BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

)

)

)

)

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF QWEST CORPORATION DBA CENTURYLINK QC FOR APPROVAL OF AMENDMENTS TO ITS INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH SPECTROTEL, INC. PURSUANT TO 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)

CASE NO. QWE-T-12-03

ORDER NO. 32546

In this case the Commission is asked to approve amendments to the Interconnection Agreement between Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC and Spectrotel, Inc. With this Order, the Commission approves the amendments and Interconnection Agreement.

BACKGROUND

Under the provisions of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, interconnection agreements, including amendments thereto, must be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1). The Commission may reject an agreement adopted by negotiations only if it finds that the agreement: (1) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or (2) implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A). As the Commission noted in Order No. 28427, companies voluntarily entering into interconnection agreements "may negotiate terms, prices and conditions that do <u>not</u> comply with either the FCC rules or with the provision of Section 251(b) or (c)." Order No. 28427 at 11 (emphasis in original). This comports with the FCC's statement that "a state commission shall have authority to approve an interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation even if the terms of the agreement do not comply with the requirements of [Part 51]." 47 C.F.R. § 51.3.

THE APPLICATION

On March 19, 2012, CenturyLink submitted an Application for approval of amendments to its Interconnection Agreement with Spectrotel, previously approved by the Commission on April 11, 2012. *See* Order No. 32517. In the Application, the parties request that the Commission allow them to amend their Interconnection Agreement to include terms, conditions and pricing for the CenturyLink Local Services Platform Agreement (CLSP).

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff reviewed the foregoing Application and did not find any terms or conditions that it considers to be discriminatory or contrary to the public interest. Staff believes that the amendments to the Interconnection Agreement are consistent with the pro-competitive policies of this Commission, the Idaho Legislature, and the federal Telecommunications Act. Accordingly, Staff recommended that the Commission approve the foregoing amendments to the Interconnection Agreement.

COMMISSION DECISION

Under the terms of the Telecommunications Act, interconnection agreements, including amendments thereto, must be submitted to the Commission for approval. 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(1). However, the Commission's review is limited. The Commission may reject an agreement adopted by negotiation <u>only</u> if it finds that the agreement discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement or implementation of the agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. *Id*.

Based upon our review of the Application and the Staff's recommendation, the Commission finds that the amendments to the Interconnection Agreement are consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity and do not discriminate. Therefore, the Commission finds that the Agreements, reviewed by Staff and more fully described above, should be approved. Approval of the Agreements does not negate the responsibility of either party to these Agreements to obtain a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity if they are offering local exchange services or to comply with *Idaho Code* §§ 62-604 and 62-606 if they are providing other non-basic local telecommunications services as defined by *Idaho Code* § 62-603.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the amendments to the Interconnection Agreement between Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink QC and Spectrotel, Inc., Case No. QWE-T-12-03, is approved

THIS IS A FINAL ORDER. Any person interested in this Order (or in issues finally decided by this Order) may petition for reconsideration within twenty-one (21) days of the service date of this Order. Within seven (7) days after any person has petitioned for reconsideration, any other person may cross-petition for reconsideration. *See Idaho Code* §§ 61-626 and 62-619.

2

DONE by Order of the Idaho Public Utilities Commission at Boise, Idaho this 4^{+h} day of May 2012.

PAUL KJELLA PRESIDENT NDE

MACK A. REDFORD, COMMISSIONER

archa

MARSHA H. SMITH, COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

Jean D. Jewell

Commission Secretary

O:QWE-T-12-03_np