for
11 power; FERC Account 547, fuel (gas); FERC Account 555,
12 purchased power; FERC Account 565, transmission of
13 electricity by others; and FERC Account 447, sales for
14 resale (typically referred to as surplus sales).

15 The PCA base level expense component for FERC
16 Account 555 includes both power purchases resulting from
17 PURPA and non-PURPA (market) purchases. As per Order No.
18 32426, the Company adjusts FERC Account 555 to include
19 demand response incentive payments that the Company
20 provides to customers for participating in any of its three
21 demand response programs.

22 **II. 2015-2016 PCA**

23 Q. What is the total 2015-2016 PCA amount as
24 measured from the currently approved base level NPSE?

25

1 A. Approved on March, 21, 2014, Order No. 33000
2 authorized Idaho Power's current base level NPSE. The
3 2015-2016 total PCA amount (including revenue sharing and a
4 \$4.0 million DSM Rider adjustment) as measured from the
5 currently approved base level NPSE is \$63.1 million. This
6 represents a decrease in total billed revenue of \$10.1
7 million for the upcoming year, a reduction of 0.91 percent.

8 PCA Forecast

9 Q. What is the Company's determination of the
10 system-level difference between the currently approved base
11 level NPSE and the forecast of NPSE for the 2015-2016 PCA
12 Year?

13 A. The system-level forecast of NPSE for the
14 2015-2016 PCA Year is for \$348,384,126, which is
15 \$42,699,257 higher than the currently approved base level
16 NPSE of \$305,684,869. The following Table 1 presents the
17 system-level differences between the currently approved
18 base level NPSE and the forecast of NPSE for the 2015-2016
19 PCA Year by each NPSE category.

20
21
22
23
24
25

Table 1: 2015-2016 PCA FORECAST (Total System)				
Line No.	FERC Account	Base NPSE	Forecast	Difference
	<u>95% Sharing Accounts</u>			
1.	Account 501, Coal	\$ 108,503,180	\$ 117,032,475	\$ 8,529,295
2.	Account 536, Water for Power	\$ 2,380,597	\$ 2,425,230	\$ 44,633
3.	Account 547, Other Fuel	\$ 33,367,563	\$ 57,173,815	\$ 23,806,252
4.	Account 555, Purchased Power Non-PURPA	\$ 62,606,593	\$ 48,372,214	\$ (14,234,379)
5.	Account 565, 3rd Party Transmission	\$ 5,455,955	\$ 6,453,427	\$ 997,472
6.	Account 447, Surplus Sales	\$ (51,735,153)	\$ (39,048,702)	\$ 12,686,451
		\$ 160,578,735	\$ 192,408,459	\$ 31,829,724
	<u>100% Sharing Accounts</u>			
7.	Account 555, PURPA	\$ 133,853,869	\$ 148,054,626	\$ 14,200,757
8.	Account 555, Demand Response Incentives	\$ 11,252,265	\$ 7,921,041	\$ (3,331,224)
9.	Total	\$ 305,684,869	\$ 348,384,126	\$ 42,699,257

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q. What is the basis for the forecast of NPSE for the 2015-2016 PCA Year?

A. The forecast of NPSE for the 2015-2016 PCA Year is based upon the Company's March 26, 2015, Operating Plan ("Operating Plan").

Q. How is the NPSE forecast developed for the Company's Operating Plan?

A. The Company's Operating Plan is produced monthly and forecasts the Company's monthly NPSE for the following 18-month period; however, for the PCA, the Company includes only the 12 months that correspond to the PCA Year. The Operating Plan is developed by simulating the economic dispatch of the Company's generation resources for each month, segmented by heavy load and light load hours. The dispatch considers a current forecast of forward market energy prices, available hydro generation,

1 coal and natural gas prices, and any existing hedge
 2 transactions. The system load forecast is then analyzed
 3 against the resulting monthly heavy load and light load
 4 dispatch to determine a monthly load and resource balance.
 5 Any identified resource deficiency is assumed to be filled
 6 with market energy purchases. Economically dispatched
 7 generation above the system load forecast represents
 8 surplus energy sales.

