

Jean Jewell

From: jwd54@msn.com
Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2014 10:15 AM
To: Beverly Barker; Jean Jewell; Gene Fadness
Cc: jwd54@msn.com
Subject: Case Comment Form: Jerry Deal

Name: Jerry Deal
Case Number: INT-G-14-01
Email: jwd54@msn.com
Telephone:
Address: 505 E. Spruce St.
Caldwell ID, 83605

Name of Utility Company: Intermountain Gas Company Acknowledge public record: True

Comment: I read the Customer Notice form Intermountain Gas informing us of its annual PGA application in my most recent billing, and then reviewed the application on the IPUC website:
Case Number: INT-G-14-01 Date Filed: 07/23/2014

Description: INTERMOUNTAIN GAS -- PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT CASE

I offer the following comments:

1. Most of IGC's residential customers in Idaho do not see anything close to a 3.81% annual increase in their income, so the cumulative impact of this increase on top of those in past years consumes a greater fraction of customer incomes annually, in spite of efforts to be as conservative as possible in energy consumption.
2. If, as stated in the notice, the gas-cost portion of Intermountain's prices will be 50% lower than in 2005, as the total cost to customers continues to increase, then the company is doing a poor job of managing other costs of doing business. It would be of interest to the public to disclose those costs as well, so that we may provide appropriate comments to the IPUC.
3. While it is reasonable that as the cost of the gas supply changes, the cost of gas delivered to customers would follow. However, it seems grossly unfair that the percentage increase this year is so significantly higher for residential customers at 3.81% than for commercial customers at 0.15% when we all use the same gas supply.

Unique Identifier: 164.165.235.3