BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF INTERMOUNTAIN)	
GAS COMPANY'S APPLICATION TO)	CASE NO. INT-G-14-02
CHANGE ITS COMPOSITE)	
DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION)	
RATES.)	ORDER NO. 33260
)	

On November 4, 2014, Intermountain Gas Company applied to the Commission for an Order authorizing the Company to: (1) increase its composite depreciation and amortization rates; and (2) reflect those changes in its books as of January 1, 2015. The Company's proposal, if approved, will not increase customer rates.

On November 12, 2014, the Commission issued a Notice of Application and set a November 26, 2014 intervention deadline. The Commission also advised persons that they could submit written comments if they did not wish to formally intervene as a party. On March 10, 2015, the Commission issued a Notice of Modified Procedure that set a March 19, 2015 deadline for interested persons to file comments in the case. No one intervened as a party, and Commission Staff was the only person or party to file comments.

Having reviewed the record, we enter this Order approving the Company's proposed amortization rates as filed, and depreciation rates as agreed upon by Staff and the Company, with the new rates to be reflected in the Company's books effective January 1, 2015. Our decision is explained more fully below.

THE APPLICATION

In its Application, the Company asks the Commission for an Accounting Order that would enable the Company to change its composite depreciation and amortization rates. The Company asks to increase its: (1) composite depreciation rate from 3.07% (3.06% when weighted by December 31, 2013 assets) to 3.12%; and (2) total General Plant account amortizations from \$1,749,970 to \$2,598,813. The Company says it needs these accounting changes because it has been under-depreciating its assets and under-amortizing General Plant Account Nos. 391, 393, 394, 395, 397 and 398. If the changes are approved, the Company's books would reflect them as of January 1, 2015, consistent with the start of the Company's financial reporting period. The proposed changes would not increase customer rates.

STAFF COMMENTS

In its comments, Staff notes that it reviewed the Company's Application and accompanying Depreciation and Amortization Accrual Rate Studies and analyzed the depreciation rates, service lives, remaining lives, and salvage values for all plant asset accounts. Staff also reports that it met with the Company and the Company's depreciation consultant to address some questions that Staff had about the Application. Based on its review and meetings with the Company, Staff makes the following recommendations and represents that the Company concurs.

A. Amortization

Staff recommended the Commission approve the Company's proposed amortization rates as filed, which increases the proposed annual amortization for Account Nos. 391, 393, 394, 395, 397, and 398 by \$848,843, to \$2,598,813. Staff reports that many of the proposed changes reflect changes in customer service. Changes to Account 391, Furniture and Office Equipment, reflect additions for modular work stations, computer equipment and software upgrades. Changes to Account 397, Communications Equipment, reflect shorter lives for telephone and radio systems. These changes also reflect the Company's share of a new call center jointly used by the Company, Cascade Gas and MDU North Plains. Staff notes that, although it accepts the Company's amortization rates as filed, the Company has agreed to include additional detail for Accounts 391 and 397 with its next Amortization Study.

B. Depreciation

Staff recommended the Commission adjust the Company's proposed depreciation rates to let the Company depreciate \$14,617,310 each year for a composite depreciation rate of 3.05%. Specifically, Staff recommended the Commission's Order address the Company's depreciation rates for Electronic Meter Reading Transmitters, Distribution Mains, Regulating Station Equipment, and the Company's Nampa Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Facilities, as follows.

1. Electronic Meter Reading Transmitters (ERTs)

In 2002, the Company began installing ERTs that record and transmit usage data to Company vehicles. The Company must replace an ERT when its battery fails, and the manufacturer says the batteries last 15 years. Accordingly, the Company proposed to depreciate its initial ERT investment over 15 years, with a current annual depreciation rate of \$2,406,907.

The Company also started replacing the ERTs in 2014, 12 years after deployment. The Company's depreciation study proposed an average remaining life of 2.6 years, and an annual depreciation expense of \$2,545,702. After discussions, however, Staff and the Company agreed the Company should not change the ERT depreciation rate until after the Company has replaced all the ERTs and the Company's 2016 Depreciation Study has evaluated the appropriate depreciation rate for the new ERTs.