9 Q. How does the Company's forecast of NPSE for
 10 the 2015-2016 PCA compare to the forecast in last year's
 11 PCA?

12 A. As can be seen on Table 2, the PCA forecast on
 13 a total forecast system basis for the 2015-2016 PCA is
 14 expected to be \$348,384,126, which is \$18,357,870 higher
 15 than last year's forecast amount of \$330,026,256.

Table 2: PCA Forecast Comparison Expenses (Total System)				
Line No.	FERC Account	2014-2015 Forecast	2015-2016 Forecast	Difference
<u>95 % Sharing Accounts</u>				
1.	Account 501, Coal	\$ 169,424,879	\$ 117,032,475	\$ (52,392,404)
2.	Account 536, Water for Power	\$ 1,751,000	\$ 2,425,230	\$ 674,230
3.	Account 547, Other Fuel	\$ 73,941,673	\$ 57,173,815	\$ (16,767,858)
4.	Account 555, Purchased Power Non-PURPA	\$ 61,996,853	\$ 48,372,214	\$ (13,624,639)
5.	Account 565, 3rd Party Transmission	\$ 6,645,775	\$ 6,453,427	\$ (192,348)
6.	Account 447, Surplus Sales	\$ (126,166,913)	\$ (39,048,702)	\$ 87,118,211
		\$ 187,593,267	\$ 192,408,459	\$ 4,815,192
<u>100% Sharing Accounts</u>				
7.	Account 555, PURPA	\$ 134,142,386	\$ 148,054,626	\$ 13,912,240
8.	Account 555, Demand Response Incentiv	\$ 8,290,603	\$ 7,921,041	\$ (369,562)
		\$ 142,432,989	\$ 155,975,667	\$ 13,542,678
9.	Total PCA Forecast	\$ 330,026,256	\$ 348,384,126	\$ 18,357,870

16
 17 Q. What conclusions can be drawn from the
 18 information contained in Table 2?

1 A. Table 2 compares this year's 2015-2016 PCA
2 forecast to last year's PCA forecast for each NPSE
3 category. As can be seen on Table 2, the 95 percent
4 sharing accounts represent an increase of \$4.8 million and
5 the 100 percent sharing accounts represent an increase of
6 \$13.5 million over last year's 2014-2015 PCA forecast.

7 Q. What factors do you believe contributed to the
8 major differences presented on Table 2?

9 A. Lower market energy prices have driven down
10 the Company's expectation of surplus sales revenue by 69
11 percent as compared to last year's forecast. The reduction
12 in surplus sales revenue is, however, largely offset by the
13 reductions in coal and gas production costs and lower Non-
14 PURPA market energy purchases. Increases in PURPA costs
15 account for approximately 76 percent or \$13.9 million of
16 the year-over-year increase in this year's PCA forecast.

17 Q. Is the increase in PURPA costs related to
18 increased generation output from PURPA projects?

19 A. No. The increase in PURPA expense is largely
20 the result of a higher per-unit cost, not volumes. As can
21 be seen on the following Table 3, PURPA generation output
22 is anticipated to increase by only 131 thousand megawatt-
23 hours ("MWh"), or 6 percent, as compared to last year's PCA
24 forecast. The majority of the 76 percent increase in
25 PURPA-related costs can be attributed to price escalation

1 in PURPA contracts and updated production curves based on
 2 newly available historical operational data.

