

- Referred to Lynn for Response
✓ To AV. for Int. Parties list
✓ Response sent by Lynn 11/19/02
Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 18, 2002 9:39 AM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark; Janet Bahora
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 18, 2002
9:38:46 AM

Case: pac-e-02-7
Name: Jim Walmsley
Street Address: 266 Washington
City: American Falls
State: Idaho
ZIP: 83211
Home Telephone: 208-226-7830
E-Mail: jimwalmsley@juno.com
Company: Idaho Power
mailing_list_yes_no: yes
Comment_description: Dear Idaho Power:

I decided to buy some of those fluorescent light bulbs you talked about in your latest flier, Lighting Coupon Program, to help conserve on my electric bill. I do have some questions though.

I bought a four-bulb four-foot fixture with electronic ballast and t8 bulbs to replace my two 75-watt Halogen ceiling fixture. I guess those Halogens put off a lot of heat because when I took the fixture down the wiring up in the box was cooked. Did I make any savings by going that route? Is there a chart that tells me? I've looked at the little chart on your Lighting Coupon Program flyer but I can't say I really understand the difference.

My wife loves the difference the fluorescent light bulbs made in our kitchen; in fact the four bulbs were so bright that we had to take out two, and it's still brighter than the one we had in there before.

While in the store I talked with a sales rep and he stated that fluorescents are nice but:

Statement #1: If you're going to be in a room for less than an hour, incandescent bulbs are still better to use because the ballast uses an hour's worth of electricity when it's first fired up.

Statement #2: On the old ballast type, you need to burn-in the plates for twenty-four hours to cut down on the humming and use less electricity.

Statement #3: He bought some of the first CFL's that came out that were supposed to last four or five years, and they lasted six months. Are the new CFL's a better quality? Is this guy trying to make me paranoid? Is there any truth in his comments? Are you selling us this idea in hopes that they will eventually make a better CFL?

I guess I'd like to know the truth so I can be better informed on how to save on electricity. What about the cost savings in electronic ballast versus regular ballast? I've got four two-bulb fluorescents out in my shop that have been humming for years. I paid \$10.00 per fixture. Would I be better off buying the \$24.00 fixture rated for the cold. Do I worry about burn-in time? I need more sunlight in the winter—are these full-spectrum fluorescents the kind to use? I'd like more information on that too if you could.

Idaho Power Customer,

Jim Walmsley
266 Washington St
American Falls ID 83211
(208) 226-7830

Transaction ID: 1118938.46
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 67.250.112.183
User Hostname: 67.250.112.183

*✓ To Adv.
for Int. Parties
list*

*✓ Gen. Ack
sent 11/19/02*

Jean Jewell

PAC-E-02-07

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2002 11:35 AM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark; Janet Bahora
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Friday, November 15, 2002
11:35:06 AM

Case: Case No. PAC-E-02-7, Order No. 29151
Name: Len Baxter
Street Address: 6198 Brian Way
City: Boise
State: ID
ZIP: 83716-8809

Home Telephone: (208) 334-8424
E-Mail: lbaxter@itd.state.id.us
Company: PacifiCorp

mailing_list_yes_no: yes

Comment_description: Let PacifiCorp, and any other electric company that wants to, establish a deferred account to recover the costs of a compact fluorescent light bulb program, if PacificCorp or any other electric company, doesn't raise rates in the future and will lower rates. If 88,000 CFL bulbs cost \$456,000 (about \$5.19 per CFL) and save 5.7 million kWh annually (8 cents per kWh if \$456,000 is divided by 5.7 million kWh), then current and future rates should never go above 8 cents per kWh.

When I was a customer of Detroit Edison power company, they would sell CFL bulbs at their cost, to their customers or reimburse their customers up to a certain amount for a CFL bulb bought on the open market. They had all kinds of CFL bulbs (sizes, colors, wattage, etc).

Transaction ID: 11151135.6
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 164.165.237.6
User Hostname: 164.165.237.6

✓ To A.V. for Int. Parties List

✓ Gen. Ack sent 11/19/02

PAC-E-02-7

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Sunday, November 17, 2002 9:52 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark; Janet Bahora
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Sunday, November 17, 2002
9:52:17 PM

Case: PAC-E-02-7
Name: Michael Seibert
Street Address: 1665 Pocatello Creek Road
City: Pocatello
State: Idaho
ZIP: 83201
Home Telephone: 208-233-1665
E-Mail: outbackgolf@cableone.net
Company: PacificCorp

mailing_list_yes_no: yes

Comment_description: Customers should not have to involuntarily pay for the costs of power company selected promotional hardware directly on their bill. It should be an individuals choice of what type and quantity of energy saving equipment to purchase in order to reduce their own power consumption and bill. If PacificCorp wants to fund the CFL program, let them pay for it out of their Net Income and do not add the expenses to the rate base cost of providing power to their customers.

