

Diane Holt

From: loxtjane@isu.edu
Sent: Monday, March 5, 2018 1:26 PM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Janet Loxterman

Name: Janet Loxterman
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13 83201
Email: loxtjane@isu.edu
Telephone: 2082826167
Address: 5665 Arrowhead Drive
Pocatello ID, 83209

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I do not think Idaho Power should be permitted to segregate customers with distributed energy systems. This punitive proposal is counter to the worldwide move toward job producing clean energy systems. Idaho Power provides no evidence to support their claim, and in fact, would harm Idaho's growing solar industry.

Unique Identifier: 134.50.120.180

Diane Holt

From: sdbenner1@cableone.net
Sent: Monday, March 5, 2018 11:24 AM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Steven Benner

Name: Steven Benner
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13
Email: sdbenner1@cableone.net
Telephone: 208-869-1478
Address: 2808 S. Colorado Ave.
Boise Idaho, 83706

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company

Comment: IPC-E-17-13 comments by Steven Benner The massive and historic transformation of the U.S. energy sector shifted into a higher gear in 2017. The rapid expansion of clean energy in America is delivering more jobs, greater energy productivity, increased economic growth, and fewer emissions.

Idaho Power Company is proposing to isolate net metering customers into a new customer class without analyzing how net metering customers differ from standard customers that do not generate on-site energy. While there is speculation on the motivation of the Company in this proposal, it is clear the proposal is putting the cart before the horse.

Creating a separate class should be the last step in the process of determining the true costs and benefits net metering customers present to the power system. Idaho Power needs to analyze if these customers have a different load profile and/or a different costs of service.

Before proposing changes to rates or classes, there should be a general proceeding which provides all interested stakeholders an opportunity to discuss how to properly value net metering customer technologies. Until there has been a proceeding on the costs and benefits of these customers to the grid and utility, there is not sufficient data to support the creation of a separate class.

Then, once the data, methods, and stakeholder engagement has been conducted, the Company should model the impacts of any proposed separate rate class, cost allocation method, or rates. This will ensure any proposals advance economic efficiency and public policy objectives, and do not unduly discriminate against specific classes of customers. After evaluation of costs and benefits based on actual system performance, the utility may file for a change to rate classes or rates, if justified by the analysis. Idaho Power should get ready for the future and embrace distributed energy and learn how to profit from it instead of putting more roadblocks to more widespread adoption of distributed energy generation and net metering.

Unique Identifier: 96.18.161.37

Diane Holt

From: kayhum@cableone.net
Sent: Monday, March 5, 2018 10:50 AM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Kay Hummel

Name: Kay Hummel
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13
Email: kayhum@cableone.net
Telephone: 208-631-7704
Address: 420 E Crestline DRive
Boise ID, 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am a net metering customer of Idaho Power. The Commission should not approve the new customer class. The utility has not demonstrated that its grid costs are significant for this extremely small class. Please deny IPCO's request.

Unique Identifier: 24.117.74.176

Diane Holt

From: Jake.sunrisesolar@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, March 5, 2018 8:46 AM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Jake Cutler

Name: Jake Cutler
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13
Email: Jake.sunrisesolar@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
Boise ID, 83713

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I think that Idaho Power creating new rate schedules for net metered customers is another over reach by a greedy utility company who wastes money around every corner and only wants to pass that waste on to clients who have decided to do something about their rising power rates. Net metered customers are contributing power to the grid that is used by Idaho Power for other customers that they are most likely selling to out of state utilities.

Unique Identifier: 75.167.166.0

Diane Holt

From: boltlarry@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, March 5, 2018 8:03 AM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Larry Bolt

Name: Larry Bolt
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13
Email: boltlarry@gmail.com
Telephone: 9707974076
Address:
Pocatello ID, 83201

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company

Comment: For their own future prosperity, Idaho Power Company should be promoting and partnering all ways of putting electricity onto the grid AND paying back those that do. In this age of imminent climate crises, it wrong-headed to act otherwise. With droughts happening more often, there will likely come a time they wish they had supported and been part of alternative energies.