Table 3: PCA Forecast Comparison Generation (Total System-MWh)

Line No.	FERC Account	2014-2015 Forecast	2015-2016 Forecast	Difference
1.	Hydro	6,912,870	8,132,991	1,220,121
	<u>95% Sharing Accounts</u>			
2.	Account 501, Coal	6,529,271	3,953,060	(2,576,212)
3.	Account 547, Other Fuel	2,114,121	2,297,609	183,488
4.	Account 555, Purchased Power Non-PURPA	1,345,589	858,438	(487,151)
		16,901,852	15,242,098	(1,659,754)
	<u>100% Sharing Accounts</u>			
5.	Account 555, PURPA	2,067,959	2,199,216	131,257
	100% Accounts	2,067,959	2,199,216	131,257
6.	Total Generation	18,969,811	17,441,314	(1,528,497)
	<u>95% Sharing Accounts</u>			
8.	Account 447, Surplus Sales	3,397,321	1,651,264	(1,746,057)
9.	Total Load	15,572,490	15,790,050	217,560

3

4 Q. What else can be concluded from the
 5 information in Table 3?

6 A. The first item of note in Table 3 is the
 7 additional hydro generation of 1.2 million MWh over last
 8 year's PCA forecast. The April-July Brownlee Reservoir
 9 inflow forecast for this year's PCA forecast is 4.5 million
 10 acre feet ("MAF"), as compared to last years forecast of
 11 3.6 MAF for the same months. The 30-year average April-
 12 July Brownlee Reservoir inflow is 5.5 MAF, 1.0 MAF above
 13 this year's forecast. The additional forecasted
 14 streamflows for this year's PCA forecast over last year's
 15 PCA forecast is expected to increase hydro generation by 18
 16 percent.

1 Surplus sales volumes are expected to decrease by 51
2 percent or 1.7 million MWh from last year, resulting from
3 the lower market prices that were mentioned earlier in my
4 testimony. The combination of additional hydro generation
5 of 1.2 million MWh and reduced surplus sales volumes of 1.7
6 million MWh is expected to reduce coal generation by 39
7 percent or 2.6 million MWh from last year's PCA forecast,
8 which is very close to the combined increase in hydro
9 generation and the reduction in surplus sales.

10 Q. How are the forecasted NPSE differences
11 presented in Table 1 used to determine the 2015-2016 PCA
12 forecast component to be collected from Idaho customers?

13 A. The 2015-2016 PCA forecast component is
14 represented by the Idaho jurisdictional share of the
15 forecasted NPSE differences presented in Table 1, adjusted
16 for the PCA sharing provisions. The Idaho jurisdictional
17 share of the forecast NPSE differences is determined by
18 applying a ratio of forecast firm Idaho jurisdictional
19 sales to forecast firm system-level sales to the system-
20 level NPSE differences, adjusted for sharing.

21 Q. What is the Company's forecast of system-level
22 firm sales and Idaho jurisdictional firm sales for the
23 2015-2016 PCA Year?

24 A. For the 2015-2016 PCA Year, Idaho Power has
25 forecast system-level firm sales to be 14,545,294 MWh and

1 Idaho jurisdictional firm sales to be 13,901,424 MWh or
 2 95.57 percent of the system-level.

3 Q. What is the Company's determination of the
 4 2015-2016 PCA forecast component to be collected from Idaho
 5 customers?

6 A. The 2015-2016 PCA forecast component that is
 7 expected to be collected from Idaho customers is
 8 \$39,140,610. Table 4 presents the determination of the
 9 2015-2016 PCA forecast component by individual PCA expense
 10 and revenue category.

Table 4: 2015-2016 PCA FORECAST				
Line No.	FERC Account	Difference from Base	Difference After Sharing	Idaho Allocation
	<u>95% Sharing Accounts</u>	<u>(From Table 1)</u>		
1.	Account 501, Coal	\$ 8,529,295	\$ 8,102,830	\$ 7,744,146
2.	Account 536, Water for Power	\$ 44,633	\$ 42,401	\$ 40,524
3.	Account 547, Other Fuel	\$ 23,806,252	\$ 22,615,940	\$ 21,614,810
4.	Account 555, Purchased Power Non-PURPA	\$ (14,234,379)	\$ (13,522,660)	\$ (12,924,058)
5.	Account 565, 3rd Party Transmission	\$ 997,472	\$ 947,598	\$ 905,651
6.	Account 447, Surplus Sales	\$ 12,686,451	\$ 12,052,128	\$ 11,518,622
		\$ 31,829,724	\$ 30,238,238	\$ 28,899,696
	<u>100% Sharing Accounts</u>			
7.	Account 555, PURPA	\$ 14,200,757	\$ 14,200,757	\$ 13,572,138
8.	Account 555, Demand Response Incentives	\$ (3,331,224)	N/A	\$ (3,331,224)
9.	Total	\$ 42,699,257	\$ 44,438,995	\$ 39,140,610