2. Distribution Mains

The Company currently depreciates distribution mains at \$3,783,742 per year based on a 58-year service life and a negative 61% net salvage value. In its Application, the Company proposed a 2.33% depreciation rate, an annual depreciation expense of \$3,417,100, and a negative 53% net salvage value. However, Staff reports that from 1975 through 2013, the Company experienced a negative 43.8% net salvage value, and that from 2004-2013 the Company experienced a negative 45.5% net salvage value. Staff thus recommended the Commission approve a compromise, negative 50% net salvage value, which would yield a 2.25% annual depreciation rate with an annual expense of \$3,229,775. This recommended depreciation rate is \$187,325 less than the Company's proposed depreciation rate, and \$553,967 less than the Company's current depreciation rate. Staff says it and the Company will monitor the net salvage values for distribution mains, and the Company's 2016 Depreciation Study will reflect additional changes in this asset category, if necessary.

3. Regulating-Station Equipment

The Company currently depreciates regulating station equipment at \$194,108 per year, based on a 35-year service life and a negative 10% net salvage value. In its Application, the Company proposed a negative 18% net salvage value with annual depreciation of \$224,024. Staff recommended the Commission set a negative 14% net salvage value for the regulating station equipment. This results in a compromise annual depreciation rate of \$213,588, which is \$19,480 more than the Company's current depreciation expense but \$10,436 less than what the Company proposed. Staff says it and the Company will monitor the salvage values for the regulating station equipment, and that the 2016 Depreciation Study can incorporate changes if necessary.

4. Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Facilities – Nampa

The Company has historically used its Nampa LNG facility to provide gas during high-demand periods. Staff reports, however, that from 2011 to 2013, the Company invested more than \$4.6 million in the Nampa facility to enable the Company to load excess LNG onto trucks and, in part, to sell it to non-utility customers. *See* Order No. 32793. Staff currently does not recommend adjusting depreciation rates for the LNG facility. But Staff has concerns that some of the upgrades appear primarily to facilitate the commercial, non-utility sale of LNG and not peak period relief to customers.

The Company currently depreciates the Nampa LNG facility at 2.00% for an annual depreciation expense of \$245,003. In the Application, the Company proposes increasing the depreciation rate to 2.55% and the annual depreciation expense to \$312,379. Staff notes that the Company has historically extended the facility's life with upgrade investments and Staff expects similar activity going forward. Staff thus recommended the depreciation rate remain at 2.00%, with an annual expense of \$245,003, until the next Depreciation Study after Staff and the Company have re-evaluated the LNG sales allocations, reserves, and plant use as discussed above.

DISCUSSION AND FINDINGS

The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter under Title 61 of the Idaho Code, including *Idaho Code* §§ 61-501, -503, -524, and -525. We have reviewed the record, including the Application and Staff's comments. Based on what we have been provided—including Staff's representation that the Company concurs with Staff's recommendations—we find it fair, just, and reasonable to approve: (1) the Company's proposed amortization rates as filed and reflected in Exhibit 1 to this Order, and (2) the agreed upon depreciation rates, including a composite depreciation rate of 3.05%, as set forth in Exhibit 2 to this Order.

We also find it reasonable to periodically re-evaluate the customer cost allocations associated with the commercial sale of LNG from the Company's Nampa facility. We recognize that the Company's PGA currently provides a 2.5 cents-per-gallon-sold credit to utility customers to offset LNG facility operations and maintenance. The Company has set aside an additional 2.5 cents-per-gallon-sold to further insulate its natural gas customers from the

¹ Exhibit 1 is taken from page 1 of Exhibit 2 to the Company's Application. Exhibit 2 is taken from page 3 of Attachment A to Staff Comments.

