

DECISION MEMORANDUM

TO: COMMISSIONER KJELLANDER
COMMISSIONER REDFORD
COMMISSIONER SMITH
COMMISSION SECRETARY
LEGAL
WORKING FILE

FROM: CAROLEE HALL

DATE: SEPTEMBER 26, 2012

RE: APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL TO AMEND THE TYPE 1 AND TYPE 2 INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT BETWEEN QWEST CORPORATION D/B/A CENTURYLINK QC (“CENTURYLINK”) AND COEUR D’ALENE ANSWERING SERVICE, INC. D/B/A PASS WORD (“PASS WORD”). CASE NO. QWE-T-06-26.

BACKGROUND

Under the provision of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, interconnection agreements must be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1). The Commission may reject an agreement adopted by negotiations only if it finds that the agreement: (1) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or (2) implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A). As the Commission noted in Order No. 28427, companies voluntarily entering into interconnection agreements “may negotiate terms, prices and conditions that do not comply with either the FCC rules or with the provision of Section 251 (b) or (c).” Order No. 28427 at 11 (emphasis in original). This comports with the FCC’s statement that “a state commission shall have authority to approve an interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation even if the terms of the agreement do not comply with the requirements of [Part 51].” 47 C.F.R. § 51.3.

CURRENT APPLICATION

CenturyLink and Pass Word submitted an amended Interconnection Agreement between the parties in Case No. QWE-T-06-26. The original Agreement was approved by this Commission on December 27, 2006. This amendment is in compliance with the recent Federal

Communication Commission's Order setting out obligations with respect to the exchange of traffic between Paging Providers and the Local Exchange Carrier. FCC Docket No. 01-92. The general terms and conditions are set forth in Attachment 1 to the Agreement.

STAFF ANALYSIS

Staff has reviewed the Application and does not find any terms or conditions that it considers to be discriminatory or contrary to the public interest. Staff believes that the Agreement is consistent with the public interest as identified in the pro-competitive policies of this Commission, the Idaho Legislature, and the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. Accordingly, Staff believes that the Agreement merits the Commission's approval.

COMMISSION DECISION

Does the Commission agree?



Carolee Hall

:udmemos/QWE-T-06-26 CENTURYLINK AND PASS WORD Amended Type 1 and 2 Paging Agreement