11
 12 True-Up and True-up of the True-Up

13 Q. What is this year's quantification of the
 14 True-Up?

15 A. The True-Up portion of the PCA is detailed on
 16 the deferral expense report, attached as Exhibit No. 1.
 17 This report compares actual PCA account results to last
 18 year's PCA account projections on a monthly basis, with the

1 differences accumulated as the deferral balance. The
2 balance at the end of March 2015, with interest applied,
3 was \$34,515,981, as shown on row 90 of Exhibit No. 1. The
4 approximately \$34.5 million represents a charge to
5 customers in this year's PCA.

6 Q. To what factors do you attribute the
7 accumulation of the approximately \$34.5 million deferral
8 balance?

9 A. The \$34.5 million deferral balance was largely
10 driven by lower than forecast market energy prices
11 resulting in lower surplus sales volumes. Actual surplus
12 sales volumes were approximately 43 percent lower than
13 forecasted in last year's PCA. As a result, the Company
14 experienced lower than expected surplus sales revenue,
15 which serves to offset power supply expense.

16 Actual hydro generation was approximately 7 percent
17 lower than forecast. The April-July Brownlee Reservoir
18 inflows for 2014 were 3.4 MAF, 0.2 MAF below last year's
19 forecast. Lower than forecasted hydro generation also
20 contributed to this year's True-Up.

21 Q. What is this year's True-Up of the True-Up?

22 A. The Company under collected last year's PCA
23 True-Up Balance by \$1,484,515 as shown on row 110 of the
24 deferral expense report.

25

1 Q. What is the combined effect of the True-Up and
2 the True-Up of the True-Up in this year's PCA?

3 A. The sum of the \$34,515,981 associated with the
4 True-Up and the \$1,484,515 associated with the True-Up of
5 the True-Up represents \$36,000,496 of additional collection
6 from customers. This additional cost in large part
7 reflects that actual net power supply expenses for the
8 2014-2015 PCA year were greater than the forecast.

9 Q. How does this year's combined True-Up and the
10 True-Up of the True-Up compare to last year's amount?

11 A. The combined True-Up and the True-Up of the
12 True-Up for the last PCA Year was \$77,229,793 as compared
13 to the this year's amount of \$36,000,496, a decrease of
14 \$41,229,297.

15 Q. Why is the total combined True-Up a decrease
16 of approximately \$41.2 million over last year's combined
17 PCA True-Up?

18 A. Even though the 2014-2015 combined PCA True-Up
19 was a positive value, it is still a decrease of
20 approximately \$41.2 million over the 2013-2014 combined PCA
21 True-Up balance because the forecast for the 2014-2015 PCA
22 was more accurate than the previous year's forecast for the
23 2013-2014 PCA.

24

25

1 Other PCA Adjustments

2 Q. What is the revenue sharing amount for this
3 2015-2016 PCA Year?

4 A. Based on the quantification described by Ms.
5 Noe in her testimony, the revenue sharing benefit to be
6 credited to customers in this year's PCA is \$7,999,145.

7 Q. Are there any other recommended adjustments to
8 this year's PCA?

9 A. Yes. The Company proposes the continued
10 application of a PCA credit related to the Demand-Side
11 Management ("DSM") Rider in the amount of \$3,970,036.