Unique Identifier: 159.118.75.108

Diane Holt

From: annmt1949@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, March 4, 2018 4:20 PM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Ann Carlson

Name: Ann Carlson
Case Number: # IPC-E-17-13 83201
Email: annmt1949@aol.com
Telephone:
Address:
Boise ID, 83706

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I ask that you deny Idaho Power's request to segregate customers with distributed energy systems such as rooftop solar. Since they have not done a cost/benefit analysis, this could lead to an unfair financial burden on this class of customers and stifle transition to sustainable energy production and use.

Thank you..

Unique Identifier: 75.174.129.182

Diane Holt

From: toddf@cadenux.com
Sent: Sunday, March 4, 2018 11:18 AM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Todd Fischer

Name: Todd Fischer
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13
Email: toddf@cadenux.com
Telephone: 2083312779
Address: 1005 N 12th St
Boise ID, 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I attended the Boise hearing, but with so many thoughtful speakers, I figured you would better appreciate my feedback in written form.

There are two aspects to a regulated utility - the day-to-day operation so the utility doesn't abuse its monopoly power and the long term planning so the utility can continue to meet the needs of the communities being served. Case IPC-E-17-13 is a day-to-day operations request, which is unnecessary as explained by so many giving testimony at the hearing. What I would like to add is the pattern of Idaho's Power Company's stance on the short term concerns of renewable energy will leave IPC in a very precarious position in the future, being less able to meet the needs of the communities served. IPC has been the envy of all the other power companies around the USA for years because of the renewable energy hydro power complex in Idaho. The uncertainty in fossil fuel price and availability, the likelihood of a carbon tax, and the need to respond to climate change all lead to the same obvious conclusion - renewable energy reduces the risk of disruption in service or sky rocketing costs to consumers. As the PUC engages with IPC, I encourage a broader context be considered so that we can put an end to these distracting cases and focus on the long term success of IPC as the primary provider of electricity to many Idahoans.

Unique Identifier: 71.216.156.246

Diane Holt

From: toddf@cadenux.com
Sent: Sunday, March 4, 2018 11:18 AM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Todd Fischer

Name: Todd Fischer
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13
Email: toddf@cadenux.com
Telephone: 2083312779
Address: 1005 N 12th St
Boise ID, 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I attended the Boise hearing, but with so many thoughtful speakers, I figured you would better appreciate my feedback in written form.

There are two aspects to a regulated utility - the day-to-day operation so the utility doesn't abuse its monopoly power and the long term planning so the utility can continue to meet the needs of the communities being served. Case IPC-E-17-13 is a day-to-day operations request, which is unnecessary as explained by so many giving testimony at the hearing. What I would like to add is the pattern of Idaho's Power Company's stance on the short term concerns of renewable energy will leave IPC in a very precarious position in the future, being less able to meet the needs of the communities served. IPC has been the envy of all the other power companies around the USA for years because of the renewable energy hydro power complex in Idaho. The uncertainty in fossil fuel price and availability, the likelihood of a carbon tax, and the need to respond to climate change all lead to the same obvious conclusion - renewable energy reduces the risk of disruption in service or sky rocketing costs to consumers. As the PUC engages with IPC, I encourage a broader context be considered so that we can put an end to these distracting cases and focus on the long term success of IPC as the primary provider of electricity to many Idahoans.

Unique Identifier: 71.216.156.246

Diane Holt

From: ndblackburn@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, March 4, 2018 9:17 AM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Nathan Blackburn

Name: Nathan Blackburn
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13
Email: ndblackburn@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 128 118th Street
Orofino ID, 83544

Name of Utility Company: Avista

Comment: Although I am not an Idaho Power customer, this decision could have implications beyond their utility district. We need to be encouraging the switch to sustainable power, not discouraging it. The premise of wealth distribution is horrifically flawed, when in fact what the utility company is suggesting we do is to redistribute wealth from INVESTORS in sustainable energy to the ultra wealthy coal mining company owners. This is a step backwards.

I recognize the need to pay for maintaining and growing the grid, but to do it on the backs of those trying to make our world a better place is the wrong approach. Please reflect this horrible request. Thanks for your consideration.

