

DECISION MEMORANDUM

**TO: COMMISSIONER REDFORD
COMMISSIONER SMITH
COMMISSIONER KEMPTON
COMMISSION SECRETARY
COMMISSION STAFF**

**FROM: DON HOWELL AND KRISTINE SASSER
DEPUTY ATTORNEYS GENERAL**

DATE: MARCH 25, 2008

**SUBJECT: PROPOSED SCHEDULE TO PROCESS THE IDAHO SPRINGS WATER
COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND RATE CASE, CASE NO. ISW-W-08-01**

On February 5, 2008, Idaho Springs Water Company filed an Application requesting a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) to provide water service as a public utility. The Company currently provides water service within the Arrowrock Subdivision in Kuna to 38 residential customers, 17 construction connections, and 1 customer taking service to water the common areas of the subdivision. Although each customer is provided with metered service, the Company charges flat monthly fees. The residential rate is \$50/month, the construction rate is \$25/month, and the common area customer is charged \$800/month. Application at 1.

On March 3, 2008, the Commission issued a Notice of Application and set a deadline for intervention in this proceeding. One customer, Gerald Corvino, was the only person to seek intervention. No party objected to his intervention. In its Notice of Application, the Commission directed the parties to convene an informal prehearing conference to discuss how this case should be processed. Order No. 30512 at 3.

THE PROPOSED SCHEDULE

Pursuant to the Commission's direction, the parties convened an informal prehearing conference on March 20, 2008. The parties recommend that the case be processed under Modified Procedure with the Commission holding a public hearing for customers. The proposed schedule is set out below.

Action	Date
Company file financial workpapers	April 7, 2008
Staff/Intervenor initial discovery	April 10, 2008
Staff Workshop	May 19, 2008 at 7:00 p.m.
Settlement Conference	May 21, 2008 at 9:30 a.m.
Staff/Intervenor comments due	May 28, 2008
Company rebuttal due	June 11, 2008
Public Hearing	June 23-27, 2008

The parties also agreed to serve discovery and other documents via e-mail. The parties further agreed that answers to discovery should be provided as soon as possible but no later than 21 days from the date of the discovery request. Finally, the parties propose that an original and three copies of comments be filed with the Commission Secretary per Rule 61, IDAPA 31.01.01.061.03.

COMMISSION DECISION

Given the parties' agreement, does the Commission wish to process this matter under Modified Procedure with a public hearing?

Does the Commission adopt the schedule proposed by the parties?

Anything else?



Don Howell and Kristine Sasser
Deputy Attorneys General

M:ISW-W-08-01_ks_dh2