12 Q. Why is this credit necessary?

13 A. This credit is necessary to maintain the
14 revenue neutrality associated with the 2014 update to the
15 normalized level of NPSE included in base rates approved by
16 Order No. 33000. Idaho Power's current level of DSM Rider
17 collection is four percent of base rate revenues. The
18 approval to increase the Company's level of base rate
19 revenues by \$99.3 million effective June 1, 2014, resulted
20 in approximately \$4.0 million per year of additional DSM
21 Rider funds. To ensure the base rate increase associated
22 with the new base level of NPSE approved in Case No. IPC-E-
23 13-20 is revenue neutral for all classes of customers, it
24 is appropriate to offset the increase in DSM Rider revenue
25 by moving \$4.0 million out of the DSM Rider balancing

1 account and providing that amount as a credit to customers
2 in the 2015-2016 PCA. This adjustment should continue to
3 be included in PCA rate determinations until the level of
4 NPSE recovery in base rates is re-established as part of a
5 general rate case or otherwise adjusted by Commission
6 order.

7 **III. PCA RATE DETERMINATION**

8 Q. How is the rate for the forecast portion of
9 the PCA for April 2015 through March 2016 determined?

10 A. The rate for the forecast portion of the PCA
11 is equal to the sum of (1) 95 percent of the difference
12 between the non-PURPA expenses quantified in the Operating
13 Plan and those quantified in the Company's last approved
14 update of power supply expenses, divided by the Company's
15 normalized system firm sales, and (2) 100 percent of the
16 difference between PURPA-related expenses quantified in the
17 Operating Plan and those quantified in the Company's last
18 approved update of power supply expenses, divided by the
19 Company's normalized system firm sales, and (3) 100 percent
20 of the difference between the Idaho jurisdictional demand
21 response incentive payments quantified in the Operating
22 Plan and those quantified in the Company's last approved
23 update of power supply expenses, divided by the Idaho
24 jurisdictional sales.

25

1 Q. What is the rate for the forecast portion of
2 the PCA for April 2015 through March 2016?

3 The rate for non-PURPA expenses is 0.2079 cents per
4 kilowatt-hour ("kWh"), which is calculated by multiplying
5 \$31,829,724 from Table 1 by 95 percent and then dividing it
6 by the normalized system firm sales of 14,545,294 MWh
7 $((\$31,829,724 * 0.95) / 14,545,294) = \$2.08/\text{MWh} = 0.2079$
8 cents/kWh). The rate for PURPA expenses is 0.0976 cents
9 per kWh, which is calculated by dividing \$14,200,757 from
10 Table 1 by the 14,545,294 MWh $(\$14,200,757 / 14,545,294 \text{ MWh}$
11 $= \$0.98/\text{MWh} = 0.0976 \text{ cents/kWh})$. The rate for the demand
12 response incentive payment is a negative 0.0240 cents per
13 kWh, which is calculated by dividing a negative \$3,331,224
14 from Table 1 by the Idaho jurisdictional firm sales of
15 13,901,424 MWh $(-\$3,331,224 / 13,901,424 \text{ MWh} = -\$0.24/\text{MWh} =$
16 $-0.0240 \text{ cents/kWh})$. The forecast portion of the PCA rate
17 is 0.2815 cents per kWh, which is calculated by adding the
18 non-PURPA expense of 0.2079 cents per kWh to the PURPA
19 expense of 0.0976 cents per kWh to the demand response
20 incentive payment of negative 0.0240 cents per kWh $(0.2079$
21 $+ 0.0976 + -0.0240 = 0.2815 \text{ cents/kWh})$.

22 Q. How did you compute this year's True-Up rate?

23 A. As shown in Exhibit No. 1, this year's True-Up
24 component of the PCA is \$34.5 million, which when divided
25 by the Company's forecast of Idaho jurisdictional sales of

1 13,901,424 MWh results in a rate of 0.2483 cents per kWh
2 (\$34.5 million / 13,901,424 = \$2.48/MWh = 0.2483
3 cents/kWh).