Unique Identifier: 72.47.119.147

Diane Holt

From: Tracyhouse@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, March 3, 2018 8:23 PM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Robert Tracy

Name: Robert Tracy
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13 83201
Email: Tracyhouse@gmail.com
Telephone: (208) 867-2843
Address: 2786 N Lakeharbor Ln
Boise ID, 83703

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I am strongly opposed to Idaho Power changing their rate structure for net metering customers, especially for those like ourselves who have already made substantial investments with the expectation of reasonable returns for our large investments. We put solar systems in both our Boise home and our Cabin in Cascade, using the net metering approach so we can build credits to future bills from IPC when we generate excess, then use those credits when we are using less. Changing the rate structure to disadvantage customers like us would be a gross injustice and must not be allowed.

And we should also have rates for potential new investments that encourage green power, not discourage it.

Unique Identifier: 174.27.111.244

Diane Holt

From: jody.brostrom@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, March 3, 2018 4:51 PM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Jody Brostrom

Name: Jody Brostrom
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13
Email: jody.brostrom@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 15 Abbott Ln
Salmon ID, 83467

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company

Comment: I am writing to express my opposition to Idaho Power Company's (IPC) application to start charging an additional fee for customers that install their own solar or wind electrical systems that are tied to the grid. Energy that is produced in excess of customer needs is made available to IPC for distribution and reduces the need for power produced by fossil fuels. Instead, IPC should be encouraging customers to install solar or wind electrical generation that keeps this energy, dollars and jobs in Idaho.

I am concerned that IPC is not forthcoming on what are the additional charges to customers with installed solar or wind systems that are tied to the grid. Customers should have a solid understanding of rate schedules. Open ended requests are not good customer service nor do they indicate a thoughtful planning process.

I am opposed to IPC ending net metering. As someone with with a 6kw solar system that is tied to the grid, I feel that I in fact am benefiting IPC as they can sell the power I don't use from the grid to others, often at a higher rate. I and others who generate excess power should be credited for this. Instead of continuing to rely on fossil fuels, IPC should be making a clear investment in solar and wind generated power, for their customers, for the health of our environment, and for the security of future generations.

I appreciate the opportunity to comment.

Unique Identifier: 72.160.18.85

Diane Holt

From: janet@buschert.com
Sent: Saturday, March 3, 2018 1:50 PM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Janet Buschert

Name: Janet Buschert
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13
Email: janet@buschert.com
Telephone: 2088306878
Address: 235 W Floating Feather Rd
Eagle Idaho, 83616

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: In August of 2017 Adam Richins of Idaho Power had a column published in the Idaho Statesman addressing net metering usage of power. He suggested that there was a misconception that we (net metering customers) are "off the grid". We agree that this is a misconception. In fact, we know that we are a vital part of the grid. Our installations should continue to be part of the regular class of residential customers and should be treated no differently than them. And we are asking you to consider this when you consider IPC-E-17-13.

Idaho Power's argument that net-metering customers should be considered separately (and eventually charged more we are sure) looks only at ½ of the equation - the traditional business model side of the equation. It fails to recognize a future that embraces renewable energy and the challenges of our costly historic energy-generating environment. And it completely misses the positives to Idaho Power and residential and commercial customers on the network who receive relief through the presence of residential net metering.

For example, we feed power back into the network during the summer during the peak usage periods, diminishing the need for Idaho Power to buy power from more costly sources. This seems to never be recognized as a benefit, but clearly it is.

Over time, our rooftop generation also mitigates the need for Idaho Power to invest in additional power generation facilities. These are the most expensive investments, and adequate rooftop power generation could help either delay or avoid those investments. That delay and avoidance are both of benefit to all users in the network.

Any change of this magnitude should require modeling that really demonstrates both sides of the equation, and we have not seen this work from Idaho Power. We would suggest you deny this petition until more balanced and transparent data is available.

We are also frustrated that Idaho Power is re-visiting the current rate structure once again. Certainly there are more of us than there were, but our numbers do not create an existential threat to the status quo. We represent a small segment that adds benefits largely at our cost.

We are not a separate rate group – we are residential, pure and simple. And we are a benefit to the grid. This is a move to protect Idaho Power's profit incentive and not truly to protect the existing non net-metering base. We are a positive overall influence to the system and not a detractor. Please deny Idaho Power's application for a separate category and subsequently separate rates.

