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COMES  NOW  the Staff of the Idaho Public Utilities Commission, by and through its Attorney of record, Weldon B. Stutzman, Deputy Attorney General, and submits the following comments for the Commission’s consideration in Case No. USW-T-98-16.

On October 1, 1998, U S WEST Communications, Inc. (USW) and Project Mutual Telephone Cooperative Association, Inc. (PMTCA), a local exchange service cooperative that will be providing competitive local exchange service outside its exchange area boundaries, submitted a Joint Application for approval of an interconnection agreement in accordance with Section 252(e) of the Federal Telecommunications Act.  The companies indicated the agreement had been reached through voluntary negotiations and claimed the agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.  They requested the Commission approve the agreement without a hearing or intervention by other parties.

Section 252 indicates that such agreements are to be submitted to state commissions for approval.  Agreements adopted through negotiation may only be rejected if the state commission finds that:

(i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or

(ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity:

STAFF ANALYSIS

Staff reviewed the language of this agreement and found the terms and conditions to be almost identical to those in the agreements submitted in September by USW and Dakota Services Ltd. and USW and Clark Communications, Inc.  While the scope of the USW/PMTCA agreement is narrower that either of the previous agreements (for example, the USW/PMTCA agreement does not address resale), the terms and conditions for comparable items are essentially the same.

Staff concludes that the terms or conditions in this agreement are not discriminatory.  Staff concurs with the companies’ claim that the agreement is consistent with the pro-competitive policies of the Commission, the Idaho Legislature, and the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and therefore is consistent with the public interest.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Commission approve this interconnection agreement as filed.

DATED  at Boise, Idaho, this            day of October 1998.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Weldon B. Stutzman

Deputy Attorney General
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