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BACKGROUND

On November 18, 1997, the Commission issued Order No. 27211 in Case No. GNR-E-97-1 specifying the manner in which Idaho’s electric providers should submit their costs of providing service to this Commission as mandated by the 1997 Idaho Legislature.(footnote: 1) The cost information provided pursuant to Order No. 27211 was compiled in a Commission report to the Governor and the Legislature.

Because of concerns expressed by certain parties regarding a need for greater detail in separating the costs of electric providers, the Commission stated in Order No. 27211 that it would initiate three proceedings, one pertaining to each of Idaho’s three major regulated electricity providers (Idaho Power Company, The Washington Water Power Company, and PacifiCorp), for the purpose of examining, in greater detail, those providers’ costs of service and affirming the accuracy of the cost information contained in the reports generated in Case No.GNR-E-97-1.  Consequently, on January 28, 1998, the Commission issued Order No. 27342 initiating this proceeding for that purpose for Idaho Power Company.  Similar Orders were issued for Water Power and PacifiCorp.  Order No.27342, combined with a Notice, scheduled a deadline for the prefiling of direct testimony and exhibits of the Commission Staff and intervenors.  Testimony was filed by Staff, the Commercial Utility Customers of Idaho (CUC), the Industrial Customers of Idaho Power (ICIP) and the Idaho Irrigation Pumpers Association (Irrigators).

On July 23, 1998, this Commission conducted a second, consolidated prehearing conference in the three proceedings (IPC-E-98-2, UPL-E-98-1 and WWP-E-98-1).  During the prehearing conference, the Commission solicited input from the parties regarding if and how the three cases should proceed further.  The parties offered mixed views.  Idaho Power, Water Power and PacifiCorp all are of the opinion that the requirements of Idaho Code § 61-388 regarding the separation of electric supplier costs have been satisfied and that the Commission should terminate these proceedings.

The ICIP, CUC and Irrigators all urge the Commission to proceed further with this case so that more detailed cost information can be obtained from the three electric utilities.  They also suggest that the Commission adopt a different cost allocation methodology that would shift costs among the categories of generation, transmission and distribution.

The Commission Staff opines that the legal requirements of Idaho Code § 61-338 have, in fact, been satisfied but that the identification, categorization and analysis of electric utilities’ costs in this state is a dynamic and still relevant concern that can and should be addressed in any number of ways.  Staff recommends that the Commission consider initiating rate cases for those electric utilities not currently operating under a rate moratorium agreement with the Commission.  Staff also suggests that the Commission could embark on a formal analysis of stranded costs in the state of Idaho.  Whatever course the Commission takes, Staff believes that the three cases currently pending should be closed at this time.

FINDINGS

As noted, Case No. GNR-E-97-1 (the generic proceeding) and the three utility-specific proceedings currently before us, including this one, were initiated as a direct result of Idaho Code § 61-338(footnote: 2) which provides:

On or before July 1, 1997, the commission shall commence proceedings so that each electric utility with one thousand (1,000) customers or more under its jurisdiction shall begin providing information concerning its costs of supplying electric energy to its customers.  Cost information shall be separated among utility functions, consisting at a minimum of generation, transmission services, distribution services and other categories as the commission may deem relevant.  The commission shall issue periodic reports to the governor, and both houses of the legislature about the progress it is making in implementing the provisions of this section.

The purpose of the three utility-specific proceedings has been, among other things, to audit the records of Idaho Power, Water Power and PacifiCorp and the data provided by those utilities in the generic proceeding to ensure the accuracy of the information included in the report submitted by this Commission to the Governor and 1997 Idaho Legislature.  That report contained cost information broken down into generation, transmission and distribution services as well as other categories adopted by this Commission in the generic case.   The direct testimony filed by Staff witness Madonna Faunce in this case, states that Staff has audited the records of Idaho Power and the separated cost information provided by the Company and found that data to fairly present the financial position of Idaho Power.  While the intervenors seek  greater detail in Idaho Power’s cost information and have proposed different methods of allocating those costs, none of them contend that the actual cost data submitted by Idaho Power to date is factually incorrect.  We find, therefore, that the report submitted by this Commission to the Governor and the Legislature satisfies the requirements of Idaho Code § 61-338.

Given our finding that the requirements of Idaho Code § 61-338 have been satisfied and the information provided to the Governor and the Legislature is reasonably accurate, the issue remaining for us to resolve is whether we should continue in this proceeding to gather more detailed cost information or to redefine the categorization of that information as suggested by the intervenors.  We find that, with respect to much of the information apparently sought by the intervenors in this proceeding, it is of a type that is dynamic and that its categorization requires some degree of subjectivity.  Utilities’ costs do, of course, change with time.  Any attempt to quantify those costs, therefore, provides information that is accurate only at the time the information is collected.  We find, therefore, that rather than continue indefinitely to identify evolving costs and determine their appropriate categorization in the somewhat limited context of a case not affecting rates, it would be more reasonable to require Idaho Power, Water Power and PacifiCorp to provide periodic updates to their cost information.  We direct those utilities to submit reports with updated cost information in the same format used in the original reports submitted to this Commission in Case No.GNR-E-97-1 on or before July 1 of every year.  At that time, we will reassess whether circumstances justify requiring the utilities to provide separated cost data in greater detail or different format.

Finally, regarding the suggestions of the intervenors in this proceeding to engage in a more detailed analysis of electric utilities’ costs and, specifically, the categorization of those costs, we have come to the conclusion, after reviewing the testimony filed in this case and the comments of the parties made at the prehearing conference, that such an analysis would be better performed in the context of a rate proceeding during which the Commission Staff and other parties would have the opportunity to fully explore the costs and revenues of a specific utility.  Until the Idaho Legislature amends Title 61 of the Idaho Code, this Commission remains obligated to regulate electric utilities under the existing set of laws.  While the nature of our regulation of Idaho’s electric utilities has evolved over time, we continue to set rates for those utilities based on their actual costs incurred during a specific period of time; often referred to as a “test year.”  To require the utilities to provide information of the magnitude and detail suggested by the intervenors in this proceeding, with no ultimate purpose other than to obtain the information without a corresponding change in rates, would be unreasonably burdensome for the utilities while producing no tangible benefits to ratepayers.

We note that it has been some time since we conducted a general rate case for either PacifiCorp or Water Power.  Idaho Power’s last general rate proceeding was concluded in 1995 and the Company is operating under a rate moratorium agreement with this Commission until the end of the year 2000.  We anticipate a rate case for PacifiCorp early next year.  We will investigate the rates of the other utilities as necessary.

O R D E R

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Case No. IPC-E-98-2 is closed.

THIS IS A FINAL ORDER.  Any person interested in this Order (or in issues finally decided by this Order) or in interlocutory Orders previously issued in this Case No. IPC-E-98-2  may petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of the service date of this Order.  Within seven (7) days after any person has petitioned for reconsideration, any other person may cross-petition for reconsideration.  See Idaho Code § 61-626.

DONE by Order of the Idaho Public Utilities Commission at Boise, Idaho this                  day of August 1998.

                                                                                                                                      DENNIS S. HANSEN, PRESIDENT

                                                                                           RALPH NELSON, COMMISSIONER

MARSHA H. SMITH, COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

Myrna J. Walters

Commission Secretary
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**FOOTNOTES**

1:

The mandating legislation is found in Idaho Code§§ 61-338-339.

2:

Idaho Code §61-339 mandates unbundled cost information for cooperative and municipal electric providers.
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