Unique Identifier: 75.174.76.131

Diane Holt

From: ds2k@msn.com
Sent: Saturday, March 3, 2018 12:12 PM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Del & Susie Dickerson

Name: Del & Susie Dickerson
Case Number: IPC-E-1743
Email: ds2k@msn.com
Telephone: 2088508850
Address: 1035 Beverly Drive
Eagle ID, 83616

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: We respectfully request that the IPUC deny Idaho Power's request to create a separate rate class for net metering customers, as per IPUC staff recommendation.

It would appear, from IPUC staff testimony, that Idaho Power did not present a sound, analysis-based case for their request. We also support the IPUC staff recommendation to initiate a docket to determine the appropriate value for the avoided cost of the resource.

While Idaho Power claims to be concerned about wealth transference from lower to higher income individuals, we believe that their main concern is their ability to deliver profits to their shareholders. The eight hundred pound gorilla in the room is the fact that residential solar generation is turning the traditional utility business model, pioneered by Thomas Edison, upside-down.

Unique Identifier: 70.56.152.117

Diane Holt

From: mmcrown73@gmail.com
Sent: Saturday, March 3, 2018 11:52 AM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Michael McGown

Name: Michael McGown
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13 83201
Email: mmcrown73@gmail.com
Telephone: 2089941316
Address: 299 Valli Hi Road
Eagle ID, 83616

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Idaho Power should not be allowed to create a separate rate class for solar power. This technology should be incentivized not penalized. This makes no sense with out a comprehensive cost benefit analysis demonstrating the need. Solar energy is the future of power generation in Idaho and the world, it should be embraced and discouraged.

Unique Identifier: 75.174.156.65

Diane Holt

From: schmelzema4@icloud.com
Sent: Saturday, March 3, 2018 9:52 AM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Marcia Schmelzer

Name: Marcia Schmelzer
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13 83201
Email: schmelzema4@icloud.com
Telephone: 2089391494
Address: 299 W Valli-Hi Rd
Eagle Idaho, 83616

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company

Comment: I strongly believe Idahoans should have a right to offset their own electricity usage with on-site renewable energy. They make a financial investment and should reap benefits from that investment. Idaho Power is obligated to conduct cost-benefit studies prior to changes in the existing net metering program. IPC proposal to create a customer class for those who self-generate is without evidence and premature.

Unique Identifier: 75.174.156.65

Diane Holt

From: schmelzema4@icloud.com
Sent: Saturday, March 3, 2018 9:52 AM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Marcia Schmelzer

Name: Marcia Schmelzer
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13 83201
Email: schmelzema4@icloud.com
Telephone: 2089391494
Address: 299 W Valli-Hi Rd
Eagle Idaho, 83616

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company

Comment: I strongly believe Idahoans should have a right to offset their own electricity usage with on-site renewable energy. They make a financial investment and should reap benefits from that investment. Idaho Power is obligated to conduct cost-benefit studies prior to changes in the existing net metering program. IPC proposal to create a customer class for those who self-generate is without evidence and premature.

Unique Identifier: 75.174.156.65

Diane Holt

From: cristaworthy@hotmail.com
Sent: Saturday, March 3, 2018 8:40 AM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Crista Worthy

Name: Crista Worthy
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13 83201
Email: cristaworthy@hotmail.com
Telephone: 2089064471
Address:
Boise ID, 83714

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: We need to make it easier for Idahoans to install and use rooftop solar, NOT make it more difficult. Idaho Power is trying to make it infinitely more costly and difficult for Idahoans to install and use rooftop solar power.

We should have the right to offset our own electricity usage with onsite renewable energy. Idaho Power must conduct benefit-cost studies prior to making any changes to the existing net metering program. Idaho Power's proposal to place customers who self-generate into their own customer class is premature and discriminatory.

Unique Identifier: 45.33.218.100

Diane Holt

From: aliceanderson@cableone.net
Sent: Saturday, March 3, 2018 8:26 AM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Alice Anderson

Name: Alice Anderson
Case Number:
Email: aliceanderson@cableone.net
Telephone:
Address: 2105 Hillway Dr
Boise Idaho, 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Idaho Powers net metering program enables local citizens to support Idahos clean energy sector. Supporting this growing sector of our economic reduces the current reliance on out-of-state fossil fuels for roughly 50% of our electricity.

