BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)
CITIZENS TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANY) CASE NO. CTC-T-05-03
OF IDAHO FOR APPROVAL OF AMENDMENTS TO)
ITS INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH)
CRICKET COMMUNICATIONS, INC., PURSUANT) ORDER NO. 32770
TO 47 U.S.C. § 252(e))
)

In this case, the Commission is asked to approve amendments to the Interconnection Agreement between Citizens Telecommunications Company of Idaho ("Frontier") and Cricket Communications, Inc. ("Cricket"). With this Order, the Commission approves the amendments to the parties' Interconnection Agreement.

BACKGROUND

Under the provisions of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, interconnection agreements, including amendments thereto, must be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1). The Commission may reject an agreement adopted by negotiations only if it finds that the agreement: (1) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or (2) implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A). As the Commission noted in Order No. 28427, companies voluntarily entering into interconnection agreements "may negotiate terms, prices and conditions that do <u>not</u> comply with either the FCC rules or with the provision of Section 251(b) or (c)." Order No. 28427 at 11 (emphasis in original). This comports with the FCC's statement that "a state commission shall have authority to approve an interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation even if the terms of the agreement do not comply with the requirements of [Part 51]." 47 C.F.R. § 51.3.

THE APPLICATION

On March 15, 2013, the Commission received Frontier's Application seeking approval of amendments to its Interconnection Agreement with Cricket. The parties' original Interconnection Agreement was approved by the Commission on August 29, 2005. *See* Order No. 29858. In the Application, the parties request that the Commission approve the incorporation of terms and conditions set forth in the federal *USF/ICC Transformation Order*. *See* FCC 11-161.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff reviewed the Application for approval of amendments to the parties' Interconnection Agreement and does not find any terms or conditions that it considers to be discriminatory or contrary to the public interest. Staff believes that the amendments to the Interconnection Agreement are consistent with the pro-competitive policies of this Commission, the Idaho Legislature, and the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. Accordingly, Staff recommended that the Commission approve the Amendments to the parties' Interconnection Agreement.

COMMISSION DECISION

Under the terms of the Telecommunications Act, interconnection agreements, including amendments thereto, must be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1). The Commission's review is limited, however. The Commission may reject an agreement adopted by negotiation <u>only</u> if it finds that the agreement discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement or implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. *Id*.

Based upon our review of the Application and Staff's recommendation, the Commission finds that the amendments to the parties' Interconnection Agreement are consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity and do not discriminate. Therefore, the Commission finds that the Agreement, including amendments thereto, should be approved. Approval of an Interconnection Agreement does not negate the responsibility of either party to an Agreement to obtain a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity if they are offering local exchange services or to comply with *Idaho Code* §§ 62-604 and 62-606 if they are providing other non-basic local telecommunications services as defined by *Idaho Code* § 62-603.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the amendments to the Interconnection Agreement between Citizens Telecommunications Company of Idaho and Cricket Communications, Inc., Case No. CTC-T-05-03, are approved.

THIS IS A FINAL ORDER. Any person interested in this Order (or in issues finally decided by this Order) may petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of the service date of this Order. Within seven (7) days after any person has petitioned for

reconsideration, any other person may cross-petition for reconsideration. See *Idaho Code* §§ 61-626 and 62-619.

DONE by Order of the Idaho Public Utilities Commission at Boise, Idaho this $\mathcal{2T}^{\leftarrow}$ day of March 2013.

PAUL KJELLANDEŘ, COMMISSIONER

MACK A. REDFORD, COMMISSIONER

MARSHA H. SMITH, COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

Jean D. Jewell // Commission Secretary

O:CTC-T-05-03_np