

**Jean Jewell**

---

**From:** wwilson@rivernetwork.org  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 25, 2012 9:21 AM  
**To:** Jean Jewell; Beverly Barker; Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** PUC Comment Form

A Comment from wendy wilson follows:

-----  
Case Number: PAC-E-12-11  
Name: wendy wilson  
Address: 3209 N 39th  
City: Boise  
State: Idaho  
Zip: 83703  
Daytime Telephone: 208 345 3689  
Contact E-Mail: [wwilson@rivernetwork.org](mailto:wwilson@rivernetwork.org) Name of Utility Company: Idaho Power  
Acknowledge: acknowledge

Please describe your comment briefly:

Please deny the Rocky Mountain Power Company request to reduce energy efficiency funding. I have been a ratepayer in their area in the past and feel that there should be a level playing field between utilities regarding investments in efficiency. It is not fair that I pay a higher percentage at Idaho Power than Rocky Mountain customers do.

The form submitted on <http://www.puc.idaho.gov/forms/ipuc1/ipuc.html>  
IP address is 24.117.22.211

-----

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:06 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Bob Seraphin [<mailto:phinsystems@msn.com>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 24, 2012 5:22 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Bob Seraphin  
8901 W. River Beach Lane  
Boise, ID 83714

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:07 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Edwina Allen [<mailto:edwinaallen@cableone.net>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 24, 2012 4:50 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

Please DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. Energy efficiency is the most cost effective source of new energy. Paraphrasing Ben Franklin, a kilowatt saved is a kilowatt earned. We can't have "too much" efficiency. More efficiency means not having to build new power plants. That is a formula for success for us ratepayers.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Edwina Allen  
2114 Ridgecrest Dr  
Boise, ID 83712

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:07 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Carl Simpson [<mailto:carl@renewableenergynwllc.com>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 24, 2012 4:49 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Carl Simpson  
3018 N. Merlot Pl  
Star, ID 83669

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:07 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Bonita Douglas [<mailto:rdoug@roadrunner.com>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 24, 2012 4:33 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Bonita Douglas  
214 N Lakeview Dr  
Coeur d'Alene, ID 83814

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:07 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Kirk Anderson [<mailto:hchoices@kirkanderson.com>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 24, 2012 4:04 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars:

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Kirk Anderson  
P.O. Box 978  
Ketchum, ID 83340

**Jean Jewell**

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:08 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Judy Foster [<mailto:jayalf0@yahoo.com>]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 24, 2012 10:21 AM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Judy Foster  
2270 Winterhaven Dr  
Hailey, ID 83333

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:08 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** S Vail [<mailto:sandyv777@msn.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 11:17 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

S Vail  
2038 N. Siesta Ave  
Boise, ID 83704

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:08 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** James Cooper Cooper [<mailto:jimmythecoop@yahoo.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 5:14 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

James Cooper Cooper  
525 S. Americana Blvd  
Boise, ID 83702

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:09 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Joanna Kirkpatrick, PhD [<mailto:jkirk@spro.net>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 3:50 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

Please DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole to save energy and money.

Efficiency programs prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which as they are now have serious impacts on the environment and public health. By decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not appreciate the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars. Is Rocky Mountain Power worried about rate increases?-- is this the reason for their cheap move against energy efficiencies?

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Peanuts! Until independent verification surfaces to prove that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the riders on customer bills.

Thank you.

Joanna Kirkpatrick, PhD  
2005 N 17th St  
N 17th St  
BOISE, ID 83702

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:09 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Anne Hausrath [<mailto:ahausrath@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 3:49 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

Energy Efficiency is important to all of us and future generations. Efficiency measures save people money and help the environment.