Investing in local solar power keeps more energy dollars in our communities and allows individuals to meet their own energy needs. Individual control and local economic growth are Idahoan values.

It is

Please do all in your power to encourage the growth of alternate, non polluting sources of energy. The future of our planet depends on you.

Please stand up for these values by maintaining the current net metering program.

Unique Identifier: 34.235.129.42

Diane Holt

From: gmeyer9904@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, March 2, 2018 10:09 PM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Greg Meyer

Name: Greg Meyer
Case Number: *JPC-E-17-13*
Email: gmeyer9904@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 7909 S Indigo Ridge Ave
Boise Idaho, 83716

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Idaho Powers net metering program enables local citizens to support Idahos clean energy sector. Supporting this growing sector of our economic reduces the current reliance on out-of-state fossil fuels for roughly 50% of our electricity.

Investing in local solar power keeps more energy dollars in our communities and allows individuals to meet their own energy needs. Individual control and local economic growth are Idahoan values.

Please stand up for these values by maintaining the current net metering program.

Unique Identifier: 35.168.17.141

Diane Holt

From: bcochrane318@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, March 2, 2018 9:00 PM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Barbara Cochrane

Name: Barbara Cochrane
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13 83201
Email: bcochrane318@gmail.com
Telephone: 2089495715
Address: 2335 E PARKSIDE DR
BOISE ID - Idaho, 83712

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I just had a home roof top solar system installed because it feels like the ethical choice to help in the production of electricity. We have to continue to incentivize the public to install renewable energy sources whenever possible. As a customer of Idaho Power I continue to pay service charges and various fees. The net metering is a reasonable system and needs to be sustained. At the very least, a benefit-cost analysis needs to be completed without any new customer classification to ultimately discriminate and charge more to self-generating contributors to the grid!

Unique Identifier: 159.118.90.174

Diane Holt

From: drmarymc@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, March 2, 2018 5:57 PM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Mary McGown

Name: Mary McGown
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13
Email: drmarymc@gmail.com
Telephone: 2088710015
Address: 282 S Mobley Lane
Boise ID, 83712

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Company.

Comment: I am opposed to Idaho Power Company creating a separate rate class for small, distributed generation customers.

First, I do not think that the company has justified the change. The analysis is superficial and incomplete as to the benefits of distributed generation and the actual costs of connecting to these customers.

Second, I think customers should have the option of generating some of the power that they and other utility customers use. It is only through significant investment by individual customers that this distributed generation can be added to the utility's fuel mix. Solar panels can be used in urban areas and in the future are likely to be a growing source of generation. I'd like to see urban solar nurtured, not stunted by penalizing customers who are willing to pay the costs of installing and maintaining solar panels.

Third, Idaho Power Company is a public utility. As such, I think it should be responsive and accountable to the public. The company is guaranteed a return on investment. I think it has a greater responsibility to the customers in its service territory than a company that bears all the costs and uncertainties of doing business. Regulate it in the public interest.

Fourth, Idaho Power Company has the talent to be innovative in all of its endeavors. Please nudge them to be innovative in addressing a small, but growing segment of customers who are committed to generating power on their roof tops and in their farm yards. They are addressing some technical and environmental issues that the company seems reluctant to do.

Unique Identifier: 65.129.79.72

Diane Holt

From: Marylucachick@yahoo.com
Sent: Friday, March 2, 2018 5:11 PM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Mary Lucachick

Name: Mary Lucachick
Case Number: IPGE-17-13 83201
Email: Marylucachick@yahoo.com
Telephone: 2088905474
Address: 671 N Morningside Way
Boise ID-Idaho, 83712

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Co.

Comment: We made an expensive infrastructure improvement that effectively offsets electricity that the utility would have to purchase at times of high demand when the entire grid is peaking on a hot August day when the temp is hitting 110 degrees. There are many other ways that the electrical customer could be segregated to establish additional rate classes based on where they live or how they heat their homes. Establishing a separate rate class for people who are trying so very hard to do the right thing and wean themselves off dirty fuels (1/2 of IPC's electricity comes from out of state coal) is just wrong. We also offset gasoline with our roof based solar and plug-in vehicle.

