

LAW OFFICES OF

**RACINE OLSON NYE BUDGE & BAILEY
CHARTERED**

201 EAST CENTER STREET
POST OFFICE BOX 1391
POCATELLO, IDAHO 83204-1391

TELEPHONE (208) 232-6101
FACSIMILE (208) 232-6109

www.racinelaw.net

SENDER'S E-MAIL ADDRESS: elo@racinelaw.net

W. MARCUS W. NYE
RANDALL C. BUDGE
JOHN A. BAILEY, JR.
JOHN R. GOODELL
JOHN B. INGELSTROM
DANIEL C. GREEN
BRENT O. ROCHE
KIRK B. HADLEY
FRED J. LEWIS
ERIC L. OLSEN
CONRAD J. AIKEN
RICHARD A. HEARN, M.D.
LANE V. ERICKSON
FREDERICK J. HAHN, III
DAVID E. ALEXANDER
PATRICK N. GEORGE
SCOTT J. SMITH
JOSHUA D. JOHNSON
STEPHEN J. MUHONEN
BRENT L. WHITING
JONATHAN S. BYINGTON
DAVE BAGLEY
CAROL TIPPI VOLYN
THOMAS J. BUDGE
CANDICE M. MCHUGH
JONATHAN M. VOLYN
MARK A. SHAFFER
JASON E. FLAIG

BOISE OFFICE
101 SOUTH CAPITOL
BOULEVARD, SUITE 208
BOISE, IDAHO 83702
TELEPHONE: (208) 395-0011
FACSIMILE: (208) 433-0167

IDAHO FALLS OFFICE
477 SHOUP AVENUE
SUITE 203
POST OFFICE BOX 50688
IDAHO FALLS, ID 83405
TELEPHONE: (208) 528-6101
FACSIMILE: (208) 528-6109

COEUR D'ALENE OFFICE
250 NORTHWEST
BOULEVARD, SUITE 106A
COEUR D'ALENE, ID 83814
TELEPHONE: (208) 765-6888

ALL OFFICES TOLL FREE
(877) 232-6101

LOUIS F. RACINE (1917-2005)
WILLIAM D. OLSON, OF COUNSEL

RECEIVED
2009 JUL 30 AM 9:35
IDAHO PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

July 29, 2009

Sent Via E-mail & UPS Overnight Delivery

Jean Jewell
IPUC Commission Secretary
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, Idaho 83720-0074

Re: PAC-E-08-08

Dear Ms. Jewell:

Enclosed for filing you will find the original and seven (7) copies of the Application for Intervenor Funding of The Idaho Irrigation Pumpers Association, Inc.

Sincerely,

Dave Bagley
FOR ERIC L. OLSEN

ELO/nj
Enclosures
c: Service List

Eric L. Olsen, ISB No. 4811
RACINE, OLSON, NYE, BUDGE &
BAILEY, CHARTERED
P.O. Box 1391; 201 E. Center
Pocatello, Idaho 83204-1391
Telephone: (208) 232-6101
Fax: (208) 232-6109

RECEIVED
2009 JUL 30 AM 9:35
IDAHO PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

*Attorneys for Intervenor
Idaho Irrigation Pumpers Association, Inc.*

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE)	CASE NO. PAC-E-08-08
APPLICATION OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN)	
POWER FOR APPROVAL OF AN)	INTERVENOR FUNDING
ENERGY COST ADJUSTMENT)	
MECHANISM (ECAM))	
_____)	

**APPLICATION FOR INTERVENOR FUNDING OF
THE IDAHO IRRIGATION PUMPERS ASSOCIATION, INC.**

COMES NOW the Idaho Irrigation Pumpers Association, Inc. ("IIPA"), by and through counsel of record, Eric L. Olsen, and hereby respectfully makes application to the Idaho Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") for intervenor funding, pursuant to Idaho Code § 61-617A and IDAPA 31.01.01.161 through .165, regarding the expenses and costs associated with IIPA's participation in the above referenced matter, as follows:

(A) A summary of the expenses that the IIPA requests to be recovered is broken down into legal fees, consultant fees and other costs and expenses and is set forth in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated by reference. Itemized statements are also included as Attachments 1 and 2 to Exhibit "A" in support of said summary and are incorporated by reference.

