

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF
ROCKY MOUNTAIN POWER FOR
MODIFICATION OF TERMS AND
CONDITIONS OF PURPA PURCHASE
AGREEMENTS AND FOR
MODIFICATION OF ITS AVOIDED
COST METHODOLOGY

CASE NO. PAC-E-15-03

**PETITION OF ROCKY MOUNTAIN
POWER**

PACIFICORP

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

BRIAN S. DICKMAN

1 **Q. Please state your name, business address, and present position with Rocky**
2 **Mountain Power (“the Company”), a division of PacifiCorp.**

3 A. My name is Brian S. Dickman. My business address is 825 NE Multnomah Street,
4 Suite 600, Portland, Oregon 97232. My title is Director, Net Power Costs.

5 **Q. Briefly describe your education and business experience.**

6 A. I received a Master of Business Administration from the University of Utah with
7 an emphasis in finance and a Bachelor of Science degree in accounting from Utah
8 State University. Prior to joining the Company, I was employed as an analyst for
9 Duke Energy Trading and Marketing. I have been employed by the Company
10 since 2003 including positions in revenue requirement and regulatory affairs, and
11 I assumed my current role managing the Company’s net power cost group in
12 March 2012.

13 **Q. Have you testified in previous regulatory proceedings?**

14 A. Yes. I have filed testimony in proceedings before the public utility commissions
15 in California, Idaho, Oregon, Utah, and Wyoming.

16 **Purpose of Testimony**

17 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

18 A. My testimony supports the Company’s application to modify the non-standard
19 avoided costs in Idaho. I describe a significant shortcoming of the currently-
20 approved method for calculating non-standard avoided cost prices in Idaho (the
21 “IRP Method”). In particular, the IRP Method does not recognize the impact of
22 proposed qualifying facility (“QF”) contracts that are not yet signed but have
23 requested indicative avoided cost prices and are actively pursuing a power