4 The True-Up of the True-Up rate is calculated by
5 dividing \$1.5 million (also from Exhibit No. 1) by the
6 forecast of Idaho jurisdictional sales of 13,901,424 MWh,
7 which results in a rate of 0.0107 cents per kWh (\$1.5
8 million / 13,901,424 = \$0.11/MWh = 0.0107 cents/kWh).

9 Q. Does the quantified True-Up rate include the
10 sales of Renewable Energy Certificates ("RECs") and Sulfur
11 Dioxide ("SO₂") proceeds?

12 A. Yes. The RECs and SO₂ proceeds are included
13 in the Company's deferral expense report, provided as
14 Exhibit No. 1 on lines 37 and 38. Order No. 32002 issued
15 on June 11, 2010, approved the Company's REC Management
16 Plan, which passes the customers' share of REC benefits
17 back to the customer through the PCA. Order No. 32434
18 approved on January 12, 2012, directed the Company to pass
19 SO₂ proceeds through the PCA to help offset the Company's
20 PCA deferral balance.

21 Q. How has the Company determined the PCA rate
22 credits associated with revenue sharing and the DSM Rider
23 transfer?

24 A. All classes of customers will receive revenue
25 sharing benefits in the form of a volumetric rate with the

1 exception of the special contract customers who will
2 receive this benefit in the form of 12 equal monthly bill
3 credits. The adjustment related to the DSM Rider will be
4 provided as a uniform rate credit. This approach will
5 allow each customer class to receive the credit in the same
6 proportion as their respective increase in base rates. The
7 adjustment related to the DSM Rider will be provided in the
8 form of a volumetric rate for all classes of customers.
9 Exhibit No. 2, columns A and B show the annual revenue
10 sharing benefits and the adjustment related to the DSM
11 Rider for all classes of customers. Columns C and D show
12 the cents per kWh rate for the classes that will receive
13 revenue sharing benefits and the adjustment related to the
14 DSM Rider in the form of a volumetric rate.

15 Q. What is the resulting PCA rate when you
16 combine all of the PCA components described previously?

17 A. The Company's PCA rate for the 2015-2016 PCA
18 year is detailed in Exhibit No. 2, column E. The uniform
19 PCA rate is comprised of (1) the 0.2815 cents per kWh
20 adjustment for the 2015-2016 projected power cost of
21 serving firm loads, under the current PCA methodology and
22 95 percent sharing, (2) the 0.2483 cents per kWh for the
23 2014-2015 True-Up portion of the PCA, and (3) the 0.0107
24 cents per kWh for the True-Up of the True-Up. The sum of
25

1 these three components results in a 0.5405 cents per kWh
2 charge for all rate classes.

3 In addition to the uniform PCA rate, each rate class
4 is assigned a portion of the \$8.0 million of revenue
5 sharing and a portion of the \$4.0 million for the
6 adjustment related to the DSM Rider. When these amounts
7 are combined with the uniform PCA rate, each class will
8 receive a different PCA rate. The final PCA rates,
9 including revenue sharing and the adjustment related to the
10 DSM Rider are listed by rate schedule in Exhibit No. 2,
11 column F.

12 Q. What is the revenue impact of the requested
13 PCA rate combined with the revenue sharing rates and the
14 adjustment related to the DSM Rider when compared to the
15 PCA rate currently in effect?

16 A. Attachment 2 to the Application provides a
17 detailed description of the overall revenue impact of this
18 filing on each customer class. As shown on Attachment 2,
19 applying the requested PCA rates to expected customer loads
20 for the June 2015 through May 2016 test year results in a
21 PCA decrease of \$10.1 million.

22 Q. Have you prepared a revised Schedule 55 that
23 includes the proposed PCA rates?

24

25

1 A. Yes, Attachment 1 to the Application includes
2 a revised Schedule 55 that includes the proposed PCA rates
3 in legislative and final formats.