accelerated capital expenditures and maintenance expenses that may arise from the Company's non-utility, commercial sale of LNG. We direct Staff and the Company to routinely revisit the allocation methodology and reserve amounts in order to ensure the Company's natural gas customers continue to be insulated from these extra costs. Lastly, we find it reasonable for the Company to add more detail for Accounts 391 and 397 in its 2016 Amortization Study, and to include detailed discussions of ERTs, salvage values and the Nampa LNG Plant in its 2016 Depreciation Study.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Company's proposed amortization rates are approved as filed, as summarized in Exhibit 1 to this Order. The Company's account-by-account depreciation rates, as set forth in Exhibit 2 to this Order, with a composite depreciation rate of 3.05%, are also approved. The new depreciation rates and amortization rates shall be effective and reflected in the Company's books as of January 1, 2015, consistent with the start of the Company's financial reporting period.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Company shall work with Commission Staff to re-evaluate the customer cost allocations associated with the commercial sale of LNG from the Company's Nampa LNG facility.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Company shall add more detail about Accounts 391 and 397 in its 2016 Amortization Study and it shall include detailed discussions of ERTs, salvage values and the Nampa LNG Plant in its 2016 Depreciation Study.

THIS IS A FINAL ORDER. Any person interested in this Order may petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of the service date of this Order. Within seven (7) days after any person has petitioned for reconsideration, any other person may cross-petition for reconsideration. See *Idaho Code* § 61-626.

DONE by order of the Idaho Public Utilities Commission at Boise, Idaho this 26^{+} day of March 2015.

PAUL KJELLANDER, PRESIDENT

MACK A. REDFORD, COMMISSIONER

Kristine Kaper Kristine Raper, COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

Jean D. Jewell Commission Secretary

O:INT-G-14-02_kk3

S:\Intermountain Gas 2014\Amortization\Support Assets 12-31-2013.xlsx

Intermountain Gas Company General Plant Amortization Study As of December 31, 2013

				As of December 31, 2013	, 2013			
	(a)	(q)	(0)	(p)	(e)	(-)	(6)	(h)
Line Number	Account Line Number Descrition	391 Furniture and Office Equipment	393 Stores Equipment	394 Tools, Shop, and Work Equipment	395 Laboratory Equipment	397 Communications Equipment	398 Miscellaneous Equipment	Total General Plant Amortizations
- 0	Investment Reserve	10,570,598.45 5,412,167.89	10,529.34 17,479.57	3,657,403.91 1,179,802.86	0.00	4,493,872.31 1,654,615.90	1,152.88 (3,051.35)	18,733,556.89 8,261,014.87
62.4	Current Ammortization Rate Expense	0.1072	0.0294	0.0695	0	0.0806 362,206	0.0833 96	0.0934 1,749,970.00
8 7 6 5	Proposed Amortization Retiring Investment Reserve Rate Expense	948,700.93 948,700.93 0.0000	10,529.34 10,529.34 0.0000	259,985.10 259,985.10 0.0000	00.00	1,675,226.29 1,675,226.29 0.0000	0.00	2,894,441.66 2,894,441.66 0
9 11 10 11 12	Remainng investment Reserve Rate Expense	9,621,897.52 4,463,466.96 0.1618 1,556,823	6,950.23 0	3,397,418.81 919,817.76 0.0559 189,916	0	2,818,646.02 (20,610.39) 0.1895 851,513	1,152.88 (3,051.35) 0.4862 561	15,839,115.23 5,366,573.21 0.1641 2,598,813.00
13	Total Expense	1,556,823	. ,	189,916	1	851,513	561	2,598,813.00
14	Difference Expense	423,655	(310)	(64,274)	t	489,307	465	848,843.00