(B) On October 23, 2008, Rocky Mountain Power ("RMP" or "the Company") filed an application (the "Application") seeking approval of an energy cost adjustment mechanism ("ECAM"). RMP's proposed ECAM is designed to defer the difference between base net power costs set during a general rate case and collected from customers in their retail rates and actual net power costs incurred by the Company to serve retail customers. The calculation of the deferral would be on a monthly basis by comparing the monthly base net power cost ("NPC") rate in dollars per megawatt-hour to the actual NPC rate also in dollars per megawatt-hour. The resulting monthly NPC differential rate would be applied to actual Idaho retail load to calculate the NPC differential for deferral. The net power costs of \$982 million, as stipulated in Rocky Mountain Power's general rate Case No. PAC-E-08-07, Order No. 30783, will be the base NPC for the ECAM until re-set in the next general rate case.

With a view toward resolving the issues raised in the Application, RMP, Staff for the Idaho Public Utilities Commission ("Staff"), Monsanto Company ("Monsanto"), and the IIPA (collectively referred to as the "Parties") met and held conference calls, pursuant to IDAPA 31.01.01.271 and .272, to engage in settlement discussions. Based upon the settlement discussions among the Parties, a compromise agreement was reached which the Parties believe is in the public's interest. The terms of the agreement are set forth more fully in the stipulation ("Stipulation") filed with the Commission on June 23, 2009. As a signatory and as further outlined in the IIPA's testimony filed in support thereof, the IIPA urges the Commission to adopt the terms of the Stipulation. The key provisions in the Stipulation include the following:

1. In the Stipulation the Parties agreed that the design, format and accounts of the ECAM would be as set forth in the Company's Application in and as more fully detailed by the Company in its supporting testimony.

2. The Parties agreed that the ECAM was to be effective July 1, 2009, provided that the Commission had issued an order approving the ECAM consistent with the terms in the Stipulation.

3. The Parties agreed that the ECAM would include a symmetrical sharing band wherein when there is a difference between actual NPC and base NPC, customers pay (if there is an increase in NPC) or receive (if there is a decrease in NPC) 90 percent of the difference, and the Company is responsible for the remaining 10 percent.

4. The Parties agreed that the annual deferral period to be used in the ECAM would be December 1 to November 30, and that annually, on February 1, the Company will file an application with the Commission to adjust the surcharge or surcredit ("ECAM Rate") effective April 1 each year, refunding or collecting the ECAM deferred balance from the prior deferral period.

5. The Parties agreed that a symmetrical load growth adjustment rate (LGAR) of \$17.48 per MWH will be applied to the incremental load from the base load as set forth in Case No. PAC-E-08-07, and that the LGAR and base load will be updated each time base net power costs are updated in a general rate case.

6. The Parties recognized that the Company had made significant investments in renewable generation projects that are not yet being recovered in Idaho rates and that these projects provide significant benefits to customers through the ECAM and that therefore, from the effective date of the ECAM to the effective date of rates in the next general rate case, the Parties agreed that the ECAM would include a renewable generation offset adjustment. The adjustment recognizes that

actual power costs have or will be reduced by power generated from these renewable generation projects, but that the costs of these projects are not yet being recovered in Idaho base rates. The adjustment will be based on \$55.00 per MWh multiplied by the actual MWh output generated by the renewable resources that were not included in rate base in RMP's last rate case.

7. In the event the Company intends to seek an increase to the ECAM Rate exceeding seven (7) percent, the Company agreed to meet with the Staff and interested parties to discuss the underlying drivers of such a change at least 30 days prior to filing an application with the Commission for approval of the change to the ECAM Rate.

8. The Company agreed to work with the Parties to develop rates that reflect line losses and distinguishes transmission, primary and secondary voltage delivery service in the implementation of the ECAM Rate. A technical conference will be convened by August 15, 2009 to begin discussions on a methodology and will use line loss information from the 2008 general rate case (Docket PAC-E-08-07) as a starting point for the discussions. In the event an agreement on rate design for the ECAM Rate is not reached by April 1, 2010, the ECAM Rate will be applied to all schedules and customers on a flat KWh usage basis until an agreement is reached or a method is ordered by the Commission.

9. In exchange for implementing the ECAM with the adjustment for renewable energy generation projects, RMP agreed not to file a general rate case prior to May 2, 2010.

Each Party agreed that the Stipulation represented a fair, just and reasonable compromise and was in the public's best interest. Pursuant to IDAPA 31.01.01.274, the Parties signed and submitted it to the Commission for approval on June 23, 2009.