4 Q. Should the Commission approve the Company's
5 computation of the PCA rates?

6 A. Yes. The Commission should approve the
7 Company's computation of the PCA rates. The calculation of
8 the PCA rates follows the methodology that was approved in
9 Order Nos. 30715, 30978, 32424, 32578, and 33000.

10 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

11 A. Yes, it does.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**BEFORE THE
IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

CASE NO. IPC-E-15-14

IDAHO POWER COMPANY

**WRIGHT, DI
TESTIMONY**

EXHIBIT NO. 1

**Idaho Power Company
PCA Deferral Expense Report
Filed April 15, 2015**

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
91															
92															
93															
94															
95															
96															
97															
98															
99															
100															
101															
102															
103															
104															
105															
106															
107															
108															
109															
110															
111															
112															
113															
114															
115															
116															
117															

**BEFORE THE
IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
CASE NO. IPC-E-15-14**

IDAHO POWER COMPANY

**WRIGHT, DI
TESTIMONY**

EXHIBIT NO. 2

Idaho Power Company
Calculation of Revenue Impact
Class Allocated Revenue and Rider Sharing Benefits
State of Idaho
Filed April 15, 2015

Line No.	Tariff Description	(A) Allocated Revenue Sharing Benefit	(B) Allocated DSM Rider (Ongoing) Transfer	(C) Revenue Sharing Dollars per kWh Rate	(D) Allocated DSM Rider (Ongoing) Transfer Dollars per kWh Rate	(E) PCA per kWh Rate	(F) Revenue Sharing + Ongoing DSM Rider Transfer + PCA Rate
Uniform Tariff Rates:							
1	Residential Service	(\$3,546,973)	(\$1,421,063)	(\$0.000713)	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.004406
2	Master Metered Mobile Home Park	(\$3,432)	(\$1,443)	(\$0.000679)	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.004440
3	Residential Service Energy Watch	\$0	\$0	\$0.000000	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.005119
4	Residential Service Time-of-Day	(\$17,591)	(\$7,349)	(\$0.000684)	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.004436
5	Small General Service	(\$124,864)	(\$39,765)	(\$0.000897)	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.004222
6	Large General Service - Secondary	(\$1,751,310)	(\$937,227)	(\$0.000534)	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.004585
7	Large General Service - Primary	(\$220,153)	(\$135,725)	(\$0.000463)	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.004656
8	Large General Service - Transmission	(\$1,783)	(\$1,053)	(\$0.000484)	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.004636
9	Dusk to Dawn Lighting	(\$9,928)	(\$1,817)	(\$0.001560)	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.003559
10	Large Power Service - Secondary	(\$899,740)	(\$1,793)	(\$0.000463)	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.004656
11	Large Power Service - Primary	(\$12,714)	(\$9,222)	(\$0.000394)	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.004725
12	Large Power Service - Transmission	(\$1,049,197)	(\$518,592)	(\$0.000578)	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.004541
13	Agricultural Irrigation Service	(\$7,441)	(\$3,324)	(\$0.000639)	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.004480
14	Unmetered General Service	(\$28,062)	(\$7,838)	(\$0.001022)	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.004097
15	Street Lighting	(\$1,282)	(\$810)	(\$0.000452)	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.004667
16	Traffic Control Lighting						
17	Total Uniform Tariffs	(\$7,677,377)	(\$3,713,702)				
Special Contracts							
18	Micron	(\$175,551)	(\$135,398)	NA	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.005119
19	J R Simplot	(\$67,873)	(\$66,787)	NA	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.005119
20	DOE	(\$78,343)	(\$64,148)	NA	(\$0.000286)	\$0.005405	\$0.005119
21	Total Special Contracts	(\$321,768)	(\$256,334)				
22	Total Idaho Retail Sales	(\$7,999,145)	(\$3,970,036)				

Note:
 (*) June 1, 2015 - May 31, 2016 Forecasted Test Year