

C.L. "Butch" Otter, Governor

P.O. Box 83720, Boise, Idaho 83720-0074

Paul Kjellander, Commissioner Mack A. Redford, Commissioner Marsha H. Smith, Commissioner

March 22, 2012

Via Certified Mail

K. Scott Bruce, General Manager Falls Water Company, Inc. 2180 North Deborah Drive Idaho Falls, ID 83401

Re: Case No. FLS-W-12-02

Dear Mr. Bruce:

Enclosed please find a Summons and Complaint issued against Falls Water Company, Inc. in Case No. FLS-W-12-02. As directed in the Summons, you are to file a written answer or motion in defense of said Complaint with this Commission within 21 days of the service date on the Summons.

I have also enclosed a copy of Staff's Decision Memorandum regarding the Complaint.

Sincerely,

Jean D. Jewell Commission Secretary

Enclosures

Cc: Len and Kathy Koch

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

)

Len and Kathy Koch,

Complainants,

vs.

Falls Water Company, Inc.,

Respondent.

SUMMONS

CASE NO. FLS-W-12-02

THE STATE OF IDAHO SENDS GREETINGS TO THE ABOVE-NAMED RESPONDENT.

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that a Complaint has been filed with the Idaho Public Utilities Commission by the above-named Complainants; and

YOU ARE HEREBY DIRECTED to file a written answer or written motion in defense of said Complaint within twenty-one (21) days of the service date of this Summons; and

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that unless you do so within the time herein specified, the Idaho Public Utilities Commission may take such action against you as is prayed for in the Complaint or as it deems appropriate under Title 61 or Title 62 of the *Idaho Code*.

WITNESS my hand and the seal of the Idaho Public Utilities Commission this $22^{1/2}$ day of March, 2012.

Commission Secretary

(SEAL)

February 29, 2012 Jean Jewell PUC State of Idaho

÷

Subject: Complaint – Public Access to Water

I have been attempting to to acquire water for private and domestic use since last October. Based on what I have learned is true and what I have been told I would like to lodge a formal complaint against "Falls Water" in Idaho Falls.

I began by requesting information as to cost for hook-up and service for water at the Falls Water office in Idaho Falls. In my discussion with Scott Bruce, manager, I was quoted a figure "\$15,000 - maybe more". I challenged such a high amount and inquired as to an appeal process. Mr. Bruce told me there was no appeal. That Falls Water is not a public utility and Falls Water he alone had the authority to determine what that cost would be. Further, my option was his price or dig a well. He added that the figure he was giving me could be more, and probably would be. I requested a written estimate and he agreed and provided a written estimate. I sent a copy of that document Mr. Daniel Kline, Idaho of your office. The estimate figure is \$11,900 and required me preform all labor on my property.

I did not understand why these charges would be so high and I inquired in order to find out. Nor did I think that a company providing water to the public would not be answerable to public authority. Since that time I have compared this estimate cost for hook up to other hook up charges within the city of Idaho Falls, (which are \$1,300) and sewer service hook up charges (which are \$2,200). I don't pretend to understand the specific charges or the rates as stated in his estimate, but I do understands that the figures he has quoted are the same to me as if I were a land developer and would be re-sealing service hook ups to a number of other customers. I am not a developer passing these cost on to customers in development location. I am an individual land owner who has recently inherited a piece of land, we wish to develop with a single structure house as prescribed by current zoning requirements (R2).

My complaint is based on the information that these commercial prices, along with direct statements made by Falls Water, that they have sole authority to establish and set these rates and further the public I has no right to appeal beyond Falls Water. And even more: The verbal manner in which this service is presented to the public e.g. "It is what I say it is and COULD BE MORE" is intimidating and not true. Further that the public has no right.

I conclude with one remaining fact: I still do not have water and will indeed need to have it in the very near future. It time for review and appeal is very much against pressing need. I appeal to you for consideration with expediency if at all possible.

My thanks for your time and consideration.