Although this case was ultimately settled, IIPA had to prepare as though it was a fully contested case before the Commission. IIPA's legal counsel, Eric L. Olsen, and consultant, Anthony J. Yankel, P.E., have all participated directly or indirectly in various meetings, conference calls, and drafting supporting documentation that have resulted in these important changes. Overall, IIPA believes that the Stipulation should be adopted by the Commission and that IIPA should be reimbursed for its reasonable expenses associated with its intervention.

(C) The expenses and costs incurred by IIPA set forth in Exhibit A and accompanying attachments are reasonable in amount and were necessarily incurred to participate in this case. The expenses and costs were incurred in corresponding and collaborating with all the Parties, and in gathering information, drafting and reviewing documentation and testimony, and negotiating the changes.

(D) The costs described in Paragraph (A) above constitute a financial hardship for IIPA. IIPA currently has approximately \$55,000.00 in the bank with outstanding accounts payable from participation in this case and other concurrent cases totaling approximately \$45,000.00.

IIPA is an Idaho nonprofit corporation that was organized in 1968 to represent agricultural interests in electric utility rate matters affecting farmers in southern and central Idaho. IIPA relies solely upon dues and contributions voluntarily paid by members, together with intervenor funding, to support activities. Each year mailings are sent to approximately 7,500 Idaho Irrigators (approximately two-thirds in the Idaho Power Company service area and one-third in the RMP service area), soliciting annual dues. IIPA recommends that members make voluntary contributions based on acres irrigated or horsepower per pump. Member contributions have been falling

presumably due to the current depressed economy, increased operating costs and threats relating to water right protection issues.

From member contributions IIPA must pay all expenses, which generally include mailing expenses, meeting expenses and shared office space in Boise, Idaho, in addition to the expenses relating to participation in rate cases. The Executive Director, Lynn Tominaga, is the only part-time paid employee, receiving a retainer plus expenses for office space, office equipment, and secretarial services. Officers and directors are elected annually and serve without compensation.

It has been and continues to be a financial hardship for IIPA to fully participate in this case. As a result of financial constraints, participation in this case has been selective and, primarily, on a limited basis.

(E) Although the Parties have reached a stipulation in this case, IIPA provided a unique perspective on a number of issues that impact other customer classes. With respect to the “stay out” provision and the inclusion of wind generation surrogate, the IIPA recognized that for the “stay out” agreement to be meaningful, RMP had to forego something less than it would have possibly gotten if it had gone forward with its intended 2009 rate case. During the negotiations, the IIPA rejected RMP’s initial surrogate amount because it would have amounted to an increase approximately equivalent to the rate increase implemented in RMP’s 2008 rate case. As a compromise, the Parties agreed to the \$55 per MWh price that is provided in Paragraph 8 in the Stipulation. (See Yankel Dir., at p. 7, lines 17-22 to p. 9, line 13.)

IIPA also focused on the issue of line losses and the distinction of taking service at the primary, transmission and secondary level in determining how to spread the ECAM adjustments in the future. RMP proposed to spread the adjustment on an equal cents per kWh basis. However, it

is understood that a kWh for sale at the secondary distribution level is not the equivalent to a kWh as the primary distribution or transmission level due to losses. Thus, the Parties agreed to convene a technical conference by August 15, 2009 to begin discussions on a methodology and will use line loss information from the 2008 general rate case (Docket PAC-E-08-07) as a starting point for the discussions. In the event an agreement on rate design for the ECAM Rate is not reached by April 1, 2010, the ECAM Rate will be applied to all schedules and customers on a flat kWh usage basis until an agreement is reached or a method is ordered by the Commission.

Based on the above, the IIPA believes that its recommendations relating to the adoption of an ECAM differed materially from the positions taken by the Staff and should materially assist the Commission in making its decision on this matter.

(F) By taking the position that the “stay out” provision needed to be meaningful and compromising on the \$55 per MWh surrogate, the IIPA efforts will benefit all customer classes by likely receiving a lower increase through the first year of the application of the ECAM than if RMP had filed its 2009 general rate case to put the new wind generation in rate base. Also, by studying the line loss issues, the ECAM will hopefully be spread on cost of service principals to send the appropriate price signals to the customer classes.

(G) IIPA represents the irrigation class of customers under Schedule 24.

