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COMES  NOW  the Staff of the Idaho Public Utilities Commission, by and through its Attorney of record, Weldon B. Stutzman, Deputy Attorney General, in response to Order

 No. 27695, the Notice of Joint Application and Notice of Modified Procedure in Case

No. USW-T-98-10 issued August 27, 1998, submits the following comments.

On August 14, 1998, U S WEST Communications, Inc. (U S WEST) and Firstel, Inc. (Firstel), a competitive reseller of local exchange service, submitted a Joint Application for approval of an agreement for service resale in accordance with Section 252(e) of the Federal Telecommunications Act.  In a separate proceeding (FTL-T-98-1), Firstel submitted an application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity.  The Companies indicated the agreement had been reached through voluntary negotiations, and claimed the agreement was consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.  They requested the Commission approve the agreement without a hearing or intervention by other parties.

Section 252 indicates that such agreements are to be submitted to state commissions for approval.  Agreements adopted through negotiation may only be rejected if the state commission finds that:

(i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or

(ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity:

Staff compared the language of this agreement with other service resale agreements  involving U S WEST that have previously been submitted to this Commission and finds the terms and conditions to be essentially the same as in the previously approved service resale agreements.  Staff did not find any terms or conditions that are discriminatory or preferential to either party.

Staff concurs with the Company’s claim that the agreement is consistent with the pro-competitive policies of the Commission, the Idaho Legislature, and the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and therefore is consistent with the public interest.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Commission approve the interconnection agreement as filed.

DATED  at Boise, Idaho, this            day of September 1998.
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Weldon B. Stutzman
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