Sincerely

Len and Kathy Koch 1306 Maelstrom N. Idaho Falls, Id. 83404 f 522-9536

	VAIE COMPANY (208) 522-1300 (208) 522-4099
	October 17, 2011
Estimated Costs to Connect 4335 N Ammon Ro	to Falls Water Co., Inc.
Development Fees:	·
Development Share of Physical Plant (ie. New Well) X \$1850.00/acre FWC provides irrigation of lawns	<u>Cost/Unit # of Units</u> <u>Total Cost</u> \$ 1,850.00
Water Right Required per Acre# of AcreX1.5 AF FWC provides irrigation of lawns1.5	Total \$ <u>eres AF Needed Cost/AF Water Right</u> 2.28 \$1,000.00 \$ 2,280.00
Miscellaneous Development Fees (ie. Inspections, hydraulic modeling, n	napping corrections) <u>Cost/Unit # of Lots Total Cost</u> \$ 115.00 1 \$ 115.00
X \$115.00/Lot	Ψ 110.00
Total Development Fees Due	\$ 5,207.00
Reimbursement of Developer for 1/2 linear foot cost of 12" main line 12" linear foot actual cost \$35.23 per linear foot. 1/2 of linear foot cost:	Cost per LF Frontage LF Total Cost \$ 17.62 210 \$ 3,700.20
Connection fee for 3/4" meter	\$ 500.00
Estimated cost to install a service line from 12" water main on east side of Nor barrel to be located in the utility right of way easement on the west side of Nor customers property.	rth Ammon Road to the meter th Ammon Road on the new \$ 2,500.00
Total Estimated Cost to hook on to Falls Water Co., Inc.'s water system.	\$ 11,907.20
The cost for share of physical plant and water rights are shown providing irrigation guarantee that future owners of the property will not use Falls Water Co., Inc. wat	n because the prospective customer cannot ter to irrigate lawns and landscapes.
The development contract with the Birchwood Estates developer is in effect throu that anyone connecting laterally to the water main line would need to reimburse the	gh October 23, 2017. The contract stipulates ne developer 1/2 the linear foot cost of the

i

New customer would be responsible to run 1" or larger line from meter barrel to the home and make the final connection to home. No cross connections to other water sources are allowed so that Falls Water Co., Inc.'s system is protected from potential contamination that may result from such cross connections.

water main times the frontage footage the new customer fronts the line.

DECISION MEMORANDUM

TO: COMMISSIONER KJELLANDER COMMISSIONER REDFORD COMMISSIONER SMITH COMMISSION SECRETARY LEGAL WORKING FILE

FLS-W-12-02

FROM: DANIEL KLEIN AND CHRIS HECHT

DATE: MARCH 16, 2012

RE: FORMAL COMPLAINT OF LEONARD KOCH AGAINST FALLS WATER OBJECTING TO THE COST TO CONNECT TO SERVICE.

On February 29, 2012, the Commission received a "formal" complaint (attached) from Mr. Koch against Falls Water. Mr. Koch believes he is being overcharged by Falls Water for a line extension to obtain service at his property at 4335 N. Ammon Rd., Idaho Falls. Mr. Koch was unsatisfied with the outcome of the informal procedures to resolve his complaints and has filed a formal complaint.

BACKGROUND

÷

Mr. Koch contacted Falls Water to inquire about the cost of obtaining service at his property at 4335 N. Ammon Rd., Idaho Falls. Falls Water provided an estimate to Mr. Koch (attached). Mr. Koch was concerned with the amount of the estimate as well as all the various charges on the estimate. Falls Water's estimate indicated that it would cost \$11,907.20.

Staff has been in contact with Falls Water and pointed out that the majority of the items on the estimate are not contained in the Company's Tariff, and hence should not be charged. The only item that is contained in the Tariff and approved by the Commission is the connection fee (\$500) for a ³/₄" meter. The items on the estimate that are not contained in the tariff are: "Development Share of Physical Plant (\$2812)", "Water Rights Required (\$2280)", "Miscellaneous development fees (\$115)", cost to run a service line from the main to the meter barrel location (\$2500), and reimbursement to the developer who previously installed the 12" main (\$3700.20). Staff instructed Falls Water that it could only charge fees that were approved

DECISION MEMORANDUM

-1-

by the Commission and included in its Tariff. Falls Water indicated it has been charging these types of fees not listed in its tariff for 7 years now. The Company refused to remove the disputed charges from its cost quote to Mr. Koch.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Mr. Koch was not satisfied with the outcome of his informal complaint. Consequently, he filed a formal complaint. See Rules 23, 25 and 54, IDAPA 31.01.01.023, .024 and .054.

COMMISSION DECISION

Does the Commission wish to accept Mr. Koch's formal complaint? Does the Commission wish to issue a Summons?

Helt

Daniel Klein

Udmemos/Koch formal complaint dec memo

DECISION MEMORANDUM

-2-