Based on the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the IIPA is a qualifying intervenor and should be entitled to an award of costs of intervention in the maximum amount allowable pursuant to Idaho Code § 61-617A and IDAPA 31.01.01.161 through .165.

DATED this the 29th day of July, 2009.

RACINE, OLSON, NYE, BUDGE &
BAILEY, CHARTERED

By



ERIC L. OLSEN

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 29th day of July, 2009, I served a true, correct and complete copy of the Idaho Irrigation Pumpers Association, Inc.'s Application for Intervenor Funding to each of the following, via U.S. Mail or private courier, e-mail or hand delivery, as indicated below:

Jean D. Jewell, Secretary
Idaho Public Utilities Commission
472 W. Washington Street
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, Idaho 83720-0074
jjewell@puc.state.id.us

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 E-mail
 Facsimile
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivered

Ted Weston
PacifiCorp/dba Rocky Mountain Power
201 S. Main Street, Suite 2300
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
ted.weston@pacificorp.com

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 E-mail
 Facsimile
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivered

Daniel Solander
PacifiCorp/dba Rocky Mountain Power
201 S. Main Street, Suite 2300
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
daniel.solander@pacificorp.com

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 E-mail
 Facsimile
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivered

Scott Woodbury
Deputy Attorney General
Idaho Public Utilities Commission
472 W. Washington
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, Idaho 83720-0074
scott.woodbury@puc.idaho.gov

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 E-mail
 Facsimile
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivered

Randall C. Budge
Racine Olson Nye Budge & Bailey, Chd
201 E. Center
P.O. Box 1391
Pocatello, Idaho 83204-1391
rcb@racinelaw.net

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 E-mail
 Facsimile
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivered

Katie Iverson
Brubaker & Associates
17244 W. Cordova Court
Surprise, AZ 85387
kiverson@consultbai.com

James R. Smith
Monsanto Company
P.O. Box 816
Soda Springs, Idaho 83276
jim.r.smith@monsanto.com

U.S. Mail/Postage Prepaid
 E-mail
 Facsimile
 Overnight Mail
 Hand Delivered

Electronic Copies Only



ERIC L. OLSEN

EXHIBIT "A"

SUMMARY OF EXPENSES INCURRED BY
IRRIGATORS IN CASE NO. PAC-E-08-08

1. Legal Fees:

Eric L. Olsen (Partner): 20.30 hrs @ \$185.00 per hour \$3,755.50

Jason E. Flaig (Associate): 3.00 hrs @ \$135.00 per hour \$ 405.00

Costs: Postage and travel \$ 147.60

Total Legal Fees: \$4,308.10

2. Consultant Fees:

Anthony J. Yankel: 135 hrs @ \$125 per hour \$16,875.00

Costs: Postage and travel \$ 974.14

Total Consultant Fees: \$17,849.14

TOTAL FEES AND EXPENSES: \$22,157.24

<u>Date</u>	<u>Hrs.</u>	<u>Description</u>
Mar 5	6	Review fuel clause case filed by the Company and past cases where interrogatories were developed that would impact usage and purchase power costs and sales for resale levels; develop direction and interrogatories for this case based on the same.
30	8	Review Company data responses to the Irrigators requests; compare the impact of the fuel adjustment clauses in other states with that requested here and that used by Idaho Power.
31	7	Review data provided in response to Irrigation request 8 regarding hourly system power cost data; tabulate and compile hourly system input data listed under response 8a for total system input.
Apr 1	6	Review data provided in response to Irrigation request 8 regarding hourly system power cost data; tabulate and compile hourly generation, purchases, and exchange data listed under response 8b, 8c, and 8d.
2	6	Review data provided in response to Irrigation request 8 regarding hourly system power cost data; attempt to correct the formating errors in the original NotePad data provided so that the file is readable; Correct data in Response 8d to remove commas in various locations and format the data for the actual date of usage; Convert data from NotePad to Access and then from Access to Excel.
6	8	Review data provided in response to Irrigation request 8 regarding hourly system power cost data; attempt to correct the formating errors in the original NotePad data provided so that the file is readable; Correct data in Response 8c to remove commas in various locations and format the data for the actual date of usage; Convert data from NotePad to Access and then from Access to Excel.
7	8	Review data provided in response to Irrigation request 8 regarding hourly system power cost data; attempt to correct the formating errors in the original NotePad data provided so that the file is readable; Correct data in Response 8e and 8f to remove commas in various locations and format the data for the actual date of usage; Convert data from NotePad to Access and then from Access to Excel.
8	8	Review data provided in response to Irrigation request 8 regarding hourly system power cost data; attempt to correct the formating errors in the original NotePad data provided so that the file is readable; Correct data in Responses 8g-k to remove commas in various locations and format the data for the actual date of usage; Convert data from NotePad to Access and then from Access to Excel.
9	8	Manipulate hourly data from the forms that were developed and put them into a single format; Correct oversites and remove excess data or mislabeled data; Summarize data for Jan. 1, 2008 in order to compare inputs and outputs.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Hrs.</u>	<u>Description</u>
10	2	Further analyze Jan. 1, 2008 hourly data in order to discover that the input data matches the sum of the inputs listed by the Company, but that the inputs greatly exceed all Retail plus Wholesale sales listed by the Company.
14	5	Manipulate hourly input data regarding purchases of SF, IF, LT, and other "asests" from the forms that were developed (provided by the Company) and put them into a single format; Correct oversites and remove excess data or mislabeled data; Summarize data for Jan. 1, 2008 in order to compare inputs and outputs.
15	6	Manipulate hourly output data regarding purchases of SF, IF, LT, and other sales from the forms that were developed (provided by the Company) and put them into a single format; Correct oversites and remove excess data or mislabeled data; Summarize data for Jan. 1, 2008 in order to compare inputs and outputs.
17	6	Manipulate/coordinate hourly input/output data that was developed (provided by the Company) and put them together so that they call all be joined for 2008 into a single format; Correct oversites and remove excess data or mislabeled data; Summarize data in order to compare inputs and outputs.
20	8	Review supplemental response to Irrigation request #8l and #8f regarding "other" sales; assess the impact of adding this additional data to the "output" data and compare how the two equated to the total input data provided in response #8a; arrange data set to be incorporated into Access and then into Excel.
21	6	Manipulate Idaho jurisdictional hourly data to coordinate with hourly input and sales cost data in order to assess the differences in costs by using a weighted hourly cost and NPC or simply an average.
May	8	8 Continue to review the Company's request for a fuel adjustment clause; compare data for the last few years with the various treatments that are used in the other jurisdictions and the forecast/base power supply costs that the Company had proposed in various jurisdictions.
10	4	Travel to Boise for meeting; review Company's ECAM filing and support data that I have been reviewing regarding actual vs. forecast power supply costs.
11	5	Prepare for and attend meeting with the Company, Monsanto, and Staff associated with developing a settlement for the Company's proposal to develop a PCA.
13	4	Return trip home; review filing in Case PAC-E-08-08 as well has hourly data that had been supplied recently in the general rate case to assess the prudence of some of the purchases and sales during the same hour and that were made on the same
June	1	2 Review proposal made by the Company regarding a ECAM settlement, discussion with Olsen regarding the same, phone conference with the Company; follow-up

<u>Date</u>	<u>Hrs.</u>	<u>Description</u>
2	2	Calculate impact of the Company's proposed "credits" for wind power generation that would be applied to the NPC as listed in their proposal, as allocated to Idaho and to the tariff customers, as modified by the amount of generation to be added and assuming a 33% load factor; compare to dollar amount stipulated in the last rate case for an increase; discussion with Hessing of the Staff.
4	1	Review the Company's proposed stimulation regarding the ECAM and discuss with Olsen.
19	1	Review proposed stipulation in ECAM case; review calculation of credit for new wind energy; discuss possible changes with Olsen.
July 21	5	Review settlement notes and various proposals that were made and addressed during the settlement talks; outline a general flow of testimony; write background testimony; write testimony on some of the provisions of the settlement.
22	5	Review Stipulation regarding the concern associated with the stay-out provision and the new renewable resources that were about to come on at zero variable cost; write testimony regarding same; write testimony regarding stay-out provision; finalize draft of testimony.
Total		135

TRAVEL EXPENSE

Date	Lodging	Meals	Transportation
10-May		\$45.00	\$738.00 Air Line
11-May		\$45.00	
12-May		\$45.00	
13-May		\$45.00	\$56.14 car
Subtotals	\$0.00	\$180.00	\$794.14

Total Travel Expense \$974.14

Attachment 2

Date: 7/27/2009

Detail Fee Transaction File List
RACINE, OLSON, NYE, BUDGE & BAILEY, CHARTERED

IDAHO IRRIGATION PUMPERS ASSOCIATION

Client	Trans Date	Atty	Rate	Hours	Amount	Description
710.1518536	10/30/2008	ELO	185	0.50	92.50	REVIEW FILING AND SEE THAT PETITION TO INTERVENE IS PREPARED
710.1518536	2/26/2009	ELO	185	0.70	129.50	PARTICIPATE IN CONFERENCE CALL WITH STAFF AND RMP ON TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF ECAM
710.1518536	3/4/2009	ELO	185	0.10	18.50	CALL AND LEAVE MESSAGE WITH TONY YANKEL RE: THE RMP ECAM
710.1518536	3/6/2009	ELO	185	0.50	92.50	REVIEW AND SEE THAT DATA REQUESTS ARE PREPARED AND SERVED ON COMPANY AND PARTIES
710.1518536	4/1/2009	ELO	185	0.30	55.50	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TONY YANKEL RE: REVIEW OF DATA RESPONSES; EMAIL TONY MONSANTO'S DATA REQUESTS
710.1518536	4/3/2009	ELO	185	0.60	111.00	REVIEW SECOND DATA REQUESTS; PREPARE SECOND DATA REQUESTS ON ECAM CASE AND SEE THAT SAME ARE SERVED
710.1518536	4/7/2009	ELO	185	0.20	37.00	CALL AND LEAVE MESSAGE WITH TONY YANKEL; REVIEW SCHEDULING FOR ECAM CASE
710.1518536	4/8/2009	ELO	185	1.00	185.00	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TONY YANKEL RE: DATA ISSUES WITH INFORMATION FROM RMP ON ECAM CASE; CALL AND EMAIL DANIEL SOLANDER; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH DANIEL SOLANDER RE: ISSUES WITH DATA REQUEST INFORMATION; CONFERENCE WITH RCB RE: MONSANTO'S POSITION ON SAME
710.1518536	4/17/2009	ELO	185	0.10	18.50	CONFERENCE WITH RCB RE: ECAM CASE AND PERMISSION FOR YANKEL TO TALK TO KATIE IVERSO
710.1518536	4/20/2009	ELO	185	0.10	18.50	REVIEW DATA RESPONSES AND EMAIL TONY YANKEL RE: SAME
710.1518536	4/21/2009	ELO	185	0.20	37.00	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TONY YANKEL RE: ISSUES WITH ECAM DATA REQUESTS
710.1518536	4/22/2009	ELO	185	0.20	37.00	REVIEW EMAIL RE: SCHEDULING WORKSHOP AND RESPOND TO TED WESTON RE: SAME
710.1518536	5/5/2009	ELO	185	0.10	18.50	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TONY YANKEL RE: ISSUES WITH PCA CASE; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TONY YANKEL RE: MEETING ON ECAM CASE
710.1518536	5/11/2009	ELO	185	4.60	851.00	PREPARE FOR MEETING WITH STAFF AND RMP RE: ECAM MECHANISM; CONFERENCE WITH TONY YANKEL AND KEITH HIGGINS RE: UPCOMING MEETING; ATTEMPT RMP ECAM SETTLEMENT MEETINGS WITH MONSANTO AND COMMISSION STAFF AND RMP REPRESENTATIVES
710.1518536	5/11/2009	ELO	185	4.00	740.00	TRAVEL BACK TO POCATELLO
710.1518536	5/12/2009	ELO	185	0.20	37.00	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TONY YANKEL RE: WRAP UP OF RMP SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS
710.1518536	5/28/2009	ELO	185	0.10	18.50	EMAIL TED WESTON RE: TIME FOR CONFERENCE CALL ON ECAM
710.1518536	6/4/2009	ELO	185	0.20	37.00	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TED WESTON RE: STATUS OF AGREEMENT ON STIPULATION AMONGST THE PARTIES
710.1518536	6/5/2009	ELO	185	0.10	18.50	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH TONY YANKEL RE: RMP'S PROPOSAL ON WIND POWER SUREGATE ON ECAM STIPULATION
710.1518536	6/9/2009	ELO	185	0.20	37.00	CONFERENCE WITH RCB RE: RMP ECAM SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS AND ISSUES WITH WIND POWER SURROGATE