Thanks for holding the hearing last night. I hope the message of rejecting the new rate class came through loud and clear. The number of jobs created by solar installers is vital to our economy, and the uncertainty of future rate hikes will only hurt that industry, which is wrong. We need to promote clean energy and work with the limited population that has solar producing potential, not disenfranchise them.

Thank you.

Unique Identifier: 65.129.75.84

Diane Holt

From: jketchum@earthlink.net
Sent: Friday, March 2, 2018 4:44 PM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Janet Wygle

Name: Janet Wygle
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13
Email: jketchum@earthlink.net
Telephone: 208-788-8406
Address: 106 Columbine Dr.
Hailey ID, 83333

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: In 2016, I installed solar panels and a thermal system on my roof in Hailey, Idaho, and signed up for "net metering" with Idaho Power. My solar systems do not always produce all the power my house uses, especially in a snowy month. At those times, I use Idaho Power's electricity and pay for it on my monthly bill. Although my annual Idaho Power bills have decreased almost 40%, I have never had a month when I didn't pay Idaho Power something. I have never produced "excess" electricity to be used by Idaho Power and have never received a credit for such excess electricity on my bill.

I hope any changes to the "net metering" arrangements with Idaho Power will not cost me any additional money, nor penalize me for trying to switch to clean energy. Not all solar power users are in the same boat.

Thank you.

Unique Identifier: 70.171.136.173

Diane Holt

From: lkscop0661@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, March 2, 2018 4:16 PM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Lucy Scopinich

Name: Lucy Scopinich
Case Number: *IPCL E-17-13*
Email: lkscop0661@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address: 3334 E Dowling Mill Ct
Boise Idaho, 83706

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: Idaho Powers net metering program enables local citizens to support Idahos clean energy sector. Supporting this growing sector of our economic reduces the current reliance on out-of-state fossil fuels for roughly 50% of our electricity.

Investing in local solar power keeps more energy dollars in our communities and allows individuals to meet their own energy needs. Individual control and local economic growth are Idahoan values.

Please stand up for these values by maintaining the current net metering program.

Unique Identifier: 54.227.89.104

Diane Holt

From: tedsingletary@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, March 2, 2018 3:16 PM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Ted Singletary

Name: Ted Singletary
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13
Email: tedsingletary@gmail.com
Telephone:
Address:
Boise ID, 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power Co.

Comment: As an IDACORP shareholder I support Idaho Power's right to a reasonable profit. There are currently no firm data to suggest the proposal to change the rate structure for residential solar power customers will do this. Such a measure should only be taken after a thorough cost-benefit analysis. It seems reasonable, for example, that rooftop solar panels will reduce the need for peak power generation in the summer months, which is exactly when solar panels have peak output. It also seems likely that expanded residential and business solar generation will change the nature of the power grid to one that is more distributed and resilient. Although I am interested in IDACORP profits, all the economic impacts, including local job creation, must be considered. The Idaho Power request is premature and may ultimately be unnecessary but implementation will have immediate consequences.

Unique Identifier: 75.167.179.155

Diane Holt

From: J_schlicht@msn.com
Sent: Friday, March 2, 2018 3:06 PM
To: Beverly Barker; Diane Holt; Matthew Evans
Subject: Case Comment Form: Janet Schlicht

Name: Janet Schlicht
Case Number: IPC-E-17-13 83201
Email: J_schlicht@msn.com
Telephone: 208-343-2858
Address: 1316 N 26th St
Boise ID, 83702

Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power

Comment: I object to Idaho Power's contention that net metering for customers who generate their own power via roof top solar does not cover the cost of serving those customers. I believe that the PUC should be supporting efforts to create non-polluting sources of energy.

As Idaho Pwer has not yet done a cost-benefit study demonstrating that there is a cost shift issue, the PUC should deny its request for creating a new customer class of solar users.

Bottom line: Idahoans should have the right to offset their electricity usage with renewable, on-site sources. Please do not allow Idaho Power to create a new customer class for renewable energy users.

Unique Identifier: 24.117.233.233