

John R. Hammond, Jr., ISB No. 5470
Fisher Pusch & Alderman LLP
U.S. Bank Plaza, 5th Floor
101 S. Capitol Boulevard, Suite 500
Post Office Box 1308
Boise, ID 83701
Telephone: (208) 331-1000
Facsimile: (208) 331-2400

RECEIVED
2008 JUN 30 PM 3:56
IDAHO PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

Attorneys for Applicant

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE
APPLICATION OF MAYFIELD
SPRINGS WATER COMPANY, INC.,
FOR A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

CASE NO. MSW-W-08-01

**MAYFIELD SPRINGS WATER
COMPANY, INC.'S CLARIFICATION
TO REPLY COMMENTS**

COMES NOW Mayfield Springs Water Company, Inc., an Idaho corporation (the "Company" or "Mayfield"), by and through its counsel, Fisher Pusch & Alderman LLP, and files this Clarification to Reply Comments.

The Company wishes to provide clarification on two matters to which it filed reply comments. The first involves the number of commercial customers of the Company and how they were identified in Reply Comments. On page 11 in the Reply Comments, the Company makes the following statement.

Second, the Company does not agree that the Arrowrock Ranch Homeowner's Association which counts as 8 commercial customers (7 meters to water common areas and 1 meter for the sewage treatment facility) should not be charged for service.

Reply Comments at p. 11. This sentence incorrectly identifies the sewage facility as part of the Homeowner's Association. The sewage facility owned by Intermountain Sewer is a stand

alone customer with one water meter. The second clarification that needs to be made involves connection charges. In its Reply Comments Mayfield stated that:


The Company does not disagree with Staff's finding that it costs \$725.00 to connect a new customer to the water system based on its analysis. However, Staff's calculations do not take into account fees that are incurred by the Company resulting from water capacity charges assessed by the City of Meridian. **See Exhibit 33.**

Reply Comments at p. 11. Due to miscommunication between counsel and the Company, it was represented that the Company was paying water capacity charges to the City of Meridian and attaching **Exhibit 33** as an example. This characterization and an identical one made on page 14 of the Reply Comments are incorrect. Rather, the Company's intention in submitting **Exhibit 33** was to show a comparison between the amount of the connection charge assessed to builders in the Arrowrock Ranch Subdivision versus what the city of Meridian charges for customers to connect to its water system. Lastly, the Company and its customers were not at any time assessed any fees by the city of Meridian.

DATED THIS 30 day of June, 2008.

MAYFIELD SPRINGS WATER COMPANY, INC.

By: _____


John R. Hammond, Jr.
Attorney for Applicant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 30th day of June, 2008, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on the following individuals by the method indicated below:

Jean Jewell
IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
472 W. Washington St.
PO Box 83720
Boise ID 83720-5983


- U.S. Mail
- Facsimile (208) 342-3829
- Overnight Delivery
- Messenger Delivery
- Email

Don Howell
Kristine A. Sasser
Deputy Attorney General
IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
PO Box 83720
Boise ID 83720-0074

- U.S. Mail
- Facsimile (208) 342-3829
- Overnight Delivery
- Messenger Delivery
- Email

Gerald J. Corvino
11865 W. Tustin Lake
Kuna ID 83634-5032

- U.S. Mail
- Facsimile
- Overnight Delivery
- Messenger Delivery
- Email



John R. Hammond, Jr.