



RECEIVED
FILED



2004 NOV -1 PM 4: 39

IDAHO PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

101 S. Capitol Boulevard, Suite 1900
Boise, Idaho 83702
main 208.389.9000
fax 208.389.9040
www.stoel.com

MARY S. HOBSON
Direct (208) 387-4277
mshobson@stoel.com

November 1, 2004

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Weldon Stutzman
Idaho Public Utilities Commission
472 West Washington Street
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0074

**Re: AT&T Corp. et al. v. Qwest Corporation
ATT-T-04-1**

Dear Mr. Stutzman 

Enclosed for the consideration of Staff and the Commission is the proposed schedule that has been worked out between Qwest and AT&T. Assuming that this meets with your approval, we respectfully request that the Commission formally adopt this proposal for use in the above referenced case.

If you have any questions or comments please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,


Mary S. Hobson

MSH:blg
Enclosure

cc: Mary V. York
T. Scott Thompson
Meredith R. Harris
Robert M. Pomeroy

Proposed Schedule for AT&T Complaint Case
(IPUC Case No. ATT-T-04-1)

Nov. 29-- last day to serve discovery

14 calendar days after discovery served-- discovery responses served

Jan. 3-- motions to compel filed (IF NECESSARY)

Jan. 17-- responses to motions to compel filed (IF NECESSARY)

week of Jan. 31--
oral argument on motions to compel (IF NECESSARY)

14 days after Commission order on motion to compel--
discovery responses served in accordance with order (IF NECESSARY)

THE FOLLOWING SCHEDULE APPLIES ONLY IF MOTIONS TO COMPEL ARE FILED

14 days following service of discovery in response to Commission order—
parties complete statement of stipulated facts

7 days later-- parties simultaneously file motions for summary judgment

14 days later-- parties simultaneously file responses to motions for summary judgment

Oral argument scheduled to accommodate the calendars of the Commission and lead attorneys

IN THE EVENT THE CASE IS NOT RESOLVED BY SUMMARY JUDGMENT

21 days following Commission order denying cross motions--
parties simultaneously file direct testimony

14 days later-- parties file rebuttal testimony

Technical hearing scheduled to accommodate the calendar of the Commission and lead attorneys

THE FOLLOWING SCHEDULE APPLIES IF MOTIONS TO COMPEL ARE NOT FILED

Jan. 5-- deadline for parties to complete statement of stipulated facts, if possible

Jan. 12-- parties simultaneously file motions for summary judgment

Jan. 26-- parties simultaneously file responses to motions for summary judgment

Oral argument scheduled to accommodate the calendars of the Commission and lead attorneys

IN THE EVENT THE CASE IS NOT RESOLVED BY SUMMARY JUDGMENT

21 days following Commission order denying cross motions--
parties simultaneously file direct testimony

14 days later-- parties file rebuttal testimony

Technical hearing scheduled to accommodate the calendar of the Commission and lead attorneys