Transaction ID: 11172152.17
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 24.116.157.66
User Hostname: 24.116.157.66

*✓ Gen. Ack
sent 11/18/02*

PAC-E-02-07

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Friday, November 15, 2002 6:01 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark; Janet Bahora
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Friday, November 15, 2002
6:01:25 PM

Case: pac-e-027
Name: Dennis Henrie
Street Address: P.O. Box 1543
City: Idaho Falls
State: Idaho
ZIP: 83403
Home Telephone: 208-529-1645
E-Mail: dhh@srv.net
Company: Pacific Corp

Comment_description: This is just another ploy to take advantage of the customer down the road. Every thime this corp. comes up with an idea it is to get more money from the customer. This should be turned down. If you don't then they will collect 4 or 5 times what it cost them. They will do it under the guize that it cost them more than they figured on. This is one company that you cannot trust anything they say. They have done nothing except lie since they took over. They are as bad as Enron for the lies they tell. Turn it down.

Transaction ID: 11151801.25
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 65.19.203.186
User Hostname: 65.19.203.186

✓ To A.V. for Int. Parties List
✓ Gen. Ack sent 11/19/02
Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Tuesday, November 19, 2002 8:17 AM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark; Janet Bahora
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Tuesday, November 19, 2002
8:17:27 AM

Case: PAC-E-027
Name: Marilyn B. Carr
Street Address: PO Box 337
City: Lava Hot Springs
State: ID
ZIP: 83246-0337
Home Telephone: (208)776-5464
E-Mail: MCarr119@aol.com
Company: Utah Power

mailing_list_yes_no: yes

Comment_description: I personally use energy effecient flourescent light bulbs and think that it would be adequate to put a notice in the utility bill that they are an energy saving device and that people should use them. I do not approve of \$456,000 begin spent to force their use.

Transaction ID: 1119817.27
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 198.81.26.238
User Hostname: 198.81.26.238

Jean Jewell

*✓ To A.V. for
Int. Parties Rist*

*✓ Gen. Ack
sent 11/19/02*

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 18, 2002 6:37 PM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark; Janet Bahora
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 18, 2002
6:37:22 PM

Case: PAC-E-02-7, Order No. 29151
Name: Randall Ashcraft
Street Address: 1280 South 4000 West
City: Rexburg
State: ID
ZIP: 83440

Home Telephone: 208-356-6863
E-Mail: randyash@onewest.net
Company: Uath Power / Pacificorp
mailing_list_yes_no: yes

Comment_description: Please deny PacifiCorps' request in this case. The CFL would cost \$5.18 each. Consumers can purchase them for in local stores at a price less than 1/2 of this amount. PacifiCorp would be purchasing the CFL at wholesale price for less than \$1.00. The rest of the cost would be eaten up in the overheads and management of the "giveaway". This is not a good deal for the people of Idaho. There is NO free lunch or CFLs.

Transaction ID: 11181837.22
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 63.230.119.70
User Hostname: 63.230.119.70

*K. To A.V.
for Int. Park
list*

*✓ Gen Ack.
sent 11/19/02*

Jean Jewell

From: Ed Howell
Sent: Monday, November 18, 2002 11:08 AM
To: Jean Jewell; Ed Howell; Gene Fadness; Tonya Clark; Janet Bahora
Subject: Comment acknowledgement

WWW Form Submission:

Monday, November 18, 2002
11:07:51 AM

Case: PAC-E-02-7
Name: Chris Evans
Street Address: 3810 No. Deep Creek Road
City: Malad
State: Idaho
ZIP: 83252

Home Telephone: 208-766-4764
E-Mail: cevans@phd6.state.id.us
Company: Pacificorp

mailing_list_yes_no: yes

Comment_description: I do not know how they are planning on getting the money to set up a deferred account for distributing light globes, but anything this company wants to do seems to mean higher rates for Idaho customers at some point in time. I am not impressed with them wanting to buy light globes for me. These globes have been on the retail market for quite some time now and I feel that people want to buy them, they will. I have been using them for approximately one year and am satisfied with them, however, I have a hard time understanding how they think that switching two light globes in a home will show anyone a significant cost savings. Also, as with anything else, because they will be buying them and distributing them to the ratepayers, the cost is going to obviously be higher than if we go out and buy them ourselves. I just bought a pack of two from Home Depot for \$8.00. Looking at the figures they are using, it would cost over \$10.00 to supply those same bulbs to me. I don't want to have to come back 2 or 3 years from now and have them request a rate hike because they supplied me with light globes I could have purchased for less somewhere else. It might have to go back to you to be approved "if" they ever ask to be reimbursed by the ratepayers, but "Utah Power" has never had much difficulty getting what they want. By the time they got my \$10+ back from me, it would end up costing much more than that.

I am perfectly happy purchasing my own light globes and would like to keep it that way.
Thank you,
Chris Evans

Transaction ID: 11181107.51
Referred by: <http://www.puc.state.id.us/scripts/polyform.dll/ipuc>
User Address: 164.165.196.68
User Hostname: 164.165.196.68