General Plant Amortization Summ

Lhe

Proposed Depreciation Rate Paramaters (Depreciation Life & Rute Procedures)	Average Average Arnual	jecton Service Average Remathing Net Accrual Amual	As Lie Age Lie Sebate Bate Accrusis	(h) (h (l) (N) (l) (m) (n) 15.0 30.5 24.5 15.0 20.80% 2.00% 245.003	20.0 19.8 5.3 14.6 15.00% 6.84% 326,399	571,402	41.4 41.6 14.8 27.0 0.00% 2.17% 16,794	27.0 31.3 21.6 12.5 -5.00% 6.21% 4,791	49.0 50.3 17.9 33.2 40.00% 2.66% 1,844,016	20.0 20.0 2.5 17.5 5.00% 6.71% 121,026	30.0 40.1 32.4 9.0 5.00% -0.03% (214)	46.3 17.6 31.9 -36.42% 2.75% 1,986,413	51.1 30.1 22.4 0.00% 1.72% 51.4 47.8 3.6 -5.00% 0.11%	36.7 11.9 25.8	-50.00% 2.67% 3 0.00% 1.89%	11.8	18.8 25.1 0.00% 2.31% 10.80% 11.88%	44.1 17.5 27.7 0.00% 1.96%	42.0 42.8 11.7 31.4 0.00% 2.17% 131.968 30.0 33.5 16.3 18.5 -5.00% 2.68% 264.742	44.0 18.8 27.3 -31.59% 3.02% 11,012,939	34.0 37.5 15.5 22.9 5.00% 2.31% 373.309 10.0 10.5 4.8 6.1 8.00% 8.61% 604,046 14.0 15.0 4.4 11.5 15.00% 5.39% 69,201	4.28% 1,046,556	
Proposed Depreciation Rate	Average	Projection Service	at a state of the	(h) (h 15.0 30.5	20.0 19.8		41,4 41,6	27.0 31.3	49.0 50.3	20.0 20.0	30.0 40.1		48.0 51.1	35.0 59.1	50.0 50.0 42.0 43.4	11.0	42.0 43.2	42.0 44.1	42.0 42.8 30.0 33.5		34.0 37.5 10.0 10.5 14.0 15.0		
	y 12-31-2013 Reserved Percent	investment <u>Reserved</u> Retirement	Balance Dispersion	(e) (f) (g) 10,107,103 82.5% R5.0	719,280 15,1% R5.0	10,826,383	320,963 41.5% R5.0	21,127 27.4% R3.0	35,068,023 51.0% S5.0	(387,599) -22.4% R3.0	752,495 105.3% L3.0	35,775,008 49.6%	61.4%	95,174,372 64.9% R4.0		%6.09		45.8%	1,932,952 31.8% R3.0 5,438,681 55.3% R2.0	218,394,749 59.8%	6,413,696 39.7% R2.0 2,768,772 39.5% L4.0 295,185 23.0% L2.0	9,477,653 38.7%	
	Current Study 12-31-2013 trvsstment Reserved	Balance		(d) 12,250,165	4,771,916	17,022,081	773,903	s 77,152	68,806,581	1,730,359	714,440	72,102,435			136,202,219 22,941,851	16,926,213	11,042,724	5,759,218	on 6,081,453 9,841,693	364,959,787	s 16,160,548 7,015,635 1,283,885	24,460,068	
Intermountain Gas Company Summary of Prasent and Proposed Depredation Acourtle Parameters and Expenses Subty Date : As of December 31, 2013		Account Name		(c) LNG Facilities - Nampa	LNG Facilities Rexburg	Subtotal LNG Facilities Plant	Right of Way	Structures and Improvements	Transmission Malns	Compressor Equipment	Communication Equipment	Subtotal Transmission Plant	Land Rights Structures and improvements	Mains Regulator Station Equipment	Services Meters	Electronic Meter Reading Transmitter (ERT) Units	Meter installations FRT installations	House Regulators	House Regulators - Installation Regulator Station - Industrial	Subtotal Distribution Plant	Structures and Improvements Transportation Equipment Power Operated Equipment	Subtotal General Plant	
Intermountain Gas Company Summary of Present and Proposed Depredation Accruel Parameters an Study Date: As of December 31, 29	Account Study	Number Cetesory		(a) (b) 361.00 LNG	363,60 LNG		365.00 ROW	366.00 TCS	367.00 TMN	368.00 TCS	370.00 TCE				380.00 SVC 381.00 MTR	381.20 ERT	382.00 MTI		384.00 HRI 385.00 IMR		390.10 GSI 392.10 GTR 396.00 GPE		
ភលប្រ		~ ∰		_	Q	m	•	40	ω.	~	6 0	on.		N 6			÷	0.0	8.5	23	81 21 83	93	