We are writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Anne Hausrath  
1820 N. 7th St.  
Bosie, ID 83702

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:09 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Stan Kidwell [<mailto:sproutmagazine@aol.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 3:42 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Stan Kidwell  
4420 Vermillion Street  
Boise, ID 83704

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:09 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Bill Foxcroft [<mailto:foxcroftbill@yahoo.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 3:32 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Bill Foxcroft  
3117 Hillway Dr  
3117 Hillway Drive  
Boise, ID 83702

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:09 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Heather Smith [<mailto:heather.smith1984@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 3:06 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Heather Smith  
644 E Pennsylvania St  
644 E Pennsylvania St. Boise ID  
Boise, ID 83642

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Jean Jewell  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 8:49 AM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

**From:** Betty Hayzlett <[bkhayzlett@gmail.com](mailto:bkhayzlett@gmail.com)>  
**Date:** July 23, 2012 12:27:51 PM PDT  
**To:** [gene.fadness@puc.idaho.gov](mailto:gene.fadness@puc.idaho.gov)  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11  
**Reply-To:** [bkhayzlett@gmail.com](mailto:bkhayzlett@gmail.com)

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Betty Hayzlett  
2044 E Lamar Ct  
Boise, ID 83712

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:10 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Steve Walker [<mailto:suestevewalker@yahoo.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 1:19 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Steve Walker  
454 South Malaga Lane  
Boise, ID 83709

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:10 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Lisa Lintner [<mailto:lisalotus@cox.net>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 1:06 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Lisa Lintner  
8 Buttercup Rd.  
Hailey, ID 83333

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:10 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** William Blair [<mailto:wblair4318@aol.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 1:04 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

William Blair  
11561 W. Colony Street  
11561 W Colony  
Boise, ID 83709

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:10 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Kevin Dugan [<mailto:kdugan@westernenergyco.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 12:46 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.  
Kevin Dugan

Kevin Dugan  
PO Box 382  
Fairfield, ID 83327

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:10 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Ray Harshman [<mailto:rayhars58@yahoo.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 12:26 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Ray Harshman  
1705 w. boise ave  
boise, ID 83706

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:11 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** John Vance [<mailto:jvance@boisestate.edu>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 12:12 PM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

John Vance  
2001 Shoshone  
Boise, ID 83705

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:11 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Pat Robinson [<mailto:robinson04@cableone.net>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 11:15 AM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Pat Robinson  
1562 W. Storey  
Meridian, ID 83646

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:11 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dan Walters [<mailto:dan@chavous.net>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 11:07 AM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Dan Walters  
1006 E. Strawberry Lane  
Boise, ID 83712

## Jean Jewell

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:11 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Kary Burin [<mailto:karyburin@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 11:00 AM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Kary Burin  
2178 E. Ridgecrest Dr.  
Boise, ID 83712

**Jean Jewell**

---

**From:** Gene Fadness  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 5:11 PM  
**To:** Jean Jewell  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Martha Haga [[mailto:martha\\_haga@fd.org](mailto:martha_haga@fd.org)]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 23, 2012 10:42 AM  
**To:** Gene Fadness  
**Subject:** Comments on Case No. PAC-E-12-11

Dear Idaho Public Utilities Commissioners,

I'm writing to ask that you DENY Rocky Mountain Power's request to decrease its energy efficiency rider from 3.4% of customers' billed amount to 2.1%. This is no time to be backing off energy efficiency programs in Idaho, which help individual customers and the utility as a whole save energy, save money, and prevent the need to construct expensive power plants and other energy infrastructure projects in the future, which often have serious impacts on the environment and public health. I'm worried that by decreasing the funding pool for Rocky Mountain Power's customer efficiency programs, the utility may not be realizing the full potential of energy savings that could be achieved with those dollars.

Rocky Mountain Power should collect an adequate amount of money through its rider to continue to provide robust efficiency programs for its customers and also allow room for growth and innovation in those programs. It's hard to believe that the company is committed to aggressively pursuing energy savings when it has asked to decrease its efficiency rider from 4.72% to 3.4% in 2011 and from 3.4% to 2.1% in 2012. Until we can verify that the utility is chronically over-collecting funds to run its energy efficiency programs, the 2012 request should not be granted.

Paying for efficiency NOW will prevent costs for other energy projects LATER and is the least-cost, least-risk option for meeting our energy needs. Please assure me that you will look out for the interests of all Rocky Mountain Power customers by maintaining the current level of funding for efficiency programs via the rider on customer bills.

Thank you.

Martha Haga  
12614 North Schicks Ridge Road  
12614 North Schicks Ridge Road  
